## SUBDIVISION-MINOR / FINAL

Application submittals must include all documents on this checklist as well as this page. Please use the reference guide (pg. 3) included in this packet for more information on each submittal item.

All applications shall be submitted electronically to epermitcenter@adcogov.org. If the submittal is too large to email as an attachment, the application may be sent as an unlocked OneDrive link. Alternatively, the application may be delivered on a flash drive to the One-Stop Customer Service Center. All documents should be combined in a single PDF. Once a complete application has been received, fees will be invoiced and payable online at https:// permits.adcogov.org/CitizenAccess/.
$\checkmark$ 1. Development Application Form (pg. 5)
2. Application Fees (pg. 2)
$\checkmark$ 3. Written Explanation of the Project
$\sqrt{ }$ 4. Site Plan Showing Proposed Development
$\checkmark$ 5. Copy of Plat prepared by Registered Land Surveyor (pg. 7)
6. Subdivision Improvement Agreement (SIA) Application Not applicable
$\checkmark$ 7. School Impact Analysis (contact applicable District)
8. Fire Protection Report (required prior to public hearing)
$\checkmark$ 9. Proof of Ownership
$\checkmark$ 10.Proof of Water and Sewer Services
$\checkmark$ 11.Proof of Utilities
$\checkmark$ 12.Legal Description
$\checkmark$ 13.Statement of Taxes Paid14.Certificate of Notice to Mineral Estate Owners/and Lessees (pg. 12) Not applicable
$\square$ 15.Certificate of Surface Development (pg. 13) Not applicable
$\checkmark$ 16.Subdivision Engineering Review application (2 hard copies) continued on next page...

| Application Fees | Amount | Due |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minor Subdivision (final plat) | \$1,600 | After complete application received |
| Adams County Health Dept. | \$150 (public utilities - Level 1), <br> \$210 (individual septic system - Level 2) | After 1st Staff Review is Completed |
| Soil Conservation | $\$ 100$ (less than 5 lots), \$150 (more than 5 lots) | After complete application received |
| Colorado Geological Survey | \$600 (1-3 dwellings and less than 100 ac$) \$ 900$ <br> ( $<3$ dwellings and less than 100 ac) \$1,550 (between 100 and 500 acres) $\$ 2,500$ (500 acres or more) | After complete application received |
| Engineering Review | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,000 \text { (less than } 5 \text { lots) } \\ \$ 2,500 \text { (5-25 lots) } \\ \$ 7,500 \text { (greater than } 25 \text { lots) } \end{gathered}$ | After complete application received |
| Copying | \$5 per page | Prior to public hearing |
| Recording <br> * Check made payable to Clerk and Recorder | \$13 first page, \$10 each additional page | Prior to public hearing |
| Public Land <br> Dedication | Determined during staff review of project | Prior to public hearing |

## Minor Subdivision (Preliminary/Final Plat) - Guide to Development Application Submittal

The submittal documents for all Land Use/Development Applications are listed below. Detailed explanations of the submittal documents are also provided.

All development application submittals shall comprise of one (1) electronic copy (emailed or delivered on a USB). Application submittals that do not conform to these guidelines shall not be accepted.

## 3. Written Explanation of the Project:

- A clear and concise, yet thorough, description of the proposal. Please include, if applicable, timeframe, purpose of project, and improvements that will be made to the site

4. Site Plan Showing Proposed Development:

- A detailed drawing of existing and proposed improvements
- Including:
o Streets, roads, and intersections
o Driveways, access points, and parking areas
o Existing and proposed structures, wells, and septic systems,
o Easements, utility lines, and no build or hazardous areas
o Scale, north arrow, and date of preparation
- An Improvement Location Certificate or Survey may be required during the official review

5. Copy of Plat Prepared by Registered Land Surveyor

- A map or maps together with supporting documentation of certain described land providing permanent and accurate record of the legal description, dedications, exact size, shape, and location of lots, blocks, streets, easements, and parcels

6. Subdivision Improvements Agreement:

- This agreement addresses the manner, timing, and responsibility of completion of all required public improvements (i.e. curb, gutter, and sidewalk)
- Shall include the Word version of the Subdivision Improvements Agreement, all exhibits, and a collateral estimate


## 7. School Impact Analysis:

- Contact the applicable school district for the analysis
- Should include the increase in elementary, middle, and high school students and the existing school sites and structure of the applicable district in which the subdivision is proposed to be located


## 8. Fire Protection Report:

- Shall discuss the adequacy of protection within the propose subdivision and be approved by the appropriate fire district


## 9. Proof of Ownership:

- A deed may be found in the Office of the Clerk and Recorder
- A title commitment is prepared by a professional title company


## 10. Proof of Water:

- Public utilities - A written statement from the appropriate water district indicating that they will provide service to the property OR a copy of a current bill from the service provider
- Private utilities - Well permit(s) information can be obtained from the Colorado State Division of Water Resources at (303) 866-3587


## Proof of Sewer:

- Public utilities - A written statement from the appropriate sanitation district indicating that they will provide service to the property OR a copy of a current bill from the service provider
- Private utilities - A written statement from Adams County Health indicating the viability of obtaining Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems

11. Proof of Utilities (Gas, Electric, etc):

- A written statement from the appropriate utility provider indicating that they will provide service to the property
- Copy of a current bill from the service provider


## 12. Legal Description:

- Geographical description used to locate and identify a property
- Visit http://gisapp.adcogov.org/quicksearch/ to find the legal description for your property


## 13. Statement of Taxes Paid:

- All taxes on the subject property must be paid in full. Please contact the Adams County Treasurer's Office
- Or https://adcotax.com/treasurer/web/

14-15. Certificate of Notice to Mineral Estate Owners/ Certificate of Surface Development:

- The State of Colorado requires notification to mineral rights owners of applications for surface development (i.e. zoning, plats, etc.)
- Mineral or Surface right owners may be found in the title commitment for the subject property
- You may also search the Office of the Clerk and Recorder for any recorded deeds, easements, or other documents.
Subdivision Engineering Review


## Level 1-Storm Drainage Plan:

- A level 1 Storm Drainage Plan is a preliminary design plan showing existing and proposed site drainage features or improvements and, is intended to show how the storm drainage will be mitigated.
- See Appendix B of the Development Standards for a plan preparation checklist


## Level 2-Storm Drainage Study (SDS):

- A level 2 SDS is a preliminary design report that describes the existing and proposed drainage features and, includes a hydrologic analysis of the proposed site. A Level 2 SDS also includes a drainage plan.
- See Appendix B of the Development Standards for a plan preparation checklist


## Level 3-Storm Drainage Study (SDS):

- A level 3 SDS is a preliminary design report that describes the existing and proposed drainage features, includes a hydrologic analysis of the proposed site and hydraulic analysis of all proposed drainage mitigation measures. A Level 3 SDS also includes a drainage plan and construction plans for all drainage mitigation features.
- See Appendix B of the Development Standards for a plan preparation checklist


## Traffic Impact Study:

- Intended to forecast and mitigate the transportation and traffic impacts of a proposed land use development or redevelopment project
- See Chapter 8 of the Adams County Development Standards for requirements


## Erosion and Sediment Control Plans:

- Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC) plans are construction plans showing the proposed Best Management Practices, or BMP’s, that will be used to mitigate erosion and the transport of sediment from a site under construction.
- ESC plans are often done in three (3) phases: Initial, Interim and, Final.
- These plans must also include installation details for each of the BMP's.


## Construction / Engineering Design Plans:

- A set of maps and/or drawings showing how a proposed development is to be constructed.
- The plans must include:
o site maps of the existing conditions and proposed improvements,
o installation/construction details for all proposed improvements,
o survey control (horizontal and vertical) for locating the improvements and,
o all necessary specification for the products to be used.
- Construction plans are often broken out for specific improvements; for example: site plan, grading plan, waterline improvement plans, roadways improvements plans, etc.


## DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION FORM

## Application Type:

| $\square$ Conceptual Review | $\square$ | Preliminary PUD | $\square$ Temporary Use |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | Subdivision, Preliminary | $\square$ Final PUD | $\square$ Variance |
| $\square$ | Subdivision, Final | $\square$ Rezone | $\square$ Conditional Use |
| $\square$ | Plat Correction/ Vacation | $\square$ | Special Use |

PROJECT NAME: 5800 Federal Industrial

## APPLICANT

| Name(s): | Opus Development Company, L.L.C.:. Opus AE Group, L.L.C. | Phone \#: | Joe Swensson: (303) 5158810 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Address: | 950 17th Street, Suite 1500 |  |  |
| City, State, Zip: | Denver, Colorado 80202 |  |  |
| 2nd Phone \#: |  | Email: | joe.swensson@opus-group.com |

## OWNER

|  | Fed57, LLC; Fed58, LLC | Phone \#: | Kevin Preblud: (303) 297 8151 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| Address: | 3535 Larimer Street |  |  |
| City, State, Zip: | Denver, Colorado 80205 |  |  |
| 2nd Phone \#: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Email: |
|  |  |  | kevin@exdogroup.com |
|  |  |  |  |

## TECHNICAL REPRESENTATIVE (Consultant, Engineer, Surveyor, Architect, etc.)

Name:
John Wade, AIA
Phone \#:
952-656-4563
Address:

```
                                    10350 Bren Road West
```

City, State, Zip:
Minnetonka, MN 55343
2nd Phone \#: $\square$ Email: john.wade@opus-group.com

## DESCRIPTION OF SITE

## Address:

City, State, Zip:
Area (acres or square feet):

Tax Assessor Parcel Number

## Existing

Zoning:
Existing Land Use:

Proposed Land Use:

5690, 5790, and 5800 Federal Boulevard
Denver, Colorado 80221

533,720 square feet / 12.25 acres

I-1: 5800 Federal Boulevard; l-2: 5690 and 5790 Federal Boulevard

Light industrial uses - autoshop, storage, existing cell tower

Light Industrial

Have you attended a Conceptual Review? YES $\quad \mathrm{X}$ NO $\square$
If Yes, please list PRE\#: 2023-00014

I hereby certify that I am making this application as owner of the above described property or acting under the authority of the owner (attached authorization, if not owner). I am familiar with all pertinent requirements, procedures, and fees of the County. I understand that the Application Review Fee is non-refundable. All statements made on this form and additional application materials are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Name:
Joe Swanson

Date: 08.07.2023
Owner's Printed Name
Name:


Owner's Signature

# Berkeley Water and Sanitation District <br> 4455 West $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, Unit A <br> ARVADA, COLORADO 80002 <br> 303-477-1914 <br> Email: berkeleywater@gmail.com 

3/6/2023
Joe Swensson
OPUS Development Company LLC
$95017^{\text {th }}$ St, STE 1500
Denver, CO 80202
Re: $\quad 5800$ Federal Blvd, Denver, CO 80221
Availability of sanitary sewer services
Dear Joe:
This conditional will serve letter confirms that Berkeley Water and Sanitation District ("District") has the capacity to provide sewer services to above described property (the "Property"), under the following terms and conditions:

1. If any of these conditions are not met, this "will serve" letter will be rescinded and the appropriate parties will be notified that the District can no longer provide sewer service to this property.
2. The District owns an 8 " sewer main in $\mathrm{W} .60^{\text {th }}$ Ave. The property owner may be required to install sewer main extensions, feeding into this present system, to facilitate development, depending upon design.
3. Each unit served must have its own sewer service lines, on its own land or easement. The engineering design and/or plans must be submitted and approved by the District prior to installation of any sewer service lines or tapping into any District sewer mains.
4. If a property is removing existing structures, the existing sewer service line(s) must be capped or plugged at the sewer main prior to demolition. The District must be called to observe and inspect this action before further construction begins.
5. The property owner will be required to pay tap fees, review fees and costs, and all other applicable fees and charges prior to receiving sewer service from the District. The District may require a review deposit for District costs, including engineering and legal reviews, contract development, construction, observation and
inspections. If the Developer makes a review deposit with the District, over payments will be refunded and shortages will be billed to the property owner.
6. If the extension of sewer mains is required, the District's engineering firm must review and approve the designs. The District's review and approval of the construction contract for the extension is also required before the work can commence. A contract must be developed, appropriate Certificates of Insurance presented, and Warranty and Performance Bonds must be posted. In addition, the property owner will be required to dedicate easements for any public improvements.
7. The design specifications for the Project must comply with the District's Rules and Regulations, Adams County Fire Protection District regulations, and Adams County regulations. All sewer service will be subject to the District's Rules and Regulations.
8. Sewer tap fees will be payable to the District, which also collects Metro Wastewater's "connection fees." Fees to all agencies will be at prevailing rates at the time of application.
9. No representations are made regarding the availability of water service to the Property.

To reiterate, all costs incurred by the District and fees charged by the District, including without limitation tap fees, review costs, contract development, construction, observation and inspections, are the responsibility of the property owner as a condition of receipt of sewer service. If expenses are incurred and no payment is made, no taps will be issued and a lien will be placed against the property until paid per the District's Rules and Regulations and current Fee Schedule.

This conditional will serve letter is valid through March 6, 2024. If tap fees are not paid by that date, this agreement to service must be renewed through the District.

We look forward to providing services to the Property.
Sincerely,


BERKELEY WATER AND SANITATION DISTRICT

# CRESVIFW WIIER \& SANITAION DISTRCT 

Mr. Joe Swensson
March 6, 2023
Opus Development Company, LLC
950 17 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street, Suite 1500
Denver, CO 80202

Re: 5800 N. Federal Blvd. Will Serve Water Service

To Whom It May Concern:

Please be advised that water service is currently being provided to 5800 N. Federal Blvd., Adams County Parcel number 0082508400041 by the Crestview Water \& Sanitation District.

The property is wholly within the boundaries of this District and Crestview will continue to provide service to the property, provided the account is in good standing and District Rules and Regulations are met.

The land owner/developer is responsible for all engineering studies and plan development/review costs.

Prior to creating a layout and filing a plat for any future development of the above described parcel, the petitioning owner/developer (developer) should have a pre-design meeting with Crestview, as the developer MUST allow for the installation of adequate water mains in strict accordance with Denver Water Engineering Standards and Crestview Rules and Regulations and engineering requirements.

Crestview provides drinking water to its customers by means of a wholesale water purchasing contract with Denver Water. As part of the Contract, Denver Water requires Crestview to adhere to Denver Water's Engineering Standards.

If required by the District, after engineering studies have been performed, the land owner/developer shall install new water mains in accordance with District Rules and Regulations and Engineering Standards in order to maintain adequate fire flows and water service.

All water mains and appurtenances shall be installed at the land owner/developer's expense and deeded free and clear to the District prior to the issuance of any additional water taps.

Current connection fees can be provided by contacting our office.


## CRESTVIEW WAIER \& SANTIATION DISTRICT

Crestview requires a signature of acceptance of this Will Serve letter by the developer prior to scheduling a pre-design meeting with Crestview. Please provide a copy of this signed Will Serve letter when scheduling a pre-design meeting to Crestview's engineer, Clarice O'Hanlon, at cohanlon@crestviewwater.net.

Signature of developer representative
Date

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact our office.

Sincerely,


Mitchell T. Terry
District Manager
Crestview Water \& Sanitation District


人 OPUS

, men
Opus Design Billd, L.L.C. cin
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## 5800 FEDERAL INDUSTRIAL

COUNTY OF ADAMS,
STATE OF COLORADO

## DEDICATIONS:

KNow ALL PEOPLE BY THESE PRESENTS THAT THE UNDERSIINED WARRANT THEY
ARE THE OWNERS OF PAREELS OF LAND SITUATED IN THE SOUTHEAST OUARTER



SEE SHEET 2 FOR DESCRIPTION
HAVE LAD OUT, PLATTED AND SUBDVIDED THE SAME INTO A LOT AND A BLOCK AS SHOWN ON THIS PLAT UNDER THE NAME AND STME OF 5800 FEDERAL ADAMS COUNTY THOSE PUBLICD EASEMENTS AS DHOWN ON THE PLAT; AND FURHE RESTRICTS THE USE OF ALL PUBLIC EASEMENTS TO ADAMS COUNTY AND/ OR ITS
ASSIGNS PROVIDED HOWEVR, THAT THE SOLE RIGHT AND AUTHORIT TO RELEASE OR OUTTCLAMM ALL OR ANY SUCH PUBLIC EAEEMENTS SHALL REMAN EXCLUSVIVLY VESTED IN ADAMS COUNTY

## NOTES:

1. RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR INGRRESS AND EGRESS FOR SERVCE AND EMERGENCY PRIVATE ROADS, WAYS, AND FIRE LANES NOW OR HEREATER ESTABLLSHED ON THE DESCRIRED PRORERT. THE SAME ARE HEREB DESIINATED AS FIRE LANES AND EMERGENCY AND SERVCEE
POSTED ${ }^{\text {NNO }}$ PARKKNG - FIRE
ANE.
2. THIS SURVEY DOES NOT CONSTTTUTE A TITLE OR OWNERSHIP SEARCH BY
 FRRST AMERCAN TTLE NSURANCE COMPANY COMMTMENT NO.
NCS $116769-$ MPLS WTTH AN EFEECTVE DATE OF JULY 17, 2023 AT 5:00 $\stackrel{\text { NCS. }}{\text { P.M. }}$
3. ANY PERSON WHO KNOWINGLY REMOVES, ALTERS OR DEEACES ANY PUBLC LAND STRVEY MONUMENT OR LAND BOUNDARY MONUMENT OR ACCESSORY,
COMMIT A CLASS TWO (2) MISDEMEANR PURSUANT TO STAAE STATUTE
18-4-58S CRS
4. BEARNGS ARE BASED ON THE WESTERLL LINE OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTE PRINCIPAL MERDIAN ASSUMED TO BEAR NOO $19^{\prime} 25^{\prime 2} \mathrm{~N}$ AND BEING


5. ACCORDNG TO COLORADO LAW YOU MUST COMMENCE ANY LEGAL ACTION


6. ALL LINEAL DIMENSIONS ARE IN U.S. SURVEY FEET.
7. THE EASEMENT AREA MTHIN EACH LOT OR TRACT IS TO BE CONTINOOSLY AURORA FROM SUCH RESPONSIBLITY. ANY STRUCTUXES INCONSISTENT WITH THE USE GRANTED IN THE EASEMENT ARE PROHBBITED.

## OWNER:

ED57, LLC, A COLORADO LIMTED LABLLTY COMPANY
BY:
. $\qquad$ -

NOTARY:
state of $\qquad$ $)_{\text {) }}^{\text {ss. }}$

THE FOREGONG INSTRUMENT WAS ACKNOWLEDGED BEFORE
DAY OF
2023,

WTNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL.
NOTARY PUBLIC
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:

## OWNER:

ed58, lLC, a COLORado limited liablity company
BY: $\qquad$ -
titLE: $\qquad$
NOTARY:
STATE OF $\qquad$ ) ${ }^{\text {) ss. }}$
COUNTY OF $\qquad$ NSTRUMENT WAS ACKNOWLEDGED BEFORE
DAY OF
2023,
$T$ WILL
EESE EXECUTED BY
LLC, A COLORADO
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY
WTNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL.
NOTARY PUBLIC
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES $\qquad$


VICINITY MAP
NTS

## SHEET INDEX

11 COVER SHEET
02 DESCRIPTION SHEET
O.
03 PLAT SHEET
04 VACATED EASEMENTS \& PARCELS SHEET
05
PLATTED EASEMENTS SHEET

## SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE:

HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I WAS IN RESPONSIBLE CHARGE OF THE SURVEY WORK USED IN THE PREPARATION OF THIS PLAT; THE POSITIONS OF THE
PLATTED POINTS SHOWN HEREON HAVE AN ACCURACY OF NOT LESS THAN ONE (1) FOOT IN TEN THOUSAND (10,OOO) FEET PRIOR TO ADJUSTMENTS;
AND ALL BOUNDAY MOUUMENT AND CNTROL CRNER SDOWN HEREN NERE IN PLACE AS DESCRIBED ON
FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS CCURATE AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE STANDARDS OF PRACTICE TO MY KNOWLEDGE, INFORMATION AND BELIEF. THIS CERTIICATION IS NOT A

RICHARD A. NOBBE
PROFESSIONAL L.S. No. 23899

## 5800 FEDERAL INDUSTRIAL

A PARCEL OF LAND LOCATED IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 8, TOWNSHIP 3 SOUTH, RANGE 66 WEST OF THE 6TH P.M.,

COUNTY OF ADAMS,
STATE OF COLORADO
SHEET 2 OF 5
DESCRIPTION SHEET

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION (PER TITLE COMMITMENT)

PARCEL 1:
A PARCEL OF LAND LOCATED IN THE SOUTHEAST $1 / 4$ OF SECTION 8 , TOWNSHIP
SOUTH, RANGE 68 WEST OF THE $6 T H$ PRINCIPAL MERDAN COUNTY OF ADAMS, AOUTH RANGE 68 WEST OF THE GTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, COUNTY OF ADAMS,
STATE OF COLORADO, MORE PARTCULARLY DESCRIED AS FOLLOWS: RANGE 68 WEST OF THE 6 TH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN; THENCE NORTH $00^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ " WES ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST $1 / 4$ A DISTANCE OF 1766.13 FEET; THENCE SOUTH $76{ }^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ EAST A DISTANCE OF 56.52 FEET TO THE EASTERLY
RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF FEDERAL BOULEVARD AND THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE NORTH $00^{\circ} 18^{\prime \prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ WEST ALONG THE EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE A
DISTANCE OF 139.93 FEET TO THE SOUTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF D\&RGW RALLROAD; THENCE SOUTH $85^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}$ EAST ALONG THE SOUTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE RALLROAD; THENCE SOUTH $85^{\circ} 4^{\prime} 8^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}$ EAST ALONG THE SOUTH RIGHT OF WAY LIN
A DISTANCE OF 215.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH O0 $18^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ EAST A DISTANCE OF 174.72 FEET, THENCE NORTH $76^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ WEST A DISTANCE OF 220.47 FEET TO
THE EATERLY RIGHT OF WAY LIN OF FEERERAL BULEVARD AND THE TRUE POIN OF BEGINNING, COUNTY OF ADAMS, STATE OF COLORADO
PARCEL 2:
A PARCEL OF LAND LOCATED IN THE SOUTHEAST $1 / 4$ OF SECTION 8, TOWNSHIP
SOUTH, RANGE 68 WEST OF THE GTH PRINCIPAL MERIDAN, COUNTY OF ADAMS,
STATE OF COLORADO, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLOWS:
STATE OF COLORADO, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:
COMMENCING AT THE SOUTH $1 / 4$ CORNER OF SECTION 8 , TOWNSHIP 3 SOUTH, RANGE 68 WEST OF THE GTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN; THENCE NORTH $00^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ ' WEST ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST $1 / 4$ A DISTANCE OF 1766.13 FEET;
THENCE SOUTH $76^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ EAST A DISTANCE

 DEPARTMENT WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE; THENCE CONTINUING ALONG SAID
WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE SOUTH $666^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ WEST A DISTANCE OF 128.49 FEET; THENCE CONTINUING ALONG SAID RIGHT OF WAY SOUTH 57"15'47" WEST A DISTANCE OF 500.00 FEET; THENCE NORTH $01.22^{\prime}$ 'O3" WEST A DISTANCE OF 81.53
FEET; THENCE NORTH $76^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ '25" WEST A DISTANCE OF 671.45 FEET TO THE TRUE FEET; THENCE NORTH $76^{\circ} 45^{\circ} 25^{\prime \prime}$ WEST A DISTANCE OF 671.45 F
POINT OF BEGINNING, COUNTY OF ADAMS, STATE OF COLORADO.
PARCEL 3:
A TRACT OF LAND LOCATED IN THE NORTHEAST $1 / 4$ OF SECTION 8 , TOWNSHP 3
SOUTH RANGE 68 WEST OF THE 6 TH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN COUNTY OF ADAMS, SOUTH RANGE 68 WEST OF THE 6TH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, COUNTY
STATE OF COLORADO, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: COMMENCING AT THE SOUTH $1 / 4$ OF SAID SECTION 8 FROM WHICH THE NORTH $1 / 4$ CORNER BEARS $N 00^{\prime \prime} 9^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime}$ W; THENCE $N 11^{1} 35^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$ E A DISTANCE OF 1283.49 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE N $00^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 9^{\prime} \mathbf{N O}^{\prime \prime}$ W AND
PARALLEL WTH THE CENTER OF SAID SECTION 8 , A DISTANCE OF 446.43 FEET; THENCE S $766^{\circ} 30^{\prime 3} 3^{\prime \prime}$ E A DISTANCE OF 676.75 FEET; THENCE $S$ O $00^{\circ} 19^{\prime \prime} 08^{\prime \prime}$ E A
DISTANCE OF 76.86 FEET TO THE POINT OF THE NORTH RIGHT OF WAY OF INTERSAE WAY A DISTANCE OF 180.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, COUNTY OF
ADAMS, STATE OF COLORADO.

PARCEL 4:
a remainder parcel of land no. 214RB2 of the department of TRANSPORTATION, STATE OF COLORADO, PROJECT NO. I 76-1(35) SECTION 2 , IN THE S.E. $1 / 4$ OF SECTION 8, TOWNSHIP 3 SOUTH, RANGE 68 WEST, OF THE SIXTH BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:
COMMENCING AT THE S. 14 / CORNER OF SAID SECTION 8
THENCE N. $00^{\circ} 19^{\prime \prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$ W., ALONG THE WEST LINE OF THE S.E. $1 / 4$ OF SAID
 DESCRIBED IN BOOK 3258, PAGE 316 OF THE ADAMS COUNTY CLERK AND
RECORDER'S OFFICE, SAID POINT BEING THE TRUE POINT OF BEGNNING: REC THENCE AOE
 2. THENCE ALONG THE SOUTHERLY BOUNDARY OF SAID PARCEL $214 R B$ S.
45 $20^{\circ} 00^{\prime \prime}$ E., A DISTANCE OF 49.50 FEET, TO A S.W. PROPERTY CORNER OF SAID PARELI THENCE CONTINUING ALONG THE SOUTH BOUNDARY LINE OF PARCEL 214RB N. $87^{11} 1^{\circ} 41^{\prime \prime}$ E., A
SAID PARCLE;
4. THENCE ALONG THE EAST BOUNDARY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 214RB N .

6. THENCE S. 21.01 '43" W., A DISTANCE OF 45.78 FEET, MORE OR LESS TO THE

TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING, COUNTY OF ADAMS, STATE OF COLORADO.

## COMBINED OVERALL LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A PARCEL OF LAND LOCATED IN THE SOUTHEAST $1 / 4$ OF SECTION 8 , TOWNSHIP 3
SOUTH, RANGE 68 WEST OF THE GTH PRINCIPAL MERIIAN, COUNTY OF ADAMS, STATE SOUTH, RANGE 68 WEST OF THE 6 TH PRINCIPAL MERIDAN,
OF COLORADO, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCING AT THE CENTER $1 / 4$ CORNER OF SECTION 8 , TOWNSHP 3 SOUTH, RANGE 68 WEST OF THE 6 TH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN; THENCE SOO"19' $25^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ALO
WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST $1 / 4$ A DISTANCE OF 744.89 FEET. THENCE S89 "40'35"E A DISTANCE OF 55.07 FEET TO THE EASTERLY RIGHT' OF WAY LINE OF FEDERAL BOULEVARD AND THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE S85*49'57"E A THENCE S57 $15^{\prime \prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ A DISTANCE OF 500.02 FEET: THENCE S67․ $16^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ A DISTANCE OF 517.77 FEET; THENCE S86 '3' 3 '39"W A DISTANCE OF 179.98 FEET; THENCE S87"4'57"W A DISTANCE OF 104.17 FEET TO THE EASTERLY RIGH OF WAY
LINE OF FEDERAL BOULEVARD; THENCE ALONG SAID EASERTL RIGHT OF WAY LINE THE FOLLOWING THREE (3) CONSECUTIVE COURSES: 1) N45'24'50"W A DISTANCE 49.50 FEET; 2) THENCE N10'19'30"W A DISTANCE OF 409.27 FEET; 3) THENCE SAID PARCEL CONTAINS 12.566 ACRES OR 547,355 SQUARE FEET MORE OR LESS.

## 5800 FEDERAL INDUSTRIAL

A PARCEL OF LAND LOCATED IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 8,
TOWNSHIP 3 SOUTH, RANGE 66 WEST OF THE 6TH P.M.
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TOWNSHIP 3 SOUTH, RANGE 66 WEST OF THE 6TH P.M.
COUNTY OF ADAMS,
STATE OF COLORADO
SHEET 4 OF 5
VACATIONS SHEE


## 5800 FEDERAL INDUSTRIAL

A PARCEL OF LAND LOCATED IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 8,
TOWNSHIP 3 SOUTH, RANGE 66 WEST OF THE 6TH P.M.
COUNTY OF ADAMS,
STATE OF COLORADO
LATTED EASEMEN

$\frac{\text { DETALL "A }}{1 "=100^{\prime}}$



| LINE TABLE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NUMBER | DIRECTION | Distance |
| L1 | S85'49'57"E | 462.28' |
| L2 | S0411'28"W | ${ }^{11.61}{ }^{\prime}$ |
| L3 | S79'20'46"W | 288.00' |
| L4 | S10'39'14"E | 35.77' |
| L5 |  | 149.43' |
| L6 | N47'59'39"W | 25.28' |
| L7 | No0'19'009"W | 133.52' |
| L8 | S89'39'03"E | 25.41' |
| L9 | S13'23'57"E | 18.81' |
| L10 | 570 $0^{\circ 9} 9^{\prime \prime} 3^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 50.02' |
| L11 | N79202'46"E | 533.00' |
| L12 | S20'30'14"E | $10.58^{\prime}$ |
| L13 | S6716 $6^{\prime 299^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}}$ | 313.21' |
| L14 | s86'35'39"W | 179.98' |
| L15 | S8774457"w | 104.17' |
| L16 | N45 $5^{\prime 2} 4^{\prime 5} 0^{\prime \prime W}$ | 49.50' |
| L17 | N10"99'30"W | 61.22' |
| L18 | S00'99'09"E | 150.32' |
| L19 | N00'99'09"w | 28.39' |
| L20 |  | 190.2 |


AUGUST 08, 2023


## ALTA/NSPS LAND TITLE SURVEY <br> \section*{5800 FEDERAL INDUSTRIAL}

PARCEL OF LAND LOCATED IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 8 TOWNSHIP 3 SOUTH, RANGE 68 WEST OF THE SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN,

COUNTY OF ADAMS
STATE OF COLORADO
SHEET 1 OF 4

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

 parcel l:


NOTES


2. FIELD WORK WAS DONE JUNE 2023
 ${ }^{18-4-580, \text { C.R.S. }}$






 TITLE COMMITMENT SCHEDULE B-2 EXCEPTIONS

9. THIS Item is intentonally delete.

 Off PRoperit














TITLE COMMITMENT SCHEDULE B-2 EXCEPTIONS CONTINUED









15. THIS ITEM IS INTENTOONALY DELETED
 FLOOD CERTIFICATION
 PARKING
Here are no clearly marked parking spaces on subject property. basis of bearings

 BENCHMARK

 ELEVATION $=5219.30$ feET (NAVO1988).

$\frac{\text { VICIIITY MAP }}{\text { NTS }}$

## SURVEYOR'S CERTIFCATION

 S Companr Manoonal Comercil sine

 Date of plat map: august 3,202

## 

№tice

 INDEXING STATEMENT


## ALTA/NSPS LAND TITLE SURVEY

5800 FEDERAL INDUSTRIAL
PARCEL OF LAND LOCATED IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 8 TOWNSHIP 3 SOUTH, RANGE 68 WEST OF THE SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN
COUNTY OF ADAMS,
STATE OF COLORADO
SHEET 2 OF




## Minor Subdivision Final Plat Requirements

1. Subdivision Name, Subtitle: Name of subdivision at the top of the sheet, followed by a subtitle identifying the section, township and range information along with County and State.
2. Property Description: An accurate and clear property (legal) description of the overall boundary of the subdivision with the acreage of the subdivision. All courses in the property (legal) description shall be shown and labeled on the plat drawing, with all bearings having the same direction as called out in the legal description. The only exception being where more than one description is required, going a different direction over the same course. The direction shall then hold for the description having more weight (i.e., the overall boundary) for purposes of the plat. If both record and "as-measured" dimensions are being used, show both and clearly label on the plat drawing. Point of commencement and/or point of beginning shall be clearly labeled on the plat drawing.

## 3. Ownership Certificate:

a. Know all men by these presents that (owner name(s)), being the sole owner of the following described tract of land:
b. Legal Description
c. Have (Has) by these presents laid out, platted and subdivided the same into lots, streets and easements as shown on this plat under the name and style of (subdivision name).
4. Dedication Statements: Statements of land to be dedicated to the County for parks or other public uses, grants of easements and dedication of public streets to the Adams County are required.
a. All plats with public streets shall have the following sentence in the dedication statement: All public streets are hereby dedicated to Adams County for public use.
b. All plats with public easements and/or tracts must have the following sentence in the dedication statement: The undersigned does hereby dedicate, grant and convey to Adams County those Public Easements (and tracts) as shown on the plat; and further restricts the use of all Public Easement to Adams County and/or its assigns, provided however, that the sole right and authority to release or quitclaim all or any such Public Easements shall remain exclusively vested in Adams County.
c. All plats with private streets shall have the following sentence in the dedication statement: All private streets (insert names) are privately owned and maintained by (list owner name, Owner's Association, etc.).
d. All plats with other tracts being dedicated to the County shall have:
i. A sentence in the dedication statement similar to "Tract X is hereby dedicated to Adams County for public use".
ii. A special numbered plat note defining the purpose and perpetual maintenance responsibility for the tract such as "Tract X is for public drainage, landscaping, trail and open space with maintenance of the surface being vested in the (District Name) Special Maintenance District".
5. Surveyor's Statement: Statement by a registered land surveyor, professionally licensed by the State of Colorado, to the effect that the layout represents a survey made by him and that the monuments thereon actually exist as located and that all dimensional and other details are correct.

## 6. Access Provisions:

a. Statement Restricting Access: A statement restricting access rights across the right-of-way lines of major highways, parkways, streets or freeways, where required as a provision of approval.

## 7. Easement Statement:

a. Six-foot ( $6^{\prime}$ ) wide utility easements are hereby dedicated on private property adjacent to the front lot lines of each lot in the subdivision. In addition, eight-foot (8') wide dry utility easements are hereby dedicated around the perimeter of tracts, parcels and/or open space areas. These easements are dedicated to Adams County for the benefit of the applicable utility providers for the installation, maintenance, and replacement of utilities. Utility easements shall also be granted within any access easements and private streets in the subdivision. Permanent structures, improvements, objects, buildings, wells, water meters and other objects that may interfere with the utility facilities or use thereof (Interfering Objects) shall not be permitted within said utility easements and the utility providers, as grantees, may remove any Interfering Objects at no cost to such grantees, including, without limitation, vegetation.

## 8. Storm Drainage Facilities Statement:

a. The policy of the County requires that maintenance access shall be provided to all storm drainage facilities to assure continuous operational capability of the system. The property owners shall be responsible for the maintenance of all drainage facilities including inlets, pipes, culverts, channels, ditches, hydraulic structures, and detention basins located on their land unless modified by the subdivision development agreement. Should the owner fail to maintain said facilities, the County shall have the right to enter said land for the sole purpose of operations and maintenance. All such maintenance cost will be assessed to the property owners.

## 9. Layout:

a. Boundary Lines: The subdivision boundary will be clearly distinguishable from other map lines by use of a distinct line type and/or thickness. All lines will be labeled with a complete bearing
and distance, and all curves will be labeled with a central angle (delta), radius and arc length. Radial bearings and/or chord bearings will be provided for all nontangent curves. All dimensions to be determined by accurate field survey which must balance and close within limit of one in five thousand $(5,000)$. Show adjacent and/or intersecting plat/deed lines and label appropriately to include recording information (book and page and/or reception number).
b. Streets: All street rights of way defined by the plat will be clearly distinguishable from other map lines by use of a distinct line type and/or thickness. All lines will be labeled with a complete bearing and distance, and all curves will be labeled with a central angle (delta), radius and arc length. Radial bearings and/or chord bearings will be provided for all nontangent curves. Widths shall be labeled from each right-of-way line normal to the corresponding street center line. All street center lines defined by the plat will be clearly distinguishable from other map lines by use of distinct line type and/or thickness. All lines will be labeled with a complete bearing and distance and all curves will be labeled with a central angle (delta), radius and arc length. Radial bearings and/or chord bearings will be provided for all nontangent curves. The plat shall show the right-of-way lines, widths, locations and street names of all existing and proposed public or private streets:
i. Within the proposed subdivision, and
ii. Immediately abutting the proposed subdivision, and
iii. Any private street shall include the designation "(Private)" immediately following street name; any other private right of way that is not named shall include the designation "(Private)" in a manner that clearly conveys such a status.
c. Easements: All easements as required by Adams County and other public and quasi-public agencies. Said easements shall be clearly labeled to include width, use and identification as public or private, if necessary. Tie to property lines and annotate with bearings and distances as necessary. Clearly show and label all existing easements, to include width and recording information, that cross, abut or are located within the subdivision boundary.
d. Lots and Blocks: All lines of lots, blocks and other parcels of land defined by the plat will be clearly distinguishable from other map lines by use of a distinct line type and/or thickness. All lines will be labeled with a complete bearing and distance and all curves will be labeled with a radius and arc length. Lots must close to one in five thousand $(5,000)$.
e. Readability: All line annotation and all other text will be easily and clearly readable. No text shall overwrite other text or be overwritten by map lines.
f. Leader Lines: Use leader lines whenever a dimension is not clearly and unmistakably associated with a given line, line segment or arc.
g. Multiple Sheets: Whenever a plat drawing spans multiple sheets, clear and well labeled match lines and a key map shall be included on each sheet. Labels will be of the nature "See Sheet of ". Duplicate street names, widths, lot numbers, tract names, easement labeling or any such labeling when any feature is shown on multiple sheets.
h. Identification System: All lots and blocks in the subdivision shall be numbered, beginning with the numeral "1" and continuing consecutively throughout the tract, with no omissions or duplications. All tracts shall be likewise labeled beginning with the letter "A". Lots and tracts shall be labeled with the area of the lot or tract.
i. Legend: Provide a legend which designates all lines and symbols except where called out on plat drawing.
j. Inundation Mark: The plat shall clearly show the 100-year floodplain line. Reference the appropriate FEMA panel by which the location of this line has been determined.
10. Easements: Book and page and/or reception number for all existing and newly created easements.
11. Adjacent Subdivision: Names of adjacent platted areas along with the reception and/or plat book and page number shall be shown. If unplatted, so indicate. Existing street rights of way that intersect the subdivision boundary or are adjacent to said boundary lines shall be clearly labeled with the street name, right of way width and appropriate deed or plat recording information wherein the right of way is defined. Show and label all existing lots and blocks that are immediately adjacent to the subdivision boundary.
12. Basis of Bearings: A clearly defined basis of bearings shall be provided, both verbally and graphically. All monumentation defining said line shall be shown and labeled on the plat drawing. When said line is not common with the subdivision boundary, it shall be accurately tied to the boundary with bearings and distances.
13. Monuments: All monuments used to determine and/or describe a boundary (including basis of bearings, point of beginning and point of commencement) shall be shown and clearly labeled on the plat drawing. Monuments for corners defined by the plat, or otherwise found to be missing in the field, shall be placed and set in accord with the requirements of the State of Colorado.
14. Not A Part Of Subdivision: All areas enclosed within the subdivision boundary which do not constitute a part of the subdivision shall be labeled "Not a part of this subdivision". All lines pertaining to such areas shall be dashed.
15. Square Footage: The area in square feet of all lot and tracts sought to be platted.

## 16. Operation and Maintenance Manual reference:

Community \& Economic Development Department www.adcogov.org


4430 South Adams County Parkway 1st Floor, Suite W2000
Brighton, CO 80601-8204 PHoNE 720.523.6800 FAX 720.523.6998

REFER TO THE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MANUEL RECORDED AT RECEPTION NO. FOR ADDITIONAL DRAINAGE GUIDELINES.
17. All other information required by State law.

## Written Explanation

## INTRODUCTION

The Opus Development Company is pleased to submit a proposed 154,290 SF industrial development for a Subdivision Permit by Adams County. The project is tentatively named 5800 Federal Industrial.

## SITE BACKGROUND

The Opus Development Company is set to acquire a 12.53 -acre site situated at the northeast quadrant of Interstate 76 and Federal Boulevard. The site is also adjacent to the Clear Creek - Federal Station rail platform. The proposed development is consistent with the existing zoning classification (l-1 and 1-2) for industrial uses. Previously, the site has been home to various businesses, such as Johnny's Auto Body Paint \& Frame, Resolution Glass, and Look At That Bus. The existing facilities and site improvements are planned to be demolished and removed, except for the existing cell tower, which will be increased in height. The site falls within the Enterprise Zone overlay designated by Adams County.

## PROJECT OVERVIEW

The Opus Development Company is proposing to construct a speculative industrial building in Adams County, Colorado. The building is designed with maximum flexibility to meet the diverse needs of prospective tenants, ranging from Occupancy Types B, F, M, or S. The exterior walls will consist of concrete tilt-up panels with aluminum windows, hollow metal frames and doors, and steel dock doors. The structure will feature steel columns, steel beams, steel roof joists, and a steel roof deck. The building will be of Construction Type IIB (noncombustible) and fully sprinklered with a compliant NFPA 13 ESFR system.

The proposed development will incorporate earth-tone colors, architectural plane changes, and clerestory windows around the exterior. The project includes loading docks and trailer parking stalls on the north side of the building. The primary façade faces south towards Interstate 76, featuring inviting tenant entrances. The site will accommodate approximately 162 automobile parking stalls. As a speculative industrial building, the primary use is yet to be defined, but the Opus Development Company believes that industrial, warehouse, or flex-tech type users are in the market for a facility in this location. Landscaping around the site will complement the architecture and blend into the local environment. The use of storm detention areas on the north and south edges of the site will help manage stormwater.

The project is anticipated to apply for a building permit in the fourth quarter of 2023. Construction is expected to commence in the second quarter of 2024, with occupancy targeted for the first quarter of 2025. Overall, the construction of 5800 Federal Industrial will revitalize the land area, offering a state-of-the-art industrial building in Adams County that caters to a diverse range of potential tenants for years to come.

August 2, 2023

Adams County Planning \& Development Department 4430 South Adams County Parkway
Brighton, CO 80601-8216

Westminster
Public Schools
Where Education is Personal

To Whom It May Concern:
RE: Proposed Development at 5690, 5790, and 5800 Federal Blvd.
The District welcomes both residential and commercial development within its boundaries and, while the District takes no position on the specific plans presented by OPUS Development Company, we believe that a vibrant community should include a wide choice of economic activity. This project proposes to provide additional economic options to the community.

In reviewing the OPUS Development Company current proposal for the development of the site, to include mixed use flex office and warehouse facilities, we have determined that the proposed development would have no impact on student enrollment. Using an average number of students generated by housing type, based on information provided by OPUS Development Company, the District has conservatively calculated the following student yield:


In considering the minimal impact to the District by new students who may reside in the development, the District believes it is important to focus on the quality of the development and the degree to which it will revitalize the community in the years ahead. The District believes development on the south end of the District will provide economic benefit for the citizens of the community and, in general. will have an overall positive impact on the existing neighborhood.

Sincerely,


THE OPUS GROUP

August 3, 2023
Ms. Layla Bajelan, Senior Long Range Planner
Adams County Community and Economic Development
4430 S. Adams County Parkway, $1^{\text {st }}$ Floor, Suite W2000A
Denver, CO 80601

## RE: Fire Protection Report <br> 5800 Federal Highway Industrial <br> Adams County, Colorado

Dear Layla,

We are providing you a summary of the planned site and building fire protection elements for this project. The project will be designed to comply with the Adams County applicable building codes which currently includes the 2018 International Building and Fire Codes. The proposed building is planned as a speculative warehouse / industrial facility and will be designed on the assumption a future tenant could have high-piled combustible storage.

## SITE

- A $26^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ wide fire apparatus access road around the building.
- A primary fire department access entrance off Federal Boulevard in the northwest corner of the site and a secondary fire department access entrance off Federal Boulevard in the southwest corner of the site.


## BUILDING

- The building will be designed as an Unlimited Area building and will have a future tenant(s) as Occupancy Groups $B, F, M$, or S and located a minimum of $60^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ clear to a public way or open yard.
- The exterior walls will be concrete tilt-up panels with aluminum windows, hollow metal frames and doors, and steel dock doors. The super structure will be steel columns, steel beams, steel roof joists, and steel roof deck.
- The building will be Construction Type IIB (noncombustible construction).
- The building will be fully sprinklered with a compliant NFPA 13 ESFR system.
- The building will have portable fire extinguishers installed throughout the building.
- The building will have fire department access doors on the west, north, and east sides of the building.
- The building will be identified with an address number on either the west or north elevation fronting Federal Boulevard.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 952-656-4460.

Sincerely,
Opus AE Group, L.L.C.
$\bullet$

## Cuegkenalk

By: Steve Kovalik, AIA
Its: Vice President, Architecture
ATTACH: Architectural Site Plan
C: Jaymes Kralicek Opus Design Build
John Wade Opus AE Group

## Transaction Identification Data, for which the Company assumes no liability as set forth in Commitment Condition 5.e.:

Issuing Agent: First American Title Insurance Company National Commercial Services
Issuing Office: 121 South 8th Street, Suite 1250, Minneapolis, MN 55402
Issuing Office's ALTA® Registry ID: 0005802
Commitment Number: NCS-1167769-MPLS
Issuing Office File Number: NCS-1167769-MPLS
Property Address: 5800 Federal Boulevard, Denver, CO 80221
Revision Number: 2

## SCHEDULE A

1. Commitment Date: July 17, 2023 at 5:00 P.M.
2. Policy to be issued:
a. ALTA® Owner's Policy (7-1-21)

Proposed Insured: Opus Development Company, L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company
Proposed Amount of Insurance: \$9,338,175.00
The estate or interest to be insured: See Item 3 below
b. ALTA® Loan Policy (7-1-21)

Proposed Insured: A Lender To Be Determined
Proposed Amount of Insurance: $\$ 1,000.00$
The estate or interest to be insured: See Item 3 below
3. The estate or interest in the Land at the Commitment Date is:

Fee Simple
4. The Title is, at the Commitment Date, vested in:

Fed57, LLC, a Colorado limited liability company, as to Parcel 4 and Fed58, LLC, a Colorado limited liability company, as to Parcels 1, 2 and 3
5. The Land is described as follows:

See Exhibit A attached hereto and made a part hereof

[^0]
## EXHIBIT A

The Land referred to herein below is situated in the County of Adams, State of Colorado, and is described as follows:

## Parcel 1:

A parcel of land located in the Southeast $1 / 4$ of Section 8, Township 3 South, Range 68 West of the 6th Principal Meridian, County of Adams, State of Colorado, more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the South $1 / 4$ corner of Section 8, Township 3 South, Range 68 West of the 6th Principal Meridian; thence North $00^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ West along the West line of said Southeast $1 / 4$ a distance of 1766.13 feet; thence South $76^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 56.52 feet to the Easterly right of way line of Federal Boulevard and the true point of beginning; thence North $00^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ West along the Easterly right of way line a distance of 139.93 feet to the South right of way line of D\&RGW Railroad; thence South $85^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}$ East along the South right of way line a distance of 215.00 feet; thence South $00^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 16$ " East a distance of 174.72 feet; thence North $76^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 255^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 220.47 feet to the Easterly right of way line of Federal Boulevard and the true point of beginning,

County of Adams,
State of Colorado.
Parcel 2:
A parcel of land located in the Southeast $1 / 4$ of Section 8, Township 3 South, Range 68 West of the 6th Principal Meridian, County of Adams, State of Colorado, more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the South $1 / 4$ corner of Section 8, Township 3 South, Range 68 West of the 6th Principal Meridian; thence North $00^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ West along the West line of said Southeast $1 / 4$ a distance of 1766.13 feet; thence South $76^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 276.99 feet to the true point of beginning; thence North $00^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 174.72 feet to the South right of way line of the D\&RGW Railroad; thence South $85^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 34$ " East a distance of 1197.69 feet to the Colorado State Highway Department Westerly right of way line; thence continuing along said Westerly right of way line South $66^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 128.49 feet; thence continuing along said right of way South $57^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 500.00 feet; thence North $01^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 81.53 feet; thence North $76^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 671.45 feet to the true point of beginning,

County of Adams, State of Colorado.

Parcel 3:
A tract of land located in the Northeast 1/4 of Section 8, Township 3 South Range 68 West of the 6th Principal Meridian, County of Adams, State of Colorado, more particularly described as follows:

This page is only a part of a 2021 ALTA Commitment for Title Insurance issued by First American Title Insurance Company. This Commitment is not valid without the Notice; the Commitment to Issue Policy; the Commitment Conditions; Schedule A; Schedule B, Part I-Requirements; and Schedule B, Part II-Exceptions; and a counter-signature by the Company or its issuing agent that may be in electronic form.

## Copyright 2021 American Land Title Association. All rights reserved.

The use of this Form (or any derivative thereof) is restricted to ALTA licensees and
ALTA members in good standing as of the date of use. All other uses are prohibited.
Reprinted under license from the American Land Title Association.

Commencing at the South $1 / 4$ of said Section 8 from which the North $1 / 4$ corner bears $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; thence $\mathrm{N} 11^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$ E a distance of 1283.49 feet to the point of beginning; thence $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ and parallel with the center of said Section 8, a distance of 446.43 feet; thence $S 76^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime}$ E a distance of 676.75 feet; thence $S 00^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime}$ E a distance of 76.86 feet to the point of the North right of way of Interstate 76; thence $S 67^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ W along said North right of way a distance of 517.06 feet; thence $S$ $86^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime}$ W along said North right of way a distance of 180.00 feet to the point of beginning,

County of Adams,
State of Colorado.
Parcel 4:

A remainder parcel of land No. 214RB2 of the Department of Transportation, State of Colorado, Project No. I 76-1(35) Section 2, in the S.E. 1/4 of Section 8, Township 3 South, Range 68 West, of the Sixth Principal Meridian, in Adams County, Colorado, said remainder parcel being more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the S. 14 / corner of said Section 8;
Thence N. $00^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime}$ W., along the West line of the S.E. $1 / 4$ of said Section 8 , a distance of $1,642.42$ feet; Thence S. $89^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}$ E., a distance of 63.62 feet to a point on the Westerly boundary of Parcel 214RB, as described in Book 3258, Page 316 of the Adams County Clerk and Recorder's Office, said point being the true point of beginning;

1. Thence along the said Westerly boundary line S. $10^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime}$ E., a distance of 361.28 feet a S.W. Property corner of said Parcel 214RB;
2. Thence along the Southerly boundary of said Parcel 214 RB S. $45^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime}$ E., a distance of 49.50 feet, to a S.W. property corner of said parcel;
3. Thence continuing along the South boundary line of Parcel 214RB N. $87^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 41$ " E., a distance of 104.17 feet, to the S.E. property corner of said parcel;
4. Thence along the East boundary line of said Parcel 214 RB N. $00^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime}$ W., a distance of 384.71 feet;
5. Thence $\mathrm{N} 76^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} .$, a distance of 190.00 feet;
6. Thence S. $21^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$ W., a distance of 45.78 feet, more or less to the true point of beginning,

County of Adams,
State of Colorado.

For informational purposes only: APN(s): 0182508400041, 0182508400047, 0182508400031 and 0182508400050

This page is only a part of a 2021 ALTA Commitment for Title Insurance issued by First American Title Insurance Company. This Commitment is not valid without the Notice; the Commitment to Issue Policy; the Commitment Conditions; Schedule A; Schedule B, Part I-Requirements; and Schedule B, Part II-Exceptions; and a counter-signature by the Company or its issuing agent that may be in electronic form.

Commitment No. NCS-1167769-MPLS

## SCHEDULE B, PART I—Requirements

All of the following Requirements must be met:

1. The Proposed Insured must notify the Company in writing of the name of any party not referred to in this Commitment who will obtain an interest in the Land or who will make a loan on the Land. The Company may then make additional Requirements or Exceptions.
2. Pay the agreed amount for the estate or interest to be insured.
3. Pay the premiums, fees, and charges for the Policy to the Company.
4. Documents satisfactory to the Company that convey the Title or create the Mortgage to be insured, or both, must be properly authorized, executed, delivered, and recorded in the Public Records.
5. Payment of all taxes and assessments now due and payable as shown on a certificate of taxes due from the County Treasurer or the County Treasurer's Authorized Agent.

NOTE: Tax certificate(s) must be ordered by or provided to the Company at least one week prior to closing.
6. Local ordinances may impose inchoate liens on the Land for unpaid water, sewer, stormwater drainage, or other utilities charges. If this transaction includes a sale of the Land, a Utilities Agreement and/or escrow is required.
7. Evidence that all assessments for common expenses, if any, have been paid.
8. Receipt by the Company of an ALTA/NSPS Land Title Survey, certified to First American Title Insurance Company, and in form and content satisfactory to the Company. The Company reserves the right to make further requirements and/or exceptions upon review of this survey.
9. Receipt by the Company of the following documentation for Fed58, LLC, a Colorado limited liability company:

Operating Agreement, and all amendments thereto, if any.
NOTE: The Company reserves the right to make further requirements and/or exceptions upon review of the above item(s).
10. Receipt by the Company of the following documentation for Fed57, LLC, a Colorado limited liability company:

[^1]
## Commitment for Title Insurance

Operating Agreement, and all amendments thereto, if any.
NOTE: The Company reserves the right to make further requirements and/or exceptions upon review of the above item(s).
11. Receipt by the Company of the following documentation for Opus Development Company, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company:

Operating Agreement, and all amendments thereto, if any.
Certificate of Good Standing issued by the Delaware Secretary of State.
NOTE: The Company reserves the right to make further requirements and/or exceptions upon review of the above item(s).
12. Receipt by the Company of a satisfactory Final Affidavit and Indemnity, executed by Fed58, LLC, a Colorado limited liability company.
13. Receipt by the Company of a satisfactory Final Affidavit and Indemnity, executed by Fed57, LLC, a Colorado limited liability company.
14. Receipt by the Company of a satisfactory Final Affidavit and Indemnity, executed by Opus Development Company, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company.

## SCHEDULE B, PART II—Exceptions


#### Abstract

Some historical land records contain Discriminatory Covenants that are illegal and unenforceable by law. This Commitment and the Policy treat any Discriminatory Covenant in a document referenced in Schedule B as if each Discriminatory Covenant is redacted, repudiated, removed, and not republished or recirculated. Only the remaining provisions of the document will be excepted from coverage.


The Policy will not insure against loss or damage resulting from the terms and conditions of any lease or easement identified in Schedule A, and will include the following Exceptions unless cleared to the satisfaction of the Company:

1. Any facts, rights, interests or claims which are not shown by the Public Records, but which could be ascertained by an inspection of the Land or by making inquiry of persons in possession thereof.
2. Easements, or claims of easements, not shown by the Public Records.
3. Discrepancies, conflicts in boundary lines, shortage in area, encroachments, and any facts which a correct land survey and inspection of the Land would disclose, and which are not shown by the Public Records.
4. Any lien or right to a lien for services, labor, material or equipment, unless such lien is shown by the Public Records at Date of Policy and not otherwise excepted from coverage herein.
5. Any defect, lien, encumbrance, adverse claim, or other matter that appears for the first time in the Public Records or is created, attaches, or is disclosed between the Commitment Date and the date on which all of the Schedule B, Part I-Requirements are met.

Note: Exception number 5 will be removed from the policy provided the Company conducts the closing and settlement service for the transaction identified in the commitment.
6. Any and all unpaid taxes, assessments and unredeemed tax sales.
7. Any water rights, claims of title to water, in, on or under the Land.
8. Any existing leases or tenancies.
9. This item has been intentionally deleted.
10. Each and every right or rights of access to and from any part of the right of way for Colorado State Highway No. I-76, from and to any part of the subject property abutting upon said highway, as
granted to The Department of Highways, State of Colorado, by Deed recorded June 9, 1987 in Book 3258 at Page 304. (Affects Parcel 4)
11. Each and every right or rights of access to and from any part of the right of way for Colorado State Highway No. I-76, from and to any part of the subject property abutting upon said highway, as granted to The Department of Highways, State of Colorado, by Deed recorded May 6, 1987 in Book 3312 at Page 633. (Affects Parcel 1)
12. Lease by and between John E. White, Jr, as lessor, and Sprint Spectrum L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, as lessee, as evidenced by Memorandum of PCS Site Agreement recorded December 18, 1996 at Reception No. C0239109. (Affects Parcel 1 and 2)

Site Designation Supplement to Master Lease and Sublease Agreement in connection therewith recorded July 27, 2005 at Reception No. 20050727000795420.

Affidavit of Facts Relating to Title in connection therewith recorded October 26, 2005 at Reception No. 20051026001178110.
13. Lease by and between John E. White, Jr, as lessor, and Nextel West Corp., a Delaware corporation, d/b/a Nextel Communications, as lessee, as evidenced by Memorandum of Agreement recorded July 5, 2000 at Reception No. C0686598 and Corrected Memorandum of Agreement recorded August 6, 2001 at Reception No. C0838319. (Affects All Parcels)

Memorandum of Purchase and Sale of Lease and Successor Lease in connection therewith recorded August 8, 2005 at Reception No. 20050808000839710.

Memorandum of Assignment in connection therewith recorded June 4, 2007 at Reception No. 2007000053786.

Memorandum of First Amendment to Purchase and Sale of Lease and Successor Lease in connection therewith recorded September 13, 2011 at Reception No. 2011000058884.
14. Lease by and between John E. White, Jr., as lessor, and Sprint Spectrum Realty Company, LP., a Delaware limited partnership, as lessee, as evidenced by Memorandum of Agreement recorded November 27, 2001 at Reception No. C0891417. (Affects All Parcels)

Memorandum of Purchase and Sale of Lease and Successor Lease in connection therewith recorded August 8, 2005 at Reception No. 20050808000839720.

Memorandum of Assignment in connection therewith recorded June 4, 2007 at Reception No. 2007000053791.

Memorandum of Third Amendment to PCS Site Agreement in connection therewith recorded May 19, 2010 at Reception No. 2010000033220.

Memorandum of First Amendment to Purchase and Sale of Lease and Successor Lease in connection therewith recorded September 13, 2011 at Reception No. 2011000058882.
15. This item has been intentionally deleted.
16. Resolution 2023-142, for zoning maps, recorded June 8, 2023 at Reception No. 2023000032315.

## DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuant to C.R.S. 30-10-406(3)(a) all documents received for recording or filing in the Clerk and Recorder's office shall contain a top margin of at least one inch and a left, right and bottom margin of at least one-half of an inch. The Clerk and Recorder will refuse to record or file any document that does not conform to the requirements of this section.

NOTE: If this transaction includes a sale of the property and the price exceeds $\$ 100,000.00$, the seller must comply with the disclosure/withholding provisions of C.R.S. 39-22-604.5 (Nonresident withholding).

NOTE: Colorado Division of Insurance Regulations 8-1-2 requires that "Every title insurance company shall be responsible to the proposed insured(s) subject to the terms and conditions of the title commitment, other than the effective date of the title commitment, for all matters which appear of record prior to the time of recording whenever the title insurance company, or its agent, conducts the closing and settlement service that is in conjunction with its issuance of an owner's policy of title insurance and is responsible for the recording and filing of legal documents resulting from the transaction which was closed.

Pursuant to C.R.S. 10-11-122, the company will not issue its owner's policy or owner's policies of title insurance contemplated by this commitment until it has been provided a Certificate of Taxes due or other equivalent documentation from the County Treasurer or the County Treasurer's authorized agent; or until the Proposed Insured has notified or instructed the company in writing to the contrary.

The subject property may be located in a special taxing district. A Certificate of Taxes due listing each taxing jurisdiction shall be obtained from the County Treasurer or the County Treasurer's authorized agent. Information regarding special districts and the boundaries of such districts may be obtained from the Board of County Commissioners, the County Clerk and Recorder, or the County Assessor.
C.R.S. 10-11-122 (4), Colorado Notaries may remotely notarize real estate deeds and other documents using real-time audio-video communication technology. You may choose not to use remote notarization for any document.

## NOTE: Pursuant to CRS 10-11-123, notice is hereby given:

This notice applies to owner's policy commitments containing a mineral severance instrument exception, or exceptions, in Schedule B, Section 2.
A. That there is recorded evidence that a mineral estate has been severed, leased, or otherwise conveyed from the surface estate and that there is a substantial likelihood that a third party holds some or all interest in oil, gas, other minerals, or geothermal energy in the property; and
B. That such mineral estate may include the right to enter and use the property without the surface owner's permission.

NOTE: Pursuant to Colorado Division of Insurance Regulations 8-1-2, Affirmative mechanic's lien protection for the Owner may be available (typically by deletion of Exception no. 4 of Schedule B, Section 2 of the Commitment from the Owner's Policy to be issued) upon compliance with the following conditions:
This page is only a part of a 2021 ALTA Commitment for Title Insurance issued by First American Title Insurance Company. This Commitment is not valid without the Notice; the Commitment to Issue Policy; the Commitment Conditions; Schedule A; Schedule B, Part I-Requirements; and Schedule B, Part II-Exceptions; and a counter-signature by the Company or its issuing agent that may be in electronic form.
A. The land described in Schedule A of this commitment must be a single family residence which includes a condominium or townhouse unit.
B. No labor or materials have been furnished by mechanics or material-men for purposes of construction on the land described in Schedule A of this Commitment within the past 6 months.
C. The Company must receive an appropriate affidavit indemnifying the Company against un-filed mechanic's and material-men's liens.
D. The Company must receive payment of the appropriate premium.
E. If there has been construction, improvements or major repairs undertaken on the property to be purchased within six months prior to the Date of the Commitment, the requirements to obtain coverage for unrecorded liens will include: disclosure of certain construction information; financial information as to the seller, the builder and or the contractor; payment of the appropriate premium, fully executed Indemnity Agreements satisfactory to the company, and, any additional requirements as may be necessary after an examination of the aforesaid information by the Company.

No coverage will be given under any circumstances for labor or material for which the insured has contracted for or agreed to pay.

NOTE: Pursuant to C.R.S. 38-35-125(2) no person or entity that provides closing and settlement services for a real estate transaction shall disburse funds as a part of such services until those funds have been received and are available for immediate withdrawal as a matter of right.

NOTE: C.R.S. 39-14-102 requires that a real property transfer declaration accompany any conveyance document presented for recordation in the State of Colorado. Said declaration shall be completed and signed by either the grantor or grantee.

NOTE: Pursuant to CRS 10-1-128(6)(a), It is unlawful to knowingly provide false, incomplete, or misleading facts or information to an insurance company for the purpose of defrauding or attempting to defraud the company. Penalties may include imprisonment, fines, denial of insurance and civil damages. Any insurance company or agent of an insurance company who knowingly provides false, incomplete, or misleading facts or information to a policyholder or claimant for the purpose of defrauding or attempting to defraud the policyholder or claimant with regard to a settlement or award payable from insurance proceeds shall be reported to the Colorado division of insurance within the department of regulatory agencies.

Nothing herein contained will be deemed to obligate the company to provide any of the coverages referred to herein unless the above conditions are fully satisfied.

[^2]
# ALTA COMMITMENT FOR TITLE INSURANCE issued by <br> FIRST AMERICAN TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY 

## NOTICE

IMPORTANT—READ CAREFULLY: THIS COMMITMENT IS AN OFFER TO ISSUE ONE OR MORE TITLE INSURANCE POLICIES. ALL CLAIMS OR REMEDIES SOUGHT AGAINST THE COMPANY INVOLVING THE CONTENT OF THIS COMMITMENT OR THE POLICY MUST BE BASED SOLELY IN CONTRACT.

THIS COMMITMENT IS NOT AN ABSTRACT OF TITLE, REPORT OF THE CONDITION OF TITLE, LEGAL OPINION, OPINION OF TITLE, OR OTHER REPRESENTATION OF THE STATUS OF TITLE. THE PROCEDURES USED BY THE COMPANY TO DETERMINE INSURABILITY OF THE TITLE, INCLUDING ANY SEARCH AND EXAMINATION, ARE PROPRIETARY TO THE COMPANY, WERE PERFORMED SOLELY FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE COMPANY, AND CREATE NO EXTRACONTRACTUAL LIABILITY TO ANY PERSON, INCLUDING A PROPOSED INSURED.

THE COMPANY'S OBLIGATION UNDER THIS COMMITMENT IS TO ISSUE A POLICY TO A PROPOSED INSURED IDENTIFIED IN SCHEDULE A IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS AND PROVISIONS OF THIS COMMITMENT. THE COMPANY HAS NO LIABILITY OR OBLIGATION INVOLVING THE CONTENT OF THIS COMMITMENT TO ANY OTHER PERSON.

## COMMITMENT TO ISSUE POLICY

Subject to the Notice; Schedule B, Part I—Requirements; Schedule B, Part II—Exceptions; and the Commitment Conditions, First American Title Insurance Company, a Nebraska Corporation (the "Company"), commits to issue the Policy according to the terms and provisions of this Commitment. This Commitment is effective as of the Commitment Date shown in Schedule A for each Policy described in Schedule A, only when the Company has entered in Schedule A both the specified dollar amount as the Proposed Amount of Insurance and the name of the Proposed Insured.

If all of the Schedule B, Part I-Requirements have not been met within six months after the Commitment Date, this Commitment terminates and the Company's liability and obligation end.

## FIRST AMERICAN TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY

$B y:$


This page is only a part of a 2021 ALTA Commitment for Title Insurance issued by First American Title Insurance Company. This Commitment is not valid without the Notice; the Commitment to Issue Policy; the Commitment Conditions; Schedule A; Schedule B, Part I-Requirements; and Schedule B, Part II-Exceptions; and a counter-signature by the Company or its issuing agent that may be in electronic form.

## Copyright 2021 American Land Title Association. All rights reserved.

The use of this Form (or any derivative thereof) is restricted to ALTA licensees and
ALTA members in good standing as of the date of use. All other uses are prohibited.
Reprinted under license from the American Land Title Association.

## COMMITMENT CONDITIONS

1. DEFINITIONS
a. "Discriminatory Covenant": Any covenant, condition, restriction, or limitation that is unenforceable under applicable law because it illegally discriminates against a class of individuals based on personal characteristics such as race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, familial status, disability, national origin, or other legally protected class.
b. "Knowledge" or "Known": Actual knowledge or actual notice, but not constructive notice imparted by the Public Records.
c. "Land": The land described in Item 5 of Schedule A and improvements located on that land that by State law constitute real property. The term "Land" does not include any property beyond that described in Schedule A, nor any right, title, interest, estate, or easement in any abutting street, road, avenue, alley, lane, right-of-way, body of water, or waterway, but does not modify or limit the extent that a right of access to and from the Land is to be insured by the Policy.
d. "Mortgage": A mortgage, deed of trust, trust deed, security deed, or other real property security instrument, including one evidenced by electronic means authorized by law.
e. "Policy": Each contract of title insurance, in a form adopted by the American Land Title Association, issued or to be issued by the Company pursuant to this Commitment.
f. "Proposed Amount of Insurance": Each dollar amount specified in Schedule A as the Proposed Amount of Insurance of each Policy to be issued pursuant to this Commitment.
g. "Proposed Insured": Each person identified in Schedule A as the Proposed Insured of each Policy to be issued pursuant to this Commitment.
h. "Public Records": The recording or filing system established under State statutes in effect at the Commitment Date under which a document must be recorded or filed to impart constructive notice of matters relating to the Title to a purchaser for value without Knowledge. The term "Public Records" does not include any other recording or filing system, including any pertaining to environmental remediation or protection, planning, permitting, zoning, licensing, building, health, public safety, or national security matters.
i. "State": The state or commonwealth of the United States within whose exterior boundaries the Land is located. The term "State" also includes the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and Guam.
j. "Title": The estate or interest in the Land identified in Item 3 of Schedule A.
2. If all of the Schedule B, Part I-Requirements have not been met within the time period specified in the Commitment to Issue Policy, this Commitment terminates and the Company's liability and obligation end.
3. The Company's liability and obligation is limited by and this Commitment is not valid without:
a. the Notice;
b. the Commitment to Issue Policy;
c. the Commitment Conditions;
d. Schedule A;
e. Schedule B, Part I-Requirements; and
f. Schedule B, Part II-Exceptions; and
g. a counter-signature by the Company or its issuing agent that may be in electronic form.
4. COMPANY'S RIGHT TO AMEND

The Company may amend this Commitment at any time. If the Company amends this Commitment to add a defect, lien, encumbrance, adverse claim, or other matter recorded in the Public Records prior to the Commitment Date, any liability of the Company is limited by Commitment Condition 5. The Company is not liable for any other amendment to this Commitment.
5. LIMITATIONS OF LIABILITY
a. The Company's liability under Commitment Condition 4 is limited to the Proposed Insured's actual expense incurred in the interval between the Company's delivery to the Proposed Insured of the Commitment and the delivery of the amended Commitment, resulting from the Proposed Insured's good faith reliance to:
i. comply with the Schedule B, Part I-Requirements;
ii. eliminate, with the Company's written consent, any Schedule B, Part II-Exceptions; or
iii. acquire the Title or create the Mortgage covered by this Commitment.
b. The Company is not liable under Commitment Condition 5.a. if the Proposed Insured requested the amendment or had Knowledge of the matter and did not notify the Company about it in writing.
c. The Company is only liable under Commitment Condition 4 if the Proposed Insured would not have incurred the expense had the Commitment included the added matter when the Commitment was first delivered to the Proposed Insured.
d. The Company's liability does not exceed the lesser of the Proposed Insured's actual expense incurred in good faith and described in Commitment Condition 5.a. or the Proposed Amount of Insurance.
e. The Company is not liable for the content of the Transaction Identification Data, if any.
f. The Company is not obligated to issue the Policy referred to in this Commitment unless all of the Schedule B, Part I-Requirements have been met to the satisfaction of the Company.
g. The Company's liability is further limited by the terms and provisions of the Policy to be issued to the Proposed Insured.

This page is only a part of a 2021 ALTA Commitment for Title Insurance issued by First American Title Insurance Company. This Commitment is not valid without the Notice; the Commitment to Issue Policy; the Commitment Conditions; Schedule A; Schedule B, Part I-Requirements; and Schedule B, Part II-Exceptions; and a counter-signature by the Company or its issuing agent that may be in electronic form.
6. LIABILITY OF THE COMPANY MUST BE BASED ON THIS COMMITMENT; CHOICE OF LAW AND CHOICE OF FORUM
a. Only a Proposed Insured identified in Schedule A, and no other person, may make a claim under this Commitment.
b. Any claim must be based in contract under the State law of the State where the Land is located and is restricted to the terms and provisions of this Commitment. Any litigation or other proceeding brought by the Proposed Insured against the Company must be filed only in a State or federal court having jurisdiction.
c. This Commitment, as last revised, is the exclusive and entire agreement between the parties with respect to the subject matter of this Commitment and supersedes all prior commitment negotiations, representations, and proposals of any kind, whether written or oral, express or implied, relating to the subject matter of this Commitment.
d. The deletion or modification of any Schedule B, Part II-Exception does not constitute an agreement or obligation to provide coverage beyond the terms and provisions of this Commitment or the Policy.
e. Any amendment or endorsement to this Commitment must be in writing and authenticated by a person authorized by the Company.
f. When the Policy is issued, all liability and obligation under this Commitment will end and the Company's only liability will be under the Policy.
7. IF THIS COMMITMENT IS ISSUED BY AN ISSUING AGENT

The issuing agent is the Company's agent only for the limited purpose of issuing title insurance commitments and policies. The issuing agent is not the Company's agent for closing, settlement, escrow, or any other purpose.
8. PRO-FORMA POLICY

The Company may provide, at the request of a Proposed Insured, a pro-forma policy illustrating the coverage that the Company may provide. A pro-forma policy neither reflects the status of Title at the time that the pro-forma policy is delivered to a Proposed Insured, nor is it a commitment to insure.
9. CLAIMS PROCEDURES

This Commitment incorporates by reference all Conditions for making a claim in the Policy to be issued to the Proposed Insured. Commitment Condition 9 does not modify the limitations of liability in Commitment Conditions 5 and 6.
10. CLASS ACTION

ALL CLAIMS AND DISPUTES ARISING OUT OF OR RELATING TO THIS COMMITMENT, INCLUDING ANY SERVICE OR OTHER MATTER IN CONNECTION WITH ISSUING THIS COMMITMENT, ANY BREACH OF A COMMITMENT PROVISION, OR ANY OTHER CLAIM OR DISPUTE ARISING OUT OF OR RELATING TO THE TRANSACTION GIVING RISE TO THIS COMMITMENT, MUST BE BROUGHT IN AN INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY. NO PARTY MAY SERVE AS PLAINTIFF, CLASS MEMBER, OR PARTICIPANT IN ANY CLASS OR REPRESENTATIVE PROCEEDING. ANY POLICY ISSUED PURSUANT TO THIS COMMITMENT WILL CONTAIN A CLASS ACTION CONDITION.

This page is only a part of a 2021 ALTA Commitment for Title Insurance issued by First American Title Insurance Company. This Commitment is not valid without the Notice; the Commitment to Issue Policy; the Commitment Conditions; Schedule $A$; Schedule B, Part I-Requirements; and Schedule B, Part II-Exceptions; and a counter-signature by the Company or its issuing agent that may be in electronic form.

## Copyright 2021 American Land Title Association. All rights reserved.

The use of this Form (or any derivative thereof) is restricted to ALTA licensees and
ALTA members in good standing as of the date of use. All other uses are prohibited.
Reprinted under license from the American Land Title Association.

## 11. ARBITRATION

The Policy contains an arbitration clause. All arbitrable matters when the Proposed Amount of Insurance is $\$ 2,000,000$ or less may be arbitrated at the election of either the Company or the Proposed Insured as the exclusive remedy of the parties. A Proposed Insured may review a copy of the arbitration rules at http://www.alta.org/arbitration.

This page is only a part of a 2021 ALTA Commitment for Title Insurance issued by First American Title Insurance Company. This Commitment is not valid without the Notice; the Commitment to Issue Policy; the Commitment Conditions; Schedule A; Schedule B, Part I-Requirements; and Schedule B, Part II-Exceptions; and a counter-signature by the Company or its issuing agent that may be in electronic form.

# LEGAL DESCRIPTION FOR 5800 FEDERAL BLVD (FROM PAGE 2 OF PLAT) 

## COMBINED OVERALL LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A PARCEL OF LAND LOCATED IN THE SOUTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 8, TOWNSHIP 3 SOUTH, RANGE 68 WEST OF THE 6TH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, COUNTY OF ADAMS, STATE OF COLORADO, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCING AT THE CENTER $1 / 4$ CORNER OF SECTION 8, TOWNSHIP 3 SOUTH, RANGE 68 WEST OF THE 6TH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN; THENCE SOO`19'25"E ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST \(1 / 4\) A DISTANCE OF 744.89 FEET; THENCE S89*40'35"E A DISTANCE OF 55.07 FEET TO THE EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF FEDERAL BOULEVARD AND THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE S85*49'57"E A DISTANCE OF 1412.69 FEET; THENCE S65*59'19"W A DISTANCE OF 128.49 FEET; THENCE S57`15'03"W A DISTANCE OF 500.02 FEET; THENCE S67•16’49"W A DISTANCE OF 517.77 FEET; THENCE S86*35'39"W A DISTANCE OF 179.98 FEET;
THENCE S87•14'57"W A DISTANCE OF 104.17 FEET TO THE EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF FEDERAL BOULEVARD; THENCE ALONG SAID EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE THE FOLLOWING THREE (3) CONSECUTIVE COURSES: 1) N45²4'50"W A DISTANCE OF 49.50 FEET; 2) THENCE N10*19'30"W A DISTANCE OF 409.27 FEET; 3) THENCE NOO"19'09"W A DISTANCE OF 203.71 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

SAID PARCEL CONTAINS 12.566 ACRES OR 547,355 SQUARE FEET MORE OR LESS.


8/8/2023
Scale: 1 inch= 200 feet
|File: Overall Boundary.ndp
Tract 1: 12.5655 Acres, Closure: n27.3855e 0.01 ft . (1/322169), Perimeter=3506 ft.

## RECEIPT OF PAYMENT (Tax, Fees, Costs, Interests, <br> Penalties)

| Account | Parcel Number | Receipt Date | Effective Date | Receipt Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R0103270 | 0182508400031 | May 3, 2022 | Apr 30, 2022 | 2022-05-03-NetVantage- |
|  |  |  |  | 24574 |

FED58 LLC<br>3535 LARIMER ST<br>DENVER, CO 80205-2421

## Situs Address

## Payor

5690 FEDERAL BLVD
Legal Description
SECT,TWN,RNG:8-3-68 DESC: TRACT OF LAND IN THE NE4 OF SEC 8 DESC AS FOLS BEG AT THE S $1 / 4$ OF SD SEC 8 FROM WHICH THE N 1/4 COR BRS N 00D 19M 08S W TH N 11D 35M 48S E 1283/49 FT TO THE POB TH N 00D 19M 08S W AND // WITH THE CEN OF SD SEC 8 A DIST OF 446/43 FT TH S 76D 30M 37S E 676/75 FT TH S 00D 19M 08S E 76/86 FT TO A PT ON THE N ROW OF INTERSTATE 76 TH S 67D 06M 30S W 517/06 FT TH S 86D 38M 02S W 180 FT TO THE POB 4/3045A

| Property Code | Actual | Assessed | Year | Area | Mill Levy |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| RES IMPRV LAND - 1112 | 937,525 | 67,030 | 2021 | 460 | 116.324 |
| SINGLE FAMILY RES - 1212 | 245,746 | 17,570 | 2021 | 460 | 116.324 |
| $1217-1217$ | 74,114 | 5,300 | 2021 | 460 | 116.324 |
| Payments Received |  |  | $\$ 10,457.52$ |  |  |
| Check $\quad$ Check Number 00040028 |  |  |  |  |  |

Payments Applied

| Year | Charges | Billed | Prior Payments | New Payments | Balance |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2021 | Tax Charge | $\$ 10,457.52$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 10,457.52$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
|  |  |  | $\$ 10,457.52$ | $\$ 0.00$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\$ 0.00$ |  |

WE ARE EXPANDING TO SERVE YOU BETTER! WATCH FOR NEW LOCATIONS ON OUR WEBSITE!
4430 S ADAMS COUNTY PKWY C2436
BRIGHTON CO 80601
[Stay Safe! Please use website services www.adcotax.com]
Email: treasurer@adcogov.org
Telephone: 720-523-6160
ALL CHECKS ARE SUBJECT TO FINAL COLLECTION. THANK YOU FOR YOUR PAYMENT!

## RECEIPT OF PAYMENT (Tax, Fees, Costs, Interests, <br> Penalties)

| Account | Parcel Number | Receipt Date | Effective Date | Receipt Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R0103277 | 0182508400047 | May 3, 2022 | Apr 30, 2022 | 2022-05-03-NetVantage- |
|  |  |  |  | 24868 |

FED58 LLC<br>3535 LARIMER ST<br>DENVER, CO 80205-2421

## Situs Address

## Payor

5800 FEDERAL BLVD
Legal Description
SECT,TWN,RNG:8-3-68 DESC: PARCEL NO 3 PARC OF LAND IN THE SE4 SEC 8 DESC AS FOLS BEG AT THE S 1/4 COR SD SEC TH N 00D 18M 16S W 1766/13 FT TH S 76D 45M 25S E 276/99 FT TO THE TRUE POB TH N 00D 18M 16S W 174/72 FT TO THE S ROW LN OF D \& RGW RR TH S 85D 45M 34S E 1197/69 FT TO THE COLO S/H DEPT WLY ROW LN TH CONT ALG SD WLY ROW LN S 66D 03M 42S W 128/49 FT TH CONT ALG SD ROW S 57D 15M 47S W 500 FT TH N 01D 22M 03S W 81/53 FT TH N 76D 45M 25S W 671/45 FT TO THE TRUE POB 5/554A

| Property Code | Actual | Assessed | Year | Area | Mill Levy |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| COMM LND MERCHANDIS - 2112 | 967,729 | 280,640 | 2021 | 480 | 121.72 |
| MERCHANDISING - 2212 | 507,723 | 147,240 | 2021 | 480 | 121.72 |
| RECREATION - 2225 | 153,914 | 44,640 | 2021 | 480 | 121.72 |
| Payments Received |  |  |  |  |  |
| Check |  | $\$ 57,515.14$ |  |  |  |

Check Number 00100015

Payments Applied

| Year | Charges | Billed | Prior Payments | New Payments | Balance |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2021 | Tax Charge | $\$ 57,515.14$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 57,515.14$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
|  |  |  | $\$ 57,515.14$ | $\$ 0.00$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\$ 0.00$ |  |

WE ARE EXPANDING TO SERVE YOU BETTER! WATCH FOR NEW LOCATIONS ON OUR WEBSITE!
4430 S ADAMS COUNTY PKWY C2436
BRIGHTON CO 80601
[Stay Safe! Please use website services www.adcotax.com]
Email: treasurer@adcogov.org
Telephone: 720-523-6160
ALL CHECKS ARE SUBJECT TO FINAL COLLECTION. THANK YOU FOR YOUR PAYMENT!

## RECEIPT OF PAYMENT (Tax, Fees, Costs, Interests, <br> Penalties)

| Account | Parcel Number | Receipt Date | Effective Date | Receipt Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R0103273 | 0182508400041 | May 3, 2022 | Apr 30, 2022 | $2022-05-03-$ NetVantage- |

FED58 LLC<br>3535 LARIMER ST<br>DENVER, CO 80205-2421

## Situs Address

## Payor

5800 FEDERAL BLVD
Legal Description
SECT,TWN,RNG:8-3-68 DESC: PARCEL NO 2 PARC OF LAND IN THE SE4 SEC 8 DESC AS FOLS BEG AT THE S $1 / 4$ COR SD SEC TH N 00D 18M 16S W 1766/13 FT TH S 76D 45M 25S E 56/52 FT TO THE ELY ROW LN OF FEDERAL BLVD AND THE TRUE POB TH N 00D 18M 16S W 139/93 FT TO THE S ROW LN OF D \& RGW RR TH S 85D 48M 34S E 215 FT TH S 00D 18M 16S E 174/72 FT TH N 76D 45M 25S W 220/47 FT TO THE ELY ROW LN OF FEDERAL BLVD AND TRUE POB 0/774A

| Property Code | Actual | Assessed | Year | Area | Mill Levy |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| VACANT COMMERCIAL LD -0200 | 269,720 | 78,220 | 2021 | 495 | 121.447 |

## Payments Received

Check
\$9,499.58
Check Number 00100017

Payments Applied

| Year | Charges | Billed | Prior Payments | New Payments | Balance |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2021 | Tax Charge | $\$ 9,499.58$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 9,499.58$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
|  |  |  | $\$ 9,499.58$ | $\$ 0.00$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\$ 0.00$ |  |

WE ARE EXPANDING TO SERVE YOU BETTER! WATCH FOR NEW LOCATIONS ON OUR WEBSITE!
4430 S ADAMS COUNTY PKWY C2436
BRIGHTON CO 80601
[Stay Safe! Please use website services www.adcotax.com]
Email: treasurer@adcogov.org
Telephone: 720-523-6160
ALL CHECKS ARE SUBJECT TO FINAL COLLECTION. THANK YOU FOR YOUR PAYMENT!

## RECEIPT OF PAYMENT (Tax, Fees, Costs, Interests, Penalties)

| Account | Parcel Number | Receipt Date | Effective Date | Receipt Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R0138746 | 0182508400050 | May 3, 2022 | Apr 30, 2022 | 2022-05-03-NetVantage- |

FED57 LLC<br>3535 LARIMER ST<br>DENVER, CO 80205-2421

## Situs Address

## Payor

5790 FEDERAL BLVD
Legal Description
SECT,TWN,RNG:8-3-68 DESC: PT OF THE SE4 OF SEC 8 DESC AS FOLS BEG AT THE S4 COR OF SD SEC 8 TH N 00D 19M 08S W 1642/42 FT TH S 89D 40M 52S E 63/62 FT TO A PT SD PT BEING THE TRUE POB TH WLY S 10D 14M 46S E 361/28 FT TH S 45D 20M 06S E 49/50 FT TH CONT N 87D 19M 41S E 104/17 FT TH N 00D 19M 08S W 384/71 FT TH N 76D 46M 08 S W 190 FT TH S 21D 01M 43S W 45/78 FT TO THE TRUE POB 1/58A

| Property Code | Actual | Assessed | Year | Area | Mill Levy |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| VACANT COMMERCIAL LD -0200 | 674,483 | 195,600 | 2021 | 460 | 116.324 |

## Payments Received

Check
\$22,752.98
Check Number 00180016

Payments Applied

| Year | Charges | Billed | Prior Payments | New Payments | Balance |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2021 | Tax Charge | $\$ 22,752.98$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 22,752.98$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
|  |  |  | $\$ 22,752.98$ | $\$ 0.00$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\$ 0.00$ |  |

WE ARE EXPANDING TO SERVE YOU BETTER! WATCH FOR NEW LOCATIONS ON OUR WEBSITE!
4430 S ADAMS COUNTY PKWY C2436
BRIGHTON CO 80601
[Stay Safe! Please use website services www.adcotax.com]
Email: treasurer@adcogov.org
Telephone: 720-523-6160
ALL CHECKS ARE SUBJECT TO FINAL COLLECTION. THANK YOU FOR YOUR PAYMENT!

May 4, 2023

Opus Development Company, LLC
950 17th Street, Suite 1500
Denver, Colorado 80202
Attn: Joe Swensson

Re: $\quad 5800$ Federal Floodplain Due Diligence
Martin/Martin, Inc. Project No.: 23.0269

Mr. Swensson

## Introduction

5800 Federal is an industrial development being proposed adjacent to Clear Creek in Denver, Colorado. Based on Effective FIRM map 08001C0592H, the proposed improvements are located within a Zone AE Special Flood Hazard Area(SFHA), within the floodfringe, outside of the floodway, as shown in Appendix A. Because the proposed site is in a FEMA SFHA, Adams County will require a floodplain development permit through documentation of no adverse impact to adjacent properties or existing insurable structures prior to construction activities. The purpose of this preliminary floodplain study is to demonstrate that the proposed conceptual grading plan for 5800 Federal Industrial Park will satisfy the requirements for an Adams County floodplain development permit. Because the 2022 FHAD is currently being reviewed by FEMA to be adopted as the effective floodplain mapping, it is required that we analyze the impacts for both the Effective 2005 FHAD model and the in-progress 2022 FHAD model to meet the no adverse impact criteria. The content of this preliminary floodplain study is in accordance with Adams County Development standards and Regulations - Chapter 3 - Zone District regulations.

## Mapping

## Effective 2005 Mapping

The effective SFHA is shown on Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), Map Number 08001C0592H, effective date March 5, 2007. The floodplain is designated Zone AE. A Zone AE floodplain is defined as the base floodplain (1.0\%-annual-chance/100-year) where base flood elevations (BFEs) are provided. A regulatory 0.5-foot rise floodway has been delineated for this reach of Clear Creek. The floodway is defined as the channel of a stream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be kept free of encroachment so that the 1.0\%-annual-chance (100-year) flood can be conveyed without substantial increases in flood depths. Effective mapping for this reach is based upon data from the 2005 Flood Hazard Area Delineation - Clear Creek (Adams County) (2005 FHAD). See Appendix A for supporting documentation.

## In Progress 2022(soon to be effective) Mapping

FEMA is currently updating its flood hazard mapping based on the 2022 Flood Hazard Area Delineation Clear Creek (2022 FHAD) which includes the proposed 5800 Federal property. Once FEMA completes its update, the 2022 FHAD floodplain mapping for Clear Creek will become effective and FIRM 08001C0592H will be updated. Similar to the 2005 FHAD, the 2022 FHAD delineation shows the project site is mapped outside of the regulatory Floodway within the 100-year floodplain.

## Hydrology

## 2005 FHAD Hydrology

The 2005 FHAD shows that the reach of Clear Creek downstream of Federal Boulevard, XS 78, has a 100-year discharge of 16,918 cfs up to cross section 77.4 , where the peak discharge increases to 20,590 cfs for the remainder of the cross sections included in the study. The cross sections extend to the northern boundary of 5800 Federal and are hydraulicly separated by the Rio Grande Railroad embankment, indicating a backwater condition through the site.

## 2022 FHAD Hydrology

The hydrology has been updated in the 2022 FHAD. Notably rainfall depths have been decreased to reflect NOAA Atlas 14 rainfall depths. The addition of the "Federal Split" split flow also impacts changes to the hydrology showing additional flow being conveyed towards the site as opposed to the backwater condition reflected in the 2005 FHAD model. In comparing the 2005 FHAD to the 2022 FHAD models, there is a reduction in flow along Clear Creek due to recent hydrology revisions. The 2022 FHAD shows that the reach of Clear Creek downstream of Federal Boulevard, XS 25833, has a 100-year discharge of 12,800 cfs. At the Federal Split, XS 1075 has a 100-year discharge of 414 cfs. A summary of the hydrological conditions has been provided below.

| HYDROLOGY SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MODEL | LOCATION | CROSS <br> SECTION | Q10 <br> (CFS) | Q100 <br> (CFS) |
| 2005 <br> FHAD | DS FEDERAL BLVD | 78 | 8450 | 16918 |
| 2005 <br> FHAD |  | 77.4 | 8450 | 20590 |
| 2022 <br> FHAD | FEDERAL <br> BLVD(MAIN) | 25833 | 4500 | 12800 |
| 2022 <br> FHAD | FEDERAL SPLIT | 1075 | 0.1 | 414 |

## Floodplain Zoning Regulations

Adams County Development standards dictate that a floodplain use permit is mandatory for any development within the floodplain. If the development falls within the floodway, a certified engineer must provide a no-rise certification. In this case, the boundaries of the proposed development lie outside of the floodway. After conducting a preliminary hydraulic analysis, we have concluded that the proposed design satisfies the requirements for a floodplain development permit and does not cause adverse impacts to adjacent properties or existing insurable structures. The relevant information from the zoning code has been included below for reference.

From Adams County Development standards and Regulations - Chapter 3-Zone District regulations

## 3-41-07 GENERAL PROVISIONS 3-41-07-01 PERMIT REQUIRED

A floodplain use permit is required for any structure, facility, fill, development, storage or processing of materials or equipment, or change in the channel of a watercourse in the Flood Control Overlay Zone District. These uses may only be permitted if the use meets the requirements of these standards and regulations including all applicable performance standards.

## 3-41-07-06-02 NO REDUCTION IN FLOODWAY EFFICIENCY OR CAPACITY

No new construction, substantial improvement, fill, (including fill for roads and levees), deposit, obstruction, storage of materials, or other floodplain uses which acting alone or in combination with existing or future floodway uses, shall be permitted which decreases the efficiency or the capacity of a channel or floodway of any river, stream, tributary, drainage ditch, or any other drainage facilities or systems.

## 3-41-07-06-03 NO INCREASE IN BASE FLOOD ELEVATION

Encroachments within the floodway are prohibited, including fill, new construction, substantial improvements, and other development, unless certification by a registered professional engineer is provided demonstrating the cumulative effect of the proposed development, when combined with all other existing and anticipated development, shall not result in any increase in the base flood elevation.

## Hydraulics

## Effective and Duplicate Effective Model

The Effective 2005 FHAD model, received from Mile High Flood District, was modeled using HEC-RAS version 6.1 .0 and used as the 2005 Duplicate Effective model. The vertical datum of the model is NAVD88. The base flood elevations (BFEs) for the 2005 Duplicate Effective and Effective models are consistent. In the effective 2005 model, base flood elevations for the proposed site are outside of the cross section limits and have been mapped as backwater condition with a constant base flood elevation(BFE) of 5218 throughout the site. Relevant information from the 2022 FHAD is included in Appendix A.

The 2022 FHAD model, received from Mile High Flood District, was also run on HEC-RAS version 6.1.0 and used as the 2022 Duplicate Effective model. The vertical datum of the model is NAVD88. In the 2022 model, the site is mapped along the "Federal Split" reach, split flow from Clear Creek. Cross sections 773 through 980 represent the existing site conditions for 5800 Federal along the "Federal Split" reach. A BFE comparison table has been included below. Additional information on the 2022 FHAD is included in Appendix A.

## Post-Project Conditions

In the 2005 FHAD model, cross sections 74 through 78 are located along the northern boundaries of the site, but do not extend past the embankment formed by the Rio Grande railroad. As a result, the effective model delineates the site as a backwater condition, holding approximately 5,218 as the base flood elevation across the site. Based on this backwater mapping technique, the proposed improvements have no impact on flood elevations.

The 2022 Post-Project Conditions model was developed utilizing the Duplicate Effective Model and the proposed 5800 Federal conceptual grading plan by Martin/Martin. The site grading concept updated the topography for cross sections 627 through 1075 within the site boundaries and includes the Federal Boulevard improvements within the CDOT Right of Way. The results of updating the proposed topography in the 2022 FHAD model shows a consistent 0.04 to 0.06 foot decrease in BFE, documenting a "No-Rise" condition. In addition to checking the impacts along the Federal Split, the Clear Creek BFE's were back checked along the mainstem. The results show no impact. Post -project modeling results indicate the proposed improvements do not adversely impact upstream, downstream, or adjacent properties. Based on the assumption that the finished floor elevation is set $1.5^{\prime}$ above the highest onsite BFE, 5218.71, the recommended finished floor elevation would be 5220.21. The BFE comparison tables are shown below. HECRAS results are included in the appendix.

FEDERAL SPLIT BFE SUMMARY

| XS | 2022 <br> EFFECTIVE | 2022 <br> DUPLICATE <br> EFFECTIVE | 2022 <br> POST- <br> PROJECT | DELTA <br> EFF VS <br> PP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1075 | 5218.71 | 5218.71 | 5218.66 | -0.05 |
| 980 | 5218.70 | 5218.70 | 5218.65 | -0.05 |
| 923 | 5218.71 | 5218.71 | 5218.65 | -0.06 |
| 773 | 5218.69 | 5218.69 | 5218.65 | -0.04 |
| 627 | 5218.56 | 5218.56 | 5218.56 | 0 |

CLEAR CREEK BFE SUMMARY

| XS | 2022 <br> EFFECTIVE | 2022 <br> DUPLICATE <br> EFFECTIVE | 2022 <br> POST- <br> PROJECT | DELTA <br> EFF VS <br> PP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26340 | 5219.47 | 5219.47 | 5219.47 | 0 |
| 26179 | 5219.34 | 5219.34 | 5219.34 | 0 |
| 24755 | 5208.65 | 5208.65 | 5208.65 | 0 |
| 24720 | 5208.61 | 5208.61 | 5208.61 | 0 |

## Summary

Martin/Martin's analysis has compared base flood elevations for the proposed 5800 Federal development to the existing conditions. The analysis includes hydraulic modeling for both the 2005 FHAD and the 2022 FHAD to address both the current and soon to be adopted information. Early results indicate that the proposed improvements will be able to achieve a "No-rise" condition in accordance with Adams County's floodplain regulations.

Sincerely,


Ryan Byrne, PE, CFM
Senior Project Engineer

## Attachments

Appendix A - Supporting Documents
Appendix B - Hydraulic Computations

APPENDIX A
SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

## FLOOD HAZARD AREA DELINEATION

CLEAR CREEK (ADAMS COUNTY)


Prepared for:
ADAMS COUNTY
COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION URBAN DRAINAGE AND FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT

December 2005

## AN/RE <br> ASSOCIATES

360 INTERLOCKEN BOULEVARD, SUITE 12
BROOMFIELD, CO 80021
PHONE NO: (303) $938-8874$
PHONE NO: (303)
FAX NO: ( 303 ) $938-82111$

## PREFACE

## Authorization

This report was authorized by the Urban Drainage and Flood Control District (District) and under joint sponsorship with Adams County and the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) under the August 18, 2004, agreement regarding "Flood Hazard Area Delineation Clear Creek (Adams County)," Agreement No. 04-03.05. The District joins with local communities to make floodplain information and mapping available for local governments to adopt and administer their own floodplain regulations with the assistance of the District. The cities, incorporated towns, and counties within the study area may adopt these zoning regulations..

> "...to establish, regulate, restrict and limit such uses on or along any storm or floodwater runoff channel or basin, as such storm or floodwater runoff basin has been designated and approved by the Colorado Water Conservation Board, in order to lessen or avoid the hazards to persons and damage to property resulting from the accumulation of storm or floodwaters...."
as stated in Section 30-28-201 for county governments and Section 31-23-201 for municipal governments of the Colorado Revised Statutes 1973.

Upon acceptance of this report by the District and the designation and approval of this report by the Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB), the areas described as being inundated by the 100-year flood (Intermediate Regional Flood) may be designated as flood hazard areas and their use regulated accordingly.

It should be noted that the terms "Intermediate Regional Flood," "100-year flood," and "one percent flood" can be used interchangeably as they all define the same magnitude flood event

## Purpose and Scope

This report was prepared for the guidance of local officials in planning the use and regulation of the 100 year floodplain. The report includes information on past floods and the nature and extent of probable future loods along the study reach. The 100-year flood should be given appropriate consideration before planning for development in flood prone areas

Flood Hazard Area Delineation Maps are included in this report and they indicate the approximate floodplain areas inundated by the 100-year flood event. Flood profiles are also included and show the water depths relative to the streambed and flood elevations for the 100-year and 10-year flood events. Water surface elevations are provided at each channel cross section in Table 1 and Table 2. These elevations indicate a constant water depth across the full width of the stream valley. Valley cross sections are plotted on the profile sheets at specified locations with predicted water depths during the 100 -year and
 uture, developed conditions in the Clear Creek basin. Possible future improvements to control floods are not a consideration of this report.

The information in this report does not imply any state action to zone or regulate use of floodplains. The District has the authority to regulate floodplains, but to date has chosen to leave floodplain regulation responsibility with local governments. The report provides a suitable basis for the adoption of land use controls to guide floodplain management, with consideration for environmental attributes, and thereby prevent intensification of flood losses

Floodwater surface elevations and floodplain boundaries are often revised by road and bridge construction floodplain development, flood control improvements or natural processes. Prior to using this report for planning or design purposes, the user is advised to contact the District to determine if the information in this report has been amended

## Ivapping

Mapping for this project is based on aerial photography obtained by Adams County, the District, and Metro Wastewater Reclamation District (MWRD) in 1995 and updated in 1998. Base map horizontal control is based on the Colorado High Accuracy Reference Network (HARN), NAD 83-92 Modified State Plane US. feet, Colorado Central Zone (50). Modified coordinate system is:

- Northing State Plane Value/Combined Project Factor -1,000,000
- Easting State Plane Value/Combined Project Factor - 3,000,000
- The Combined Project Factor equals 0.999791999

Vertical control is in U.S. feet, based on National Geodetic Reference System first order marks, North American Vertical Datum (NAVD) 1988 datum. Elevation of the project grid is 5,000 feet-mean sea leve (msl). The mapping was prepared at a contour interval of 2 feet at a scale of 1:1,200 and conforms to National Map Accuracy Standards (NAMAS).

## Acknowledgements

The cooperation of local officials and public and private interests in providing assistance and information was most helpful we would also like to County, and the CDOT.

## STUDY AREA DESCRIPTION

The study area includes the reach of Clear Creek from its confluence with the South Platte River to Sheridan Boulevard. Within this reach, Clear Creek passes through unincorporated areas of Adams County.

Clear Creek, a left bank tributary to the South Platte River, has its source in the Rocky Mountains west of Denver. Flowing in a generally easterly direction from the Continental Divide, Clear Creek enters the high plains at Golden. The drainage area at the Golden gage near the bluff line is 400 square miles. From Golden, Clear Creek flows in a northeasterly direction to its confluence with the South Platte River near Derby. The Derby gage, which is located 0.6 miles upstream from the mouth of Clear Creek, has a drainage area of 575 square miles. Elevations in the basin range from 5,100 feet above mean sea level to over 14,000 feet above mean sea level (Landmark 1995-1998)

The Clear Creek floodplain through Adams County is largely comprised of a mix of developed and undeveloped land and gravel mining operations. Residential areas in the vicinity of 52nd Avenue and Sheridan Boulevard in Adams County would be inundated by a 100-year frequency flood

The entire basin boundary is shown on the Basin Boundary Map (Figure 1)
of a 100-year event. The peak flows for the 10-year event should be used in the planning and engineering of improvements where the higher risk of failure or damage is economically feasible and the hazard to life and property is lower or nonexistent.

Flood magnitudes greater than the 100-year magnitude can and will occur. Land improvements adjacent to the 100-year floodplain limits should also consider the chance for possible flood damage.

## Flooded Areas

The 100-year floodplain limits and water surface profiles are shown on the Flood Hazard Area Delineation Maps and Profiles appended to this report. The computed elevations at each reference point are tabulated in Tables 1 and 2. A description of the extent of flooding is discussed in the following paragraphs.

## South Platte to York Street

The flooding along the lower part of Clear Creek in Adams County from the South Platte River to York Street is primarily confined to lowlands and gravel pits. No residential areas or commercial establishments, except for the gravel mining operations, are threatened in this reach.

## York Street to l-25

At York Street, flows overtop the street north and south of the bridge, but the bridge structure is not overtopped. Upstream of York Street, on the south side of Clear Creek, a regional park-and-ride parking area has been constructed and an interchange connecting the newly extended I-270 to I-76 is being completed. The extension of I-270 added a two-bridge overpass crossing Clear Creek and except for the support piers, the bridge decks are well above the 100-year flood elevation in this area.

From I-270 to Washington Street, the I-76 embankment on the south side of the creek contains the flood plain, and the north side spills across Colorado Highway 224. At Washington Street, the flood plain is contained between Colorado Highway 224 and the I-76 embankment. Washington Street has 100-year capacity and is not overtopped

Between Washington Street and I-25, there are two ramps with bridges that cross Clear Creek well above he 100 -year floodplain. In this area the floodplain is contained between Colorado Highway 224, (East $70^{\text {t }}$ Avenue), and I-76. CDOT has replaced a dangerous single drop structure in the reach under I-25 with 3 smaller, safer drop structures. These structures were incorporated into the hydraulic model using fieldsurveyed data for each structure.

## I-25 to Federal Boulevard

In the reach between I-25 and Federal Boulevard, the floodplain remains mostly undeveloped up to the irrigation dam downstream of Pecos Street. On the west side of Broadway, north of Clear Creek, a commercial strip has been filled and developed. This was discovered during a field inspection and the floodplain modified to reflect the filled area based on field observations.

On the south side of Clear Creek, downstream of Pecos Street to the irrigation dam, commercial infilling has occurred following a recent Letter of Map Revision (LOMR), while upstream of Pecos the gravel operations have created significant changes to the topography. We were unable to replicate the LOMR floodplain and conveyance zones in this reach, and there is also a small amount of overtopping of Pecos Street on the north side of Clear Creek at the intersection with West $64^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. After an extensive
comparison of the LOMR model with the model developed for this FHAD, we believe that differences in Manning's ' $n$ ' values for the channel and revised topographic information contributed to the higher water surface elevations predicted in this FHAD. Our delineation on the south side of Clear Creek downstream of Pecos Street was based on field-surveyed data.

Upstream of Pecos Street at the Colorado \& Southern Railroad bridge the outfall for Little Dry Creek has been relocated upstream of the railroad bridge. The railroad overtops for most of the floodplain width and a small spill occurs south of Clear Creek where the railroad crosses under I-76. This inundates an area south of I-76 with some of the spill outletting to the east, with the potential for sheet flows on Pecos Street.

Downstream of Federal Boulevard, there is an area of shallow flooding around commercial structures on the north side of Clear Creek resulting from the overtoping of Federal Boulevard.

## Federal Boulevard to Sheridan Boulevard

Federal Boulevard is overtopped for its entire length where it crosses the floodplain. Flows from upstream of the Rio Grande Railroad divide at the Rio Grande Railroad bridge with the majority passing under the railroad to Federal Boulevard. A significant portion of the flow is diverted easterly along the south side of the railroad to Federal Boulevard where the flow passes under the railroad at the Federal Boulevard underpass, returning to the Clear Creek floodplain downstream of Federal Boulevard. This flow diversion was analyzed and incorporated into the hydraulic model. The Rio Grande Railroad bridge is not overtopped.

The backwater effects from Federal Boulevard on Lake Sangraco were analyzed and will raise the water surface elevation in Lake Sangraco to 5216.9 ms This elevation will not inundate the existing houses around the lake.

Upstream of the Rio Grande Railroad to Sheridan Boulevard, I-76 splits the Clear Creek flows in two separate flow paths that rejoin between I-76 and the Rio Grande Railroad. The two paths are called the Clear Creek Main flows and the North Overflow. The analysis of the split flows proceeded as follows:

- Clear Creek flows begin separating upstream of Sheridan Boulevard so two additional cross sections were added upstream of Sheridan Boulevard to assist in analyzing the distribution of flows overtopping Sheridan Boulevard.
- Downstream of Sheridan Boulevard to the I-76 western crossing, a split flow line was established based on the topographic divide between Clear Creek Main and the North Overflow floodplain, and a lateral weir was modeled in HEC-RAS to determine the flows diverting to the North Overflow (flowing at a significantly lower elevation) through this portion of the reach.
- Clear Creek Main then crosses under I-76 western crossing and from this point downstream to the eastern crossing of Clear Creek Main under I-76, the flows are divided and the water surface elevations modeled separately with the flows recombining between the eastern crossing of I-76 and the Rio Grande Railroad
- Rating curves were developed for evaluating the flows passing between the Main Channel and the North Overflow under I-76 at Tennyson Street and Lowell Boulevard and the spill direction and values were incorporated into the model. The water surface elevations for the main and the North Overflow at Tennyson were essentially the same so no cross flow was considered to occur at Tennyson. At Lowell Boulevard, significant flows would occur to the north from the main flow to the

North Overflow. These values were incorporated into the model using a lateral weir with a rating curve, diverting the flow prior to the Lowell Boulevard Bridge.

The Lowell Boulevard Bridge is not overtopped. However, Lowell Boulevard north of the bridge will have overtopping flows that will pass under I-76 to the North Overflow floodplain.

The berm on the south side of the main channel downstream of the west crossing of I-76 was not considered a levee due to inadequate freeboard and was ignored in the delineation per FEMA guidance. A portion of the mobile home park south of this berm is included in the floodplain.

The limits of 100-year flooding for Clear Creek are graphically outlined on the Flood Hazard Area Delineation maps and tabulated in Table 1, Floodplain and Floodway Reference Data. The table lists the potential flood elevations, floodplain and floodway widths, floodway location, floodway surcharge elevation and thalweg elevation at each cross section studied.

A floodway represents a part of the floodplain that is required to pass a 100-year flood event without raising the flood profiles more than an acceptable amount and also represents the part of the floodplain most hazardous to personal safety and welfare. Throughout this study, the floodway was defined for two conditions. The limit of encroachment into the floodplain that raises the existing energy grade line elevations by no more than 0.5 foot and for no more than 1 foot.

## Flood Velocities

Average flow velocities characteristic of a 100-year and 10-year flood events for Clear Creek are shown on the profile sheets included with the Flood Hazard Area Delineation drawings. In the main reach, the flow velocity in the channel ranges generally between 3 and 19 feet per second (fps) for a 100-year flood event in the study area. Overbank velocities range from 1 to 10 fps .

Water flowing at a rate greater than 6 to 8 fps will cause severe erosion of stream banks and is capable of transporting large rocks. Velocities in the range of 6 to 8 fps could erode fill around bridge abutments. Water flowing at about 2 fps or less will deposit debris and silt.

## SUMMARY

This report has identified the probable flooding limits of a potential 100-year flood event in the Clear Creek drainage basin in Adams County from Sheridan Boulevard to the South Platte River.

The floodplain limits are based on (1) planned, future development in the basin; (2) existing and mapped floodplain conditions; and, (3) no blockage at the existing culvert and bridge structures. Floods of greater magnitude can and will occur in which the limits of flooding would exceed those shown in the report.

Presently, portions of Clear Creek are considered to have a high flood damage potential. The preservation of the floodplain will serve, at least, to maintain the present level of damage potential while any
improvements will minimize or reduce the flood damage potential. Reducing the flood hazard potential can be accomplished through structural improvements to bridges and channels, flood proofing, and by an effective floodplain management program

## REFERENCES

Federal Emergency Management Agency, 1995. Flood Insurance Study, Adams County, CO.
Gingery Assoc., Inc., 1979. Flood Hazard Area Delineation, Clear Creek, Adams County \& Jefferson County, CO.

Landmark Mapping LTD, 1995-1998. Topographic Mapping, Clear Creek Floodplain, Scale: 1"=200', Two Foot Contour Interval.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), 1978. Precipitation-Frequency Atlas of the Western United States, Volume II, Colorado, U.S. Department of Commerce
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1978A. Flood Plain Information, Metropolitan Region, Denver, CO, Volume III, Clear Creek.
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1978B. Hydrology for Clear Creek, Jefferson and Adams Counties, Colorado, by Omaha District.
U.S. Geological Survey, 1976. Guidelines for Determining Flood Flow Frequency, Bulletin \#17.



$\qquad$ nind
 URBAN DRAINAGE AND FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
ADAMS COUNTY
COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Table 1: Floodplain and Floodway Data Table for Clear Creek Main Reach - Cont'd


Table 1: Floodplain and Floodway Data Table for Clear Creek Main Reach - Cont'd

| Ref Location | Reach | Cross <br> Section Ref. Pt. | River Station in feet | Thalweg Elev. in feet | Peak Discharge |  | Water Surface Elevation |  | $\begin{gathered} 100-\mathrm{Yr} \\ \text { Floodplain } \end{gathered}$Data |  | 100-Yr Floodway (FW) Data ( 0.5 ft rise in WSEL) |  |  |  |  | 100-Yr Floodway (FW) Data (1.0 ft rise in WSEL) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 10-yr | 100-yr | 10-yr | 100-yr | Width ${ }^{1}$ | Ave. Vel. | $\begin{gathered} \text { FW } \\ \text { Width } \\ \text { Left }^{2} \end{gathered}$ | FW Width Right ${ }^{2}$ | FW Width Total | Ave. Vel. | Floodway Elev. | $\underset{\substack{\text { Feft } \\ \\ \text { Le Widh }}}{\text { Len }}$ | FW Width Right ${ }^{2}$ | FW Width Total | Ave. Vel. | Floodway Elev. |
|  |  |  | (ft) | (ft) | (cfs) (cfs) |  | (ft) (ft) |  | (ft) (ft/s) |  | (ft) | (ft) | $\begin{aligned} & 1194 \\ & \hline(\mathrm{ft}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | (ft/s) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline(\mathrm{ft}) \\ & \hline 5212.9 \end{aligned}$ | (ft) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft/s) | (ft) |
| Clear Creek Main Reach (cont'd) |  | 77 | 243+13 | 5196.0 <br> 5196.0 <br> 5196.0 <br> 5196.0 | 8450 | 20590 | 5205.4 | 5213.0 | 1831 | 3.8 | 813743757710 | 381 |  | 3.9 |  | 813 | 380 | 1193 | 3.9 | $\overline{5212.9}$ |
|  |  | 77.4 | 244+48 |  | 8450 | 20590 | 5208.7 | 5213.0 | 1681 | 5.8 |  | 277 | 1020 | 6.0 | 5212.9 | 743 | 277 | 1020 | 6.0 | 5212.9 |
|  |  | 77.5 | 244+82 |  | 8450 | 16918 | 5208.9 | 5215.4 | 1967 | 2.9 |  | 246 | 1003 | 3.1 | 5215.3 | 757 | 246 | 1003 | 3.1 | 5215.3 |
|  |  | 78 | 246+51 |  | 8450 | 16918 | 5209.7 | 5215.4 | 1931 | 4.5 |  | 157 | 867 | 4.7 | 5215.4 | 410 | 157 | 567 | 5.4 | 5215.4 |
| Federal Blvd |  | 78.5 | 246+63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 79 | 247+75 | 5198.0 | 8450 | 16918 | 5210.0 | 5216.9 | 1848 | 3.8 | 109 | 121 | 230 | 5.6 | 5217.3 | 109 | 121 | 230 | 5.6 | 5217.3 |
|  |  | 80 | 251+17 | 5198.8 | 8450 | 16918 | 5210.4 | 5217.3 | 604 | 4.9 | 86 | 154 | 240 | 5.1 | 5217.7 | 86 | 154 | 240 | 5.1 | 5217.7 |
|  |  | 81 | 255+32 | 5200.0 | 8450 | 16918 | 5210.3 | 5216.9 | 1176 | 9.7 | 106 | 63 | 169 | 9.2 | 5217.4 | 106 | 63 | 169 | 9.2 | 5217.4 |
| Rio Grande RR |  | 81.5 | 256+21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 82 | 256+64 | 5200.0 | 8450 | 16918 | 5211.7 | 5218.6 | 1200 | 7.4 | 170 | 76 | 246 | 7.2 | 5218.9 | 170 | 76 | 246 | 7.1 | 5219.0 |
| Flow Diversion (right) |  | 82.15 | 260+57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 83 | 260+67 | 5202.0 | 8450 | 20590 | 5214.1 | 5220.8 | 1188 | 3.0 | 237 | 188 | 425 | 3.8 | 5220.9 | 237 | 188 | 425 | 3.8 | 5221.0 |
|  |  | 84 | 263+97 | 5204.0 | 8450 | 20590 | 5214.3 | 5221.2 | 1434 | 2.5 | 315 | 163 | 478 | 3.5 | 5221.3 | 315 | 163 | 478 | 3.5 | 5221.3 |
|  |  | 85 | 267+20 | 5204.0 | 8450 | 20590 | 5213.9 | 5221.1 | 1284 | 3.4 | 848 | 59 | 907 | 4.0 | 5221.2 | 848 | 59 | 907 | 4.0 | 5221.2 |
|  |  | 86 | 271+98 | 5206.0 | 8450 | 20590 | 5215.6 | 5221.4 | 1539 | 4.4 | $1310^{3}$ | 437 | 1747 | 4.5 | 5221.7 | $1310^{3}$ | 437 | 1747 | 4.4 | 5221.7 |
|  |  | 87 | 273+69 | 5206.0 | 6761 | 8981 | 5216.0 | 5222.3 | 485 | 3.6 | 290 | 195 | 485 | 3.8 | 5222.5 | 290 | 195 | 485 | 3.8 | 5222.6 |
| East Crossing of |  | 88 | 274+49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 89 | 278+04 | 5210.0 | 6761 | 8981 | 5217.2 | 5222.5 | 395 | 4.9 | 190 | 205 | 395 | 4.8 | 5222.7 | 190 | 205 | 395 | 4.8 | 5222.7 |
|  |  | 90 | 281+13 | 5214.0 | 6761 | 8981 | 5219.4 | 5222.3 | 181 | 8.6 | 117 | 64 | 181 | 8.2 | 5222.6 | 117 | 64 | 181 | 8.2 | 5222.6 |
|  |  | 91 | 284+15 | 5216.0 | 6761 | 8981 | 5224.4 | 5225.5 | 172 | 10.9 | 101 | 168 | 269 | 10.8 | 5225.5 | 101 | 168 | 269 | 10.8 | 5225.5 |
|  |  | 91.5 | 285+86 | 5216.0 | 6761 | 8981 | 5226.7 | 5227.8 | 115 | 8.1 | 59 | 56 | 115 | 8.1 | 5227.8 | 59 | 56 | 115 | 8.1 | 5227.8 |
|  |  | 91.8 | 286+60 | 5217.0 | 6761 | 8981 | 5227.4 | 5228.9 | 191 | 4.1 | 77 | 114 | 191 | 4.1 | 5228.9 | 77 | 114 | 191 | 4.1 | 5228.9 |
| Fischer Ditch |  | 91.85 | 286+60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diversion |  | 91.9 | 286+75 | 5225.0 | 6761 | 8981 | 5230.3 | 5230.5 | 437 | 8.2 | 186 | 115 | 301 | 7.3 | 5231.0 | 91 | 115 | 206 | 7.3 | 5231.5 |
|  |  | 92 | 287+06 | 5225.0 | 6761 | 8981 | 5229.8 | 5231.2 | 463 | 7.9 | 432 | 121 | 553 | 7.9 | 5231.2 | 432 | 121 | 553 | 7.7 | 5231.2 |
| Lowell Blvd |  | 92.5 | 287+26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 93 | 287+76 | 5226.0 | 6761 | 8981 | 5232.3 | 5233.2 | 555 | 4.0 | 436 | 119 | 555 | 4.0 | 5233.2 | 436 | 119 | 555 | 4.0 | 5233.2 |
| Flow Diversion (left) |  | 93.05 | 289+63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 94 | 289+63 | 5226.0 | 7359 | 10079 | 5232.8 | 5233.7 | 628 | 2.9 | 407 | 221 | 628 | 2.9 | 5233.7 | 407 | 221 | 628 | 2.9 | 5233.6 |
|  |  | 95 | 292+81 | 5228.0 | 7359 | 10079 | 5233.1 | 5234.0 | 1024 | 2.7 | 419 | 304 | 723 | 3.2 | 5234.0 | 419 | 304 | 723 | 3.2 | 5234.0 |
|  |  | 96 | 295+83 | 5228.0 | 7359 | 10079 | 5233.5 | 5234.3 | 1198 | 2.2 | 361 | 389 | 750 | 2.8 | 5234.4 | 361 | 389 | 750 | 2.8 | 5234.4 |
|  |  | 97 | 299+82 | 5228.0 | 7359 | 10079 | 5233.9 | 5234.7 | 1310 | 2.4 | 417 | 355 | 772 | 3.3 | 5234.8 | 417 | 355 | 772 | 3.3 | 5234.8 |
|  |  | 98 | 305+13 | 5228.0 | 7359 | 10079 | 5234.6 | 5235.4 | 830 | 4.8 | 499 | 206 | 705 | 4.8 | 5235.7 | 499 | 206 | 705 | 4.8 | 5235.7 |
|  |  | 99 | 310+99 | 5230.0 | 7359 | 10079 | 5237.5 | 5238.2 | 732 | 7.4 | 500 | 90 | 590 | 8.3 | 5238.3 | 500 | 90 | 590 | 8.3 | 5238.3 |
|  |  | 100 | $312+92$ | 5230.0 | 7359 | 10079 | 5238.5 | 5240.0 | 690 | 4.2 | 457 | 93 | 550 | 5.5 | 5240.0 | 457 | 93 | 550 | 5.5 | 5240.0 |

[^3]

Table 4. 2-, 5-, 10-, 25-, 50-, 100-, 200-, and 500-year Peak Discharge Profiles, Clear Creek, U.S. Highway 6 to South Platte River

| Clear Creek Station | $\begin{aligned} & 2-\mathrm{yr} \\ & \text { (cfs) } \end{aligned}$ | 5-yr <br> (cfs) | $10-\mathrm{yr}$ <br> (cfs) | $\begin{gathered} 25-y r \\ \text { (cfs) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50-\mathrm{yr} \\ \text { (cfs) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100-\mathrm{yr} \\ \text { (cfs) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 200-\mathrm{yr} \\ \text { (cfs) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 500-\mathrm{yr} \\ \text { (cfs) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Highway 6 | 1,300 | 2,300 | 3,300 | 5,100 | 6,900 | 8,600 | 12,600 | 18,300 |
| DS Arapahoe Gulch | 1,300 | 2,300 | 3,300 | 5,200 | 7,000 | 8,800 | 12,800 | 18,600 |
| Washington Street | 1,300 | 2,300 | 3,300 | 5,200 | 7,000 | 8,800 | 12,800 | 18,600 |
| Ford Street | 1,300 | 2,300 | 3,300 | 5,200 | 7,000 | 8,800 | 12,800 | 18,600 |
| US Tucker Gulch | 1,300 | 2,300 | 3,300 | 5,200 | 7,100 | 8,800 | 12,800 | 18,700 |
| DS Tucker Gulch | 1,400 | 2,400 | 3,500 | 5,500 | 7,500 | 9,500 | 13,600 | 19,800 |
| Coors Railroad | 1,400 | 2,400 | 3,500 | 5,500 | 7,500 | 9,500 | 13,600 | 19,800 |
| McIntyre Street | 1,400 | 2,400 | 3,500 | 5,500 | 7,500 | 9,500 | 13,600 | 19,800 |
| Coors Railroad | 1,400 | 2,400 | 3,500 | 5,500 | 7,500 | 9,500 | 13,600 | 19,800 |
| I-70 | 1,400 | 2,400 | 3,500 | 5,500 | 7,500 | 9,500 | 13,600 | 19,800 |
| US Lena Gulch/Kipling Street | 1,400 | 2,400 | 3,500 | 5,500 | 7,500 | 9,500 | 13,600 | 19,800 |
| DS Lena Gulch | 1,400 | 2,600 | 3,700 | 5,800 | 8,000 | 10,200 | 14,400 | 21,100 |
| $44^{\text {th }}$ Avenue | 1,400 | 2,600 | 3,700 | 5,800 | 8,000 | 10,200 | 14,400 | 21,100 |
| Wadsworth Boulevard | 1,400 | 2,600 | 3,700 | 5,800 | 8,000 | 10,200 | 14,400 | 21,100 |
| Marshall Street | 1,400 | 2,600 | 3,700 | 5,800 | 8,000 | 10,200 | 14,400 | 21,100 |
| US Ralston Creek/I-76 | 1,400 | 2,600 | 3,700 | 5,800 | 8,000 | 10,200 | 14,400 | 21,100 |
| DS Ralston Creek | 1,800 | 3,100 | 4,500 | 7,000 | 9,600 | 12,800 | 17,400 | 25,500 |
| Sheridan Boulevard | 1,800 | 3,100 | 4,500 | 7,000 | 9,600 | $12,600^{1}$ | $14,800^{1}$ | $19,200^{1}$ |
| Tennyson Street | 1,800 | 3,100 | 4,500 | 6,500 ${ }^{1}$ | 7,900 ${ }^{1}$ | 9,200 ${ }^{1}$ | $10,000^{1}$ | 11,500 ${ }^{1}$ |
| Lowell Boulevard | 1,800 | 3,100 | 4,500 | 6,500 ${ }^{1}$ | 7,900 ${ }^{1}$ | 9,100 ${ }^{1}$ | 9,900 ${ }^{1}$ | 11,400 ${ }^{1}$ |
| Fischer Ditch Diversion | 1,800 | 3,100 | 4,500 | 6,500 ${ }^{1}$ | 7,900 ${ }^{1}$ | 9,000 ${ }^{1}$ | 9,800 ${ }^{1}$ | $11,300^{1}$ |
| Rio Grande Railroad | 1,800 | 3,100 | 4,500 | 7,000 | 9,600 | 12,800 | 17,400 | 25,500 |
| Federal Boulevard | 1,800 | 3.100 | 4,500 | 7,000 | 9,600 | 12,800 | 17,400 | 25,500 |
| US Little Dry Creek/C\&S Railroad Bridge | 1,800 | 3,100 | 4,500 | 7,000 | 9,600 | 12,800 | 17,400 | 25,400 |
| DS Little Dry Creek/Pecos Street | 1,900 | 3,400 | 4,900 | 7,600 | 10,400 | 14,100 | 19,000 | 27,700 |
| Lower Clear Creek Diversion Dam | 1,900 | 3,400 | 4,900 | 7,600 | 10,400 | 14,100 | 19,000 | 27,700 |
| Broadway | 1,900 | 3,400 | 4,900 | 7,600 | 10,400 | 14,100 | 19,000 | 27,700 |
| 1-25 | 1,900 | 3,400 | 4,900 | 7,600 | 10,400 | 14,100 | 19,000 | 27,700 |
| I-25 Ramp | 1,900 | 3,400 | 4,900 | 7,600 | 10,400 | 14,100 | 19,000 | 27,700 |
| Washington Street | 1,900 | 3,400 | 4,900 | 7,600 | 10,400 | 14,100 | 19,000 | 27,700 |
| I-270 | 1,900 | 3,400 | 4,900 | 7,600 | 10,400 | 14,100 | 19,000 | 27,700 |
| York Street | 1,900 | 3,400 | 4,900 | 7,600 | 10,400 | 14,100 | 19,000 | 27,700 |
| Clear Creek at South Platte River | 1,900 | 3,400 | 4,900 | 7,600 | 10,400 | 14,100 | 19,000 | 27,700 |

${ }^{1}$ Reflects flow spills to Clear Creek North Overflow



APPENDIX B HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS


DUPLICATE EFFECTIVE 2022 HEC-RAS MODEL



## DUPLICATE EFFECTIVE 2022 HEC-RAS MODEL

| Reach | River Sta | Profile | Q Total | Min Ch El | W.S. Elev | Crit W.S. | E.G. Elev | E.G. Slope | Vel Chnl | Flow Area | Top Width | Froude \# Chl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (cfs) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft/ft) | (ft/s) | (sq ft) | (ft) |  |
| Federal Split | 1198 | 100-YR | 414.00 | 5215.00 | 5218.71 | 5215.91 | 5218.72 | 0.000037 | 0.79 | 1100.19 | 775.99 | 0.08 |
| Federal Split | 1075 | 100-YR | 414.00 | 5215.40 | 5218.71 | 5216.38 | 5218.71 | 0.000041 | 0.76 | 1021.23 | 874.08 | 0.08 |
| Federal Split | 980 | 100-YR | 414.00 | 5216.16 | 5218.70 | 5216.72 | 5218.71 | 0.000066 | 0.81 | 815.09 | 925.67 | 0.10 |
| Federal Split | 923 | 100-YR | 414.00 | 5216.67 | 5218.71 | 5212.96 | 5218.71 | 0.000005 | 0.20 | 2067.28 | 1297.94 | 0.03 |
| Federal Split | 773 | 100-YR | 414.00 | 5217.00 | 5218.69 | 5217.63 | 5218.70 | 0.000270 | 1.13 | 511.57 | 1322.30 | 0.18 |
| Federal Split | 627 | 100-YR | 414.00 | 5216.39 | 5218.56 | 5217.65 | 5218.63 | 0.000706 | 2.21 | 216.58 | 141.49 | 0.30 |
| Federal Split | 580 Federal Blvd |  | Bridge |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Split | 458 | 100-YR | 414.00 | 5215.31 | 5216.15 | 5216.15 | 5216.62 | 0.011121 | 3.36 | 80.38 | 93.04 | 0.94 |
| Federal Split | 326 | 100-YR | 414.00 | 5212.21 | 5213.56 | 5213.56 | 5213.99 | 0.018749 | 1.97 | 137.23 | 145.15 | 0.31 |

POST-PROJECT HEC-RAS MODEL



## POST-PROJECT HEC-RAS MODEL

| Reach | River Sta | Profile | Q Total | Min Ch El | W.S. Elev | Crit W.S. | E.G. Elev | E.G. Slope | Vel Chnl | Flow Area | Top Width | Froude \# Chl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (cfs) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft/ft) | (ft/s) | (sq ft) | (ft) |  |
| Federal Split | 1198 | 100-YR | 414.00 | 5215.00 | 5218.66 | 5215.91 | 5218.67 | 0.000040 | 0.81 | 1076.62 | 773.57 | 0.08 |
| Federal Split | 1075 | 100-YR | 414.00 | 5215.40 | 5218.66 | 5216.38 | 5218.66 | 0.000044 | 0.78 | 1000.44 | 864.81 | 0.08 |
| Federal Split | 980 | 100-YR | 414.00 | 5216.16 | 5218.65 | 5216.72 | 5218.66 | 0.000071 | 0.83 | 796.82 | 914.50 | 0.10 |
| Federal Split | 923 | 100-YR | 414.00 | 5216.67 | 5218.65 | 5216.95 | 5218.65 | 0.000074 | 0.74 | 939.78 | 808.62 | 0.10 |
| Federal Split | 773 | 100-YR | 414.00 | 5217.14 | 5218.65 |  | 5218.65 | 0.000000 | 0.02 | 10080.97 | 1740.23 | 0.00 |
| Federal Split | 627 | 100-YR | 414.00 | 5216.39 | 5218.56 | 5217.65 | 5218.63 | 0.000706 | 2.21 | 216.58 | 141.49 | 0.30 |
| Federal Split | 580 Federal Blvd |  | Bridge |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Split | 458 | 100-YR | 414.00 | 5215.31 | 5216.15 | 5216.15 | 5216.62 | 0.011121 | 3.36 | 80.38 | 93.04 | 0.94 |
| Federal Split | 326 | 100-YR | 414.00 | 5212.21 | 5213.56 | 5213.56 | 5213.99 | 0.018749 | 1.97 | 137.23 | 145.15 | 0.31 |

APPENDIX C FLOODPLAIN EXHIBIT


July 20, 2023

Matt Emmens, PE
Adams County, Colorado
4430 South Adams County Parkway, W2000B
Brighton, Colorado 80601

Re: $\quad 5800$ Federal Boulevard - Federal Storm Main Analysis
Martin/Martin, Inc. Project No.: 23.0269

Dear Mr. Emmens:

The purpose of this drainage letter is to document the impact of discharging stormwater runoff from the proposed Project at 5800 Federal Boulevard to the existing storm sewer main in Federal Boulevard. The Federal Boulevard storm sewer has been analyzed for the existing conditions and proposed stormwater runoff from the 5800 Federal Boulevard Project in the minor (five-year) and major (100-year) storm events. The storm sewer analysis was conducted using StormCAD software by Bentley-Haestad.

The Federal Industrial development (hereafter referred to as 5800 Federal Project) is located in the southeast quarter of Section 8, Township 3 South, Range 68 West of the Sixth Principal Meridian, County of Adams, State of Colorado. The site is bounded by railroad tracks to the north, Interstate 76 off-ramp to the south and east, and Federal Boulevard to the west. The proposed site improvements include an industrial building, paving, landscaping, site utilities, and site drainage improvements.

The following previous studies were referenced for the storm sewer analysis. Excerpts from each study referenced have been attached at the end of this letter:

- City and County of Denver Storm Drainage Master Plan, prepared for the City and County of Denver Department of Public Works by Enginuity Engineering Solutions, LLC, HDR Engineering, Inc., ICON Engineering, Inc., and Zoeller Consulting, LLC, dated October 2019 (hereafter referred to as 2019 SDMP).
- Flood Hazard Area Delineation Clear Creek, prepared by ICON Engineering, Inc., dated September 2022 (hereafter referred to as 2022 FHAD).


## Existing Condition Analysis:

The Federal Boulevard storm sewer is within Master Basin 4300-03 in the 2019 SDMP. The Federal Boulevard storm main tributary area extends from I-70 at Federal Boulevard northerly to an outfall at Clear Creek at Federal Boulevard. The tributary area to the Clear Creek outfall at Federal Boulevard includes Catchments 4300-03-380, 4300-03-382, 4300-03-384, and 4300-03-386 per the 2019 SDMP. The storm sewer analysis assumed the entirety of Catchments 4300-03-380 through 4300-03-384, and a portion of 4300-03-386 to be tributary to the Federal Boulevard storm sewer. Based on USGS topography and surveyed storm sewer, it was determined that a portion of Catchment 4300-03-386 drains via overland flow
directly to Clear Creek. Catchment basin characteristics and runoff rates for the portion of Catchment 4300-03-386 were determined using the Rational Method, with a combination of USGS topography, surveyed topography, and aerial imagery. Catchment basin characteristics and peak runoff rates for Catchments 4300-03-380 through 4300-03-384 were obtained from Table 1 in Appendix B of the 2019 SDMP. In the existing conditions, the 5800 Federal Project site drains easterly to an on-site retention pond. No stormwater runoff from the 5800 Federal Project is included in the Federal Boulevard storm sewer analysis in the existing conditions. The tailwater condition at the storm sewer outfall into Clear Creek was determined based on the water surface elevations (WSEL) provided on the Flood Profile in the 2022 FHAD. The five-year WSEL was estimated to be at elevation 5201.00 by interpolating between the thalweg of Clear Creek and the 10 -year WSEL. The 100 -year WSEL was provided in the Flood Profile at elevation 5210.00. The Federal Boulevard storm sewer pipe sizes and inverts were surveyed north of I-76 and modeled south of I-76 based on storm sewer as-builts provided by CDOT.

The StormCAD analysis of the existing conditions found the five-year hydraulic grade line (HGL) remains within the pipe, while the 100 -year HGL is above finished grade. Federal Boulevard from the 5800 Federal Project site to the outfall at Clear Creek is within the 100-year floodplain.

## Proposed Condition Analysis:

The analysis of the proposed condition follows the same assumptions mentioned in the existing conditions analysis above, while adding the anticipated stormwater runoff from the proposed Project site to the Federal Boulevard storm sewer. The proposed Project will include one or more detention ponds on-site per Adams County and Mile High Flood District criteria prior to discharging to the Federal Boulevard storm sewer. Based on preliminary calculations, the on-site detention pond is anticipated to release a peak runoff rate of 0.2 cfs in the five-year storm event, and 3.5 cfs in the 100 -year storm event. The stormwater runoff from the 5800 Federal Project site was added to structure CB-5 within the StormCAD model, which represents an existing inlet within Federal Boulevard adjacent to the 5800 Federal Project site. The proposed conditions StormCAD analysis shows the additional stormwater runoff to have a negligible impact on the hydraulic results in the existing Federal Boulevard storm sewer. The 5-HGL remains within the existing pipes and the 100 -year HGL is above finished grade. The 0.2 cfs discharged from the 5800 Federal Project site in the five-year storm event is a $0.3 \%$ increase in the Federal Boulevard storm sewer system, compared to the 63.4 cfs in the pipe downstream of CB-5 (Pipe-14) in the existing conditions. The 3.5 cfs discharged from the 5800 Federal Project site in the 100-year storm event is a $1.6 \%$ increase in the Federal Boulevard storm sewer system, compared to the 222.1 cfs in the pipe downstream of CB-5 (Pipe-14) in the existing conditions.

## Conclusion:

Based on our analysis of the existing and proposed conditions during five-year and 100-year storm events, discharging detained stormwater runoff from the proposed 5800 Federal Project appears to have a minimal impact on the Federal Boulevard storm sewer, and is not anticipated to have adverse impacts downstream.

Should you have any questions, please contact me at 303-431-6100 or rfrankenberger@martinmartin.com.
Regards,


Rob E. Frankenberger, PE, LEED BD +C
Associate
Attachments:

- StormCAD Analysis
- Drainage Exhibit North of I-76
- 2019 SDMP Excerpt
- 2022 FHAD Excerpt


Profile Report
Engineering Profile - Federal Storm Main (5800 Federal.stsw)
EXISTING 5-YR PROFILE


Profile Report
Engineering Profile - Federal Storm Main (5800 Federal.stsw)

## PROPOSED 5-YR PROFILE



> PROPOSED FLOWS FROM ONSITE ( 5800 FEDERAL BLVD)

POND OUTLET STRUCTURE:
$5-\mathrm{YR}=0.20 \mathrm{CFS}$

Profile Report
Engineering Profile - Federal Storm Main (5800 Federal.stsw)
EXISTING 100-YR PROFILE


Profile Report
Engineering Profile - Federal Storm Main (5800 Federal.stsw)

```
PROPOSED 100-YR PROFILE
```

PROPOSED FLOWS FROM ONSITE POND (5800 FEDERAL BLVD) OUTLET STRUCTURE:
$100-\mathrm{YR}=3.50 \mathrm{CFS}$


FlexTable: Catchment Table

| ID | Label | Outflow Element | Area (User Defined) (acres) | Runoff Coefficient (Rational) | Time of Concentration (hours) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Flow } \\ \text { (Total Out) } \\ \text { (cfs) } \end{gathered}$ | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 | CM-3 | Structure - (16) | 8.310 | 0.590 | 0.260 | 15.64 | Captures additional stormwater downstream of CB-5 that enters federal main |
| 73 | CM-1 Fed lateral | CB-5 | 13.040 | 0.400 | 0.320 | 15.00 | Captures stormwater west of Federal and the flow that enters storm laterals upstream of CB 5 |
| 108 | CM-384 | DP-1384 | 62.080 | 0.400 | 0.810 | 41.31 | Captures stormwater south of I-76 and north of Columbine Rd. |
| 109 | CM-382 | DP-1382 | 12.800 | 0.384 | 0.740 | 8.67 | Captures stormwater south of Columbine Rd and north of 52nd Ave |
| 1110 | CM-380 $\mathrm{CM}-2 \mathrm{Fed}$ | DP-380 | 26.240 0.910 | 0.532 0.860 | 1.150 0.170 | 18.36 3.01 | Captures stormwater south of 52nd Ave and north of I-70 <br> Captures stormwater to the south of existing inlet at proposed site (CB-5) and north of I-76 (DP-1384) |

G:ISCHLAPPEI23.0269-5800 Federal Industrial|ENGIDRAINAGEIFLOODPLAIN DUE DILIGENCEISTORM CADI5800 Federal.stsw

| ID | Label | Outflow Element | Area (User Defined) (acres) | Runoff Coefficient (Rational) | Time of Concentration (hours) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Flow } \\ \text { (Total Out) } \\ \text { (cfs) } \end{gathered}$ | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 | CM-3 | Structure - (16) | 8.310 | 0.590 | 0.260 | 15.64 | Captures additional stormwater downstream of CB-5 that enters federal main |
| 73 | CM-1 Fed lateral | CB-5 | 13.040 | 0.400 | 0.320 | 15.00 | Captures stormwater west of Federal and the flow that enters storm laterals upstream of CB 5 |
| 108 | CM-384 | DP-1384 | 62.080 12.800 | 0.400 <br> 0.384 <br> 0 | 0.810 0.740 | 41.31 8.67 | Captures stormwater south of $1-76$ and north of Columbine Rd. Captures stormwater south of Columbine Rd and north of 52 nd Ave |
| 110 | CM-380 | DP-380 | 26.240 | 0.532 | 1.150 | 18.36 | Captures stormwater south of 52nd Ave and north of 1-70 |
| 111 | CM -2 Fed | CB-5 | 0.910 | 0.860 | 0.170 | 3.01 | Captures stormwater to the south of existing inlet at proposed site (CB-5) and north of 1-76 ( DP-1384) |

[^4]Scenario: Existing-100 Year
Current Time Step: 0.000 h
FlexTable: Catchment Table

| ID | Label | Outflow Element | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area (User } \\ \text { Defined) } \\ \text { (acres) } \end{gathered}$ | Runoff Coefficient (Rational) | Time of Concentration (hours) | Flow (Total Out) (cfs) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 | CM-3 | Structure - (16) | 5.800 | 0.690 | 0.260 | 24.36 | Captures additional stormwater downstream of CB-5 that enters federal main |
| 73 | CM-1 Fed lateral | CB-5 | 12.770 | 0.530 | 0.320 | 37.15 | Captures stormwater west of Federal and the flow that enters storm laterals upstream of CB 5 |
| 108 | CM-384 | DP-1384 | 62.080 | 0.540 | 0.510 | 142.02 | Captures stormwater south of $1-76$ and north of Columbine Rd. |
| 109 | CM-382 | DP-1382 | 12.800 | 0.524 | 0.427 | 31.49 | Captures stormwater south of Columbine Rd and north of 52nd Ave |
| 110 | CM-380 $\mathrm{CM}-2 \mathrm{Fed}$ | DP-380 | 26.240 0.910 | 0.639 0.890 | 0.720 0.173 | 57.44 5.90 | Captures stormwater south of 52 nd Ave and north of $1-70$ Captures stormwater to the south of existing inlet at proposed site (CB-5) and north of 1-76 (DP-1384) |
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Scenario: Proposed-100 Year
Current Time Step: 0.000 h
FlexTable: Catchment Table

| ID | Label | Outflow Element | Area (User Defined) (acres) | Runoff Coefficient (Rational) | Time of Concentration (hours) | Flow (Total Out) (cfs) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 | CM-3 | Structure - (16) | 5.800 | 0.690 | 0.260 | 24.36 | Captures additional stormwater downstream of CB-5 that enters federal main |
| 73 | CM-1 Fed lateral | CB-5 | 12.770 | 0.530 | 0.320 | 37.15 | Captures stormwater west of Federal and the flow that enters storm laterals upstream of CB 5 |
| 108 | CM-384 | DP-1384 | 62.080 | ${ }^{0.540}$ | 0.510 | 142.02 | Captures stormwater south of I-76 and north of Columbine Rd. |
| 109 | CM-382 | DP-1382 | 12.800 | 0.524 | 0.427 | 31.49 | Captures stormwater south of Columbine Rd and north of 52nd Ave |
| 110 111 | CM-380 $\mathrm{CM}-2 \mathrm{Fed}$ | DP-380 | 26.240 0.910 | 0.639 0.890 | 0.720 0.173 | 57.44 5.90 | Captures stormwater south of 52nd Ave and north of I-70 Captures stormwater to the south of existing inlet at proposed site (CB-5) and north of $1-76$ ( DP-1384) |

[^5]
## Scenario: Existing-5 Year

Current Time Step: 0.000 h
FlexTable: Conduit Table

| ID | Label | Start Node | $\begin{gathered} \text { Invert } \\ \text { (Start) (ft) } \end{gathered}$ | Stop Node | Length (User Defined) (ft) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Invert } \\ & \text { (Stop) (ft) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Slope } \\ (\text { Calculated }) \\ (\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ | Diameter <br> (in) | $\underset{\mathrm{n}}{\text { Manning's }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Flow } \\ & \text { (cfs) } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s})}{\text { Veloity }}$ | Capacity <br> (Full <br> Flow) <br> (cfs) | Hydraulic Grade Line (In) (ft) | Hydraulic Grade Line (Out) (ft) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 <br> 53 | $\frac{\text { Pipe - (1) }}{\text { Pipe - (14) }}$ | Structure - (21) | 5,208.28 | CB-5 | 108.1 | 5,207.46 | 0.008 | 24.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 7.75 | 19.70 | 5,211.30 | 5,211.30 | RCP |
|  | Pipe - (6) | CB-5 | 5,207.56 | Structure - (7) | 443.5 | 5,209.86 | -0.005 | 48.0 | 0.013 | 56.22 | 8.40 | 103.44 | 5,212.12 | 5,211.10 | RCP |
| 55 | Pipe - (23) | Structure - (26) | 5,211.65 | Structure - (24) | 207.8 | 5,210.61 | 0.005 | 18.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.43 | 5,211.65 | $5,211.30$ | RCP |
| 56 | Pipe - (24) | Structure - (24) | 5,210.41 | Structure - (11) | 87.6 | 5,209.97 | 0.005 | 18.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.43 | 5,211.30 | 5,211.30 | RCP |
| 57 | Pipe - (10) | Structure - (10) | 5,208.71 | Structure - (21) | 325.1 | 5,208.27 | 0.001 | 24.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.33 | 5,211.30 | 5,211.30 | RCP |
| 58 | Pipe - (11) | Structure - (10) | 5,208.91 | Structure - (11) | 204.0 | 5,209.78 | -0.004 | 18.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.86 | 5,211.30 | 5,211.30 | RCP |
| 59 | Pipe - (7) | Structure - (7) | 5,209.96 | DP-1384 | 188.3 | 5,212.17 | -0.012 | 42.0 | 0.013 | 56.37 | 11.43 | 108.99 | 5,214.52 | 5,212.96 | RCP |
| 60 | Pipe - (25) | Structure - (21) | 5,208.27 | Structure - (27) (EX STRM) | 60.9 | 5,208.88 | -0.010 | 24.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 22.65 | 5,211.30 | 5,211.30 | RCP |
| 61 | Pipe - (15) | Structure - (15) | 5,204.29 | Structure - (16) | 223.4 | 5,202.55 | 0.008 | 48.0 | 0.013 | 62.43 | 10.05 | 126.75 | 5,206.67 | 5,206.48 | RCP |
| 62 | Pipe - (18) | Structure - (15) | 5,204.31 | Structure - (19) | 80.3 | 5,205.07 | -0.009 | 24.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 21.94 | 5,207.97 | 5,207.97 | RCP |
| 63 | Pipe - (19) | Structure - (19) | 5,204.88 | Structure - (20) | 15.7 | 5,204.96 | -0.005 | 24.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.12 | $5,207.97$ 5 5 | 5,207.97 | RCP |
| 64 | Pipe - (16) | Structure - (16) | 5,202.44 | OUTFALL TO CLEAR CREEK | 106.3 | 5,200.55 | 0.018 | 48.0 | 0.013 | 68.35 | 13.96 | 191.49 | 5,204.94 | 5,202.31 | RCP |
| 65 | Pipe - (21) | Structure - (23) | 5,203.74 | Structure - (16) | 79.7 | 5,202.54 | 0.015 | 12.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.37 | 5,206.71 | 5,206.71 | RCP |
| 66 | Pipe - (20) | Structure - (22) | 5,211.90 | Structure - (23) | 17.0 | 5,211.56 | 0.020 | 12.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.04 | 5,211.90 | 5,211.56 | RCP |
| 67 | Pipe - (13) | Structure - (11) | 5,209.67 | Structure - (13) (EX STRM) | 420.9 | 5,219.63 | -0.024 | 18.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.16 | 5,219.63 | 5,211.30 | RCP |
| 100 102 | CO-2 CO-3 | DP-1384 | 5,212.17 5 5.324 .50 | DP-1382 DP-380 | 508.0 1.172 .0 | 5,324.50 5.354 .50 | -0.221 -0.026 | 36.0 24.0 | 0.013 0.013 | 24.42 18.36 | ${ }_{1}^{26.35}$ | 313.62 36.19 | $5,326.09$ 5.356 .04 | $5,216.01$ 5.326 .62 | - |
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Scenario: Proposed-5 Year
Current Time Step: 0.000 h
FlexTable: Conduit Table

| ID | Label | Start Node | Invert (Start) (ft) | Stop Node | Length (User Defined) (ft) | Invert (Stop) (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Slope } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { Calculated) } \\ (\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}) \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | Diameter <br> (in) | Manning's <br> n | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Flow } \\ & \text { (cfs) } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s})}{\text { Veloity }}$ | Capacity <br> (Full <br> Flow) (cfs) | Hydraulic Grade Line (In) (ft) | Hydraulic Grade Line (Out) (ft) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 <br> 53 | Pipe - (1) | Structure - (21) | $\frac{5,208.28}{5,207.45}$ | CB-5 | 108.1 | 5,207.46 | 0.008 | 24.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 19.70 | 5,211.31 | 5,211.31 | RCP |
| 54 | Pipe - (6) | CB-5 | 5,207.56 | Structure - (7) | 443.5 | 5,209.86 | -0.005 | 48.0 | 0.013 | 56.22 | 8.40 | 103.44 | 5,212.12 | 5,211.11 | RCP |
| 55 | Pipe - (23) | Structure - (26) | 5,211.65 | Structure - (24) | 207.8 | 5,210.61 | 0.005 | 18.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.43 | 5,211.65 | 5,211.31 | RCP |
| 56 | Pipe - (24) | Structure - (24) | 5,210.41 | Structure - (11) | 87.6 | 5,209.97 | 0.005 | 18.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.43 | 5,211.31 | 5,211.31 | RCP |
| 57 | Pipe - (10) | Structure - (10) | 5,208.71 | Structure - (21) | 325.1 | 5,208.27 | 0.001 | 24.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.33 | 5,211.31 | 5,211.31 | RCP |
| 58 | Pipe - (11) | Structure - (10) | 5,208.91 | Structure - (11) | 204.0 | 5,209.78 | -0.004 | 18.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.86 | 5,211.31 | 5,211.31 | RCP |
| 59 | Pipe - (7) | Structure - (7) | 5,209.96 | DP-1384 | 188.3 | 5,212.17 | -0.012 | 42.0 | 0.013 | 56.37 | 11.43 | 108.99 | 5,214.52 | 5,212.96 | RCP |
| 60 | Pipe - (25) | Structure - (21) | 5,208.27 | Structure - (27) (EX STRM) | 60.9 | 5,208.88 | -0.010 | 24.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 22.65 | 5,211.31 | 5,211.31 | RCP |
| 61 | Pipe - (15) | Structure - (15) | 5,204.29 | Structure - (16) | 223.4 | 5,202.55 | 0.008 | 48.0 | 0.013 | 62.63 | 10.06 | 126.75 | 5,206.68 | 5,206.49 | RCP |
| 62 | Pipe - (18) | Structure - (15) | 5,204.31 | Structure - (19) | 80.3 | 5,205.07 | -0.009 | 24.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 21.94 | 5,207.98 | 5,207.98 | RCP |
| 63 | Pipe - (19) | Structure - (19) | 5,204.88 | Structure - (20) | 15.7 | 5,204.96 | -0.005 | 24.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.12 | 5,207.98 | 5,207.98 | RCP |
| 64 | Pipe - (16) | Structure - (16) | 5,202.44 | OUTFALL TO CLEAR CREEK | 106.3 | 5,200.55 | 0.018 | 48.0 | 0.013 | 68.55 | 13.97 | 191.49 | 5,204.94 | 5,202.32 | RCP |
| 65 | Pipe - (21) | Structure - (23) | 5,203.74 | Structure - (16) | 79.7 | 5,202.54 | 0.015 | 12.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.37 | 5,206.72 | 5,206.72 | RCP |
| 66 | Pipe - (20) | Structure - (22) | 5,211.90 | Structure - (23) | 17.0 | 5,211.56 | 0.020 | 12.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.04 | 5,211.90 | 5,211.56 | RCP |
| 67 | Pipe - (13) | Structure - (11) | 5,209.67 | Structure - (13) (EX STRM) | 420.9 | 5,219.63 | -0.024 | 18.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.16 | 5,219.63 | 5,211.31 | RCP |
| 100 | CO-2 | DP-1384 | 5,212.17 | DP-1382 | 508.0 | 5,324.50 | -0.221 | 36.0 | 0.013 | 24.42 | 26.35 | 313.62 | 5,326.09 | 5,216.01 |  |
| 102 | CO-3 | DP-1382 | 5,324.50 | DP-380 | 1,172.0 | 5,354.50 | -0.026 | 24.0 | 0.013 | 18.36 | 11.57 | 36.19 | 5,356.04 | 5,326.62 | - |
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Scenario: Existing-100 Year
Current Time Step: 0.000 h
FlexTable: Conduit Table

| ID | Label | Start Node | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Invert } \\ & \text { (Start) (ft) } \end{aligned}$ | Stop Node | Length (User Defined) (ft) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Invert } \\ & \text { (Stop) (ft) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Slope } \\ \text { (Calculated) } \\ \text { (ft/ft) } \end{gathered}$ | Diameter <br> (in) | Manning's | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Flow } \\ & \text { (cfs) } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s})}{\text { Velocity }}$ | Capacity (Full Flow) (cfs) | Hydraulic Grade Line ( In ) (ft) | Hydraulic Grade Line (Out) (ft) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | Pipe - (1) | Structure - (21) | 5,208.28 | CB-5 | 108.1 | 5,207.46 | 0.008 | 24.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 19.70 | 5,217.45 | 5,217.45 | RCP |
| 53 | Pipe - (14) | CB-5 | 5,207.45 | Structure - (15) | 756.7 | 5,204.49 | 0.004 | 48.0 | 0.013 | 215.07 | 17.11 | 89.83 | 5,233.05 | 5,216.09 | RCP |
| 54 | Pipe - (6) | CB-5 | 5,207.56 | Structure - (7) | 443.5 | 5,209.86 | -0.005 | 48.0 | 0.013 | 191.15 | 15.21 | 103.44 | 5,225.31 | 5,217.45 | RCP |
| 55 | Pipe - (23) | Structure - (26) | 5,211.65 | Structure - (24) | 207.8 | 5,210.61 | 0.005 | 18.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.43 | 5,213.28 | 5,213.28 | RCP |
| 56 | Pipe - (24) | Structure - (24) | 5,210.41 | Structure - (11) | 87.6 | 5,209.97 | 0.005 | 18.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.43 | 5,213.28 | 5,213.28 | RCP |
| 57 | Pipe - (10) Pipe - 111 | Structure - (10) Structure - 10$)$ | $5,208.71$ $5,208.91$ | Structure - (21) Structure - (11) | 325.1 204.0 | $5,208.27$ $5,209.78$ | $\begin{array}{r}0.001 \\ -0.004 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 24.0 18.0 | 0.013 0.013 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 8.33 6.86 | $5,217.45$ $5,217.45$ | $5,217.45$ $5,217.45$ | RCP |
| 59 | Pipe - (7) | Structure - (7) | 5,209.96 | DP-1384 | 188.3 | 5,212.17 | -0.012 | 42.0 | 0.013 | 191.59 | 19.91 | 108.99 | 5,224.35 | 5,217.52 | RCP |
| 60 | Pipe - (25) | Structure - (21) | 5,208.27 | Structure - (27) (EX STRM) | 60.9 | 5,208.88 | -0.010 | 24.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 22.65 | 5,217.45 | 5,217.45 | RCP |
| 61 | Pipe - (15) | Structure - (15) | 5,204.29 | Structure - (16) | 223.4 | 5,202.55 | 0.008 | 48.0 | 0.013 | 212.83 | 16.94 | 126.75 | 5,220.56 | 5,215.65 | RCP |
| 62 | Pipe - (18) | Structure - (15) | 5,204.31 | Structure - (19) | 80.3 | 5,205.07 | -0.009 | 24.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 21.94 | 5,216.09 | 5,216.09 | RCP |
| 63 | Pipe - (19) | Structure - (19) | 5,204.88 | Structure - (20) | 15.7 | 5,204.96 | -0.005 | 24.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.12 | 5,215.94 | 5,215.94 | RCP |
| 64 | Pipe - (16) | Structure - (16) | 5,202.44 | OUTFALL TO CLEAR CREEK | 106.3 | 5,200.55 | 0.018 | 48.0 | 0.013 | 225.33 | 17.93 | 191.49 | 5,212.62 | 5,210.00 | RCP |
| 65 | Pipe - (21) | Structure - (23) | 5,203.74 | Structure - (16) | 79.7 | 5,202.54 | 0.015 | 12.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.37 | 5,215.65 | 5,215.65 | RCP |
| 66 | Pipe - (20) | Structure - (22) | 5,211.90 | Structure - (23) | 17.0 | 5,211.56 | 0.020 | 12.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 5.04 16.16 | $5,215.61$ 5,21963 | $5,215.61$ <br> 5,213 | RCP |
| 67 100 | Pipe - (13) $\mathrm{CO}-2$ | Structure - (11) DP-1384 | $5,209.67$ $5,212.17$ | ${ }_{\text {Structure - (13) }}^{\text {DP }}$ (EX STRM) | 420.9 508.0 | $5,219.63$ $5,324.50$ | -0.024 -0.221 | 18.0 36.0 | 0.013 0.013 | 0.00 79.17 | 0.00 36.98 | $\begin{array}{r}16.16 \\ 313.62 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $5,219.63$ $5,327.26$ | $5,213.28$ $5,218.68$ | RCP |
| 102 | CO-3 | DP-1382 | ${ }_{5,324.50}$ | DP-380 | 1,172.0 | 5,354.50 | -0.026 | 24.0 | 0.013 | 57.44 | 18.28 | 36.19 | 5,402.23 | 5,326.67 | - |
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Scenario: Proposed-100 Year
Current Time Step: 0.000 h
FlexTable: Conduit Table

| ID | Label | Start Node | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Invert } \\ & \text { (Start) (ft) } \end{aligned}$ | Stop Node | Length (User Defined) (ft) | Invert (Stop) (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Slope } \\ \text { (Calculated) } \\ \text { (ft/ft) } \end{gathered}$ | Diameter <br> (in) | Manning's | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Flow } \\ & \text { (cfs) } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s})}{\substack{\text { Velocity }}}$ | Capacity (Full Flow) (cfs) | Hydraulic Grade Line ( In ) (ft) | Hydraulic Grade Line (Out) (ft) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | Pipe - (1) | Structure - (21) | 5,208.28 | CB-5 | 108.1 | 5,207.46 | 0.008 | 24.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 19.70 | 5,217.45 | 5,217.45 | RCP |
| 53 | Pipe - (14) | CB-5 | 5,207.45 | Structure - (15) | 756.7 | 5,204.49 | 0.004 | 48.0 | 0.013 | 222.07 | 17.67 | 89.83 | 5,234.18 | 5,216.09 | RCP |
| 54 | Pipe - (6) | CB-5 | 5,207.56 | Structure - (7) | 443.5 | 5,209.86 | -0.005 | 48.0 | 0.013 | 191.15 | 15.21 | 103.44 | 5,225.31 | 5,217.45 | RCP |
| 55 | Pipe - (23) | Structure - (26) | 5,211.65 | Structure - (24) | 207.8 | 5,210.61 | 0.005 | 18.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.43 | 5,213.28 | 5,213.28 | RCP |
| 56 | Pipe - (24) | Structure - (24) | 5,210.41 | Structure - (11) | 87.6 | 5,209.97 | 0.005 | 18.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.43 | 5,213.28 | 5,213.28 | RCP |
| 57 58 | Pipe - (10) Pipe - 111 | Structure - (10) Structure - 10$)$ | $5,208.71$ $5,208.91$ | Structure - (21) Structure - (11) | 325.1 204.0 | $5,208.27$ $5,209.78$ | $\begin{array}{r}0.001 \\ -0.004 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 24.0 18.0 | 0.013 0.013 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 8.33 6.86 | $5,217.45$ $5,217.45$ | $5,217.45$ $5,217.45$ | RCP |
| 59 | Pipe - (7) | Structure - (7) | 5,209.96 | DP-1384 | 188.3 | 5,212.17 | -0.012 | 42.0 | 0.013 | 191.59 | 19.91 | 108.99 | 5,224.35 | 5,217.52 | RCP |
| 60 | Pipe - (25) | Structure - (21) | 5,208.27 | Structure - (27) (EX STRM) | 60.9 | 5,208.88 | -0.010 | 24.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 22.65 | 5,217.45 | 5,217.45 | RCP |
| 61 | Pipe - (15) | Structure - (15) | 5,204.29 | Structure - (16) | 223.4 | 5,202.55 | 0.008 | 48.0 | 0.013 | 219.90 | 17.50 | 126.75 | 5,220.89 | 5,215.65 | RCP |
| 62 | Pipe - (18) | Structure - (15) | 5,204.31 | Structure - (19) | 80.3 | 5,205.07 | -0.009 | 24.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 21.94 | 5,216.09 | 5,216.09 | RCP |
| 63 | Pipe - (19) | Structure - (19) | 5,204.88 | Structure - (20) | 15.7 | 5,204.96 | -0.005 | 24.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.12 | 5,215.94 | 5,215.94 | RCP |
| 64 | Pipe - (16) | Structure - (16) | 5,202.44 | OUTFALL TO CLEAR CREEK | 106.3 | 5,200.55 | 0.018 | 48.0 | 0.013 | 232.42 | 18.50 | 191.49 | 5,212.78 | 5,210.00 | RCP |
| 65 | Pipe - (21) | Structure - (23) | 5,203.74 | Structure - (16) | 79.7 | 5,202.54 | 0.015 | 12.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.37 | 5,215.65 | 5,215.65 | RCP |
| 66 | Pipe - (20) | Structure - (22) | 5,211.90 | Structure - (23) | 17.0 | 5,211.56 | 0.020 | 12.0 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.04 | 5,215.61 | 5,215.61 | RCP |
| 67 100 | Pipe - (13) $\mathrm{CO}-2$ | Structure - (11) DP-1384 | $5,209.67$ $5,212.17$ | ${ }_{\text {Structure - (13) }}^{\text {DP }}$ (EX STRM) | 420.9 508.0 | $5,219.63$ $5,324.50$ | -0.024 <br> -0.221 | 18.0 36.0 | 0.013 0.013 | 0.00 79.17 | 0.00 36.98 | 16.16 313.62 | $5,219.63$ $5,327.26$ | $5,213.28$ $5,218.68$ | RCP |
| 102 | CO-3 | DP-1382 | ${ }_{5,324.50}$ | DP-380 | 1,172.0 | 5,354.50 | -0.026 | 24.0 | 0.013 | 57.44 | 18.28 | 36.19 | 5,402.23 | 5,326.67 | - |
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## Scenario: Existing-5 Year

Current Time Step: 0.000 h

## FlexTable: Catch Basin Table

| ID | Label | Elevation (Ground) <br> (ft) | Elevation (Rim) (ft) | Elevation (Invert) (ft) | Inlet Type | Capture Efficiency (Calculated) (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Flow } \\ & \text { (Captured) } \\ & \text { (cfs) } \end{aligned}$ | Hydraulic Grade Line ( In ) (ft) | Hydraulic Grade Line (Out) (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 105 | DP-1382 | $5,330.00$ 5,36000 | $5,330.00$ 5,36000 | $5,324.50$ $5,354.50$ | Full Capture Full Capture | 100.0 100.0 | 8.67 18.36 17 | $5,326.30$ |  |
| 106 | DP-380 CB-5 | $\begin{aligned} & 5,360.00 \\ & 5,217.45 \end{aligned}$ | $5,360.00$ $5,217.45$ | $5,354.50$ $5,207.40$ | Full Capture Full Capture | 100.0 100.0 | 18.36 17.25 | $\begin{aligned} & 5,356.69 \\ & 5,210.36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,356.31 \\ & 5,210.24 \end{aligned}$ |

G:ISCHLAPPEE23.0269-5800 Federal IndustriallENGIDRAINAGEIFLOODPLAIN DUE DILIGENCEISTORM CADI5800 Federal.stsw

## Scenario: Proposed-5 Year <br> Current Time Step: 0.000 h <br> FlexTable: Catch Basin Table

| ID | Label | Elevation (Ground) (ft) | Elevation (Rim) (ft) | Elevation (Invert) (ft) | Inlet Type | Capture Efficiency (Calculated) (\%) | Flow (Additional Subsurface) (cfs) | Flow (Captured) (cfs) | Hydraulic Grade Line (In) (ft) | Hydraulic Grade Line (Out) (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 105 | DP-1382 | 5,330.00 | 5,330.00 | 5,324.50 | Full Capture | 100.0 | 0.00 | 8.67 | 5,326.30 | 5,326.25 |
| 106 | DP-380 | 5,360.00 | 5,360.00 | 5,354.50 | Full Capture | 100.0 | 0.00 | 18.36 | 5,356.69 | 5,356.31 |
| 112 | CB-5 | 5,217.45 | 5,217.45 | 5,207.40 | Full Capture | 100.0 | 0.20 | 17.25 | 5,210.37 | 5,210.24 |
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## Scenario: Existing-100 Year

 Current Time Step: 0.000 hFlexTable: Catch Basin Table

| ID | Label | Elevation (Ground) (ft) | Elevation (Rim) (ft) | Elevation (Invert) (ft) | Inlet Type | Capture Efficiency (Calculated) (\%) | Flow (Additional Subsurface) (cfs) | Flow (Captured) (cfs) | Hydraulic Grade Line (In) (ft) | Hydraulic Grade Line (Out) (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 105 | DP-1382 | 5,330.00 | 5,330.00 | 5,324.50 | Full Capture | 100.0 | 0.00 | 31.49 | 5,327.68 | 5,327.68 |
| 106 | DP-380 | 5,360.00 | 5,360.00 | 5,354.50 | Full Capture | 100.0 | 0.00 | 57.44 | 5,360.00 | 5,360.00 |
| 112 | CB-5 | 5,217.45 | 5,217.45 | 5,207.40 | Full Capture | 100.0 | 0.00 | 41.60 | 5,217.45 | 5,217.45 |
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## Scenario: Proposed-100 Year

Current Time Step: 0.000 h
FlexTable: Catch Basin Table

| ID | Label | Elevation (Ground) (ft) | Elevation (Rim) (ft) | Elevation (Invert) (ft) | Inlet Type | Capture Efficiency (Calculated) (\%) | Flow (Additional Subsurface) (cfs) | Flow (Captured) (cfs) | Hydraulic Grade Line (In) (ft) | Hydraulic Grade Line (Out) (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 105 | DP-1382 | 5,330.00 | 5,330.00 | 5,324.50 | Full Capture | 100.0 | 0.00 | 31.49 | 5,327.68 | 5,327.68 |
| 106 | DP-380 | 5,360.00 | 5,360.00 | 5,354.50 | Full Capture | 100.0 | 0.00 | 57.44 | 5,360.00 | 5,360.00 |
| 112 | CB-5 | 5,217.45 | 5,217.45 | 5,207.40 | Full Capture | 100.0 | 3.50 | 41.60 | 5,217.45 | 5,217.45 |
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## Scenario: Existing-5 Year Current Time Step: 0.000 h <br> FlexTable: Manhole Table

| ID | Label | Elevation (Ground) (ft) | Elevation (Rim) (ft) | Elevation (Invert in 1) (ft) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Flow } \\ & \text { (Total } \\ & \text { Out) } \\ & \text { (cfs) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Depth } \\ & \text { (Out) (ft) } \end{aligned}$ | Hydraulic Grade Line (Out) (ft) | Headloss Method | Hydraulic Grade Line (In) (ft) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34 | Structure - (26) | 5,219.36 | 5,219.36 | (N/A) | 0.00 | (N/A) | (N/A) | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | (N/A) | TYPE R INLET |
| 35 | Structure - (24) | 5,218.09 | 5,218.09 | 5,210.61 | 0.00 | 1.30 | 5,211.30 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,211.30 | TYPE R INLET |
| 36 | Structure - (10) | 5,217.61 | 5,217.61 | 5,208.91 | 0.00 | 4.30 | 5,211.30 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,211.30 | CONCENTRIC MH |
| 37 | Structure - (7) | 5,217.52 | 5,217.52 | 5,209.96 | 56.22 | 2.51 | 5,212.37 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,212.37 | TYPE R INLET |
| 38 | Structure - (21) | 5,217.48 | 5,217.48 | 5,208.27 | 0.00 | 6.30 | 5,211.30 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,211.30 | TYPE R INLET |
| 39 | DP-1384 | 5,218.68 | 5,218.68 | 5,212.17 | 56.37 | 2.72 | 5,214.89 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,215.08 | TYPE R INLET |
| 40 | Structure - (15) | 5,216.09 | 5,216.09 | 5,204.49 | 62.43 | 2.69 | 5,206.98 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,206.98 | TYPE R INLET |
| 41 | Structure - (19) | 5,215.94 | 5,215.94 | 5,204.88 | 0.00 | 5,219.65 | 5,207.97 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,207.97 | CONCENTRIC MH |
| 42 | Structure - (16) | 5,215.65 | 5,215.65 | 5,202.55 | 68.35 | 2.85 | 5,205.29 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,205.65 | TYPE R INLET |
| 43 | Structure - (23) | 5,215.61 | 5,215.61 | 5,211.56 | 0.00 | 5,211.15 | 5,206.71 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,206.71 | CONCENTRIC MH |
| 44 | Structure - (20) | 5,215.28 | 5,215.28 | (N/A) | 0.00 | 5,219.81 | 5,207.97 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,207.97 | CDOT Type 13 |
| 45 | Structure - (22) | 5,215.21 | 5,215.21 | (N/A) | 0.00 | (N/A) | (N/A) | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | (N/A) | CDOT Type 13 |
| 46 | Structure - (11) | 5,213.28 | 5,213.28 | 5,209.97 | 0.00 | 1.70 | 5,211.30 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,211.30 | CDOT Type 13 |
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## Scenario: Proposed-5 Year <br> Current Time Step: 0.000 h <br> FlexTable: Manhole Table

| ID | Label | Elevation (Ground) (ft) | Elevation <br> (Rim) (ft) | Elevation (Invert in 1) (ft) | Flow (Total Out) (cfs) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Depth } \\ & \text { (Out) (ft) } \end{aligned}$ | Hydraulic Grade Line (Out) (ft) | Headloss Method | Hydraulic Grade Line (In) (ft) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34 | Structure - (26) | 5,219.36 | 5,219.36 | (N/A) | 0.00 | (N/A) | (N/A) | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | (N/A) | TYPE R INLET |
| 35 | Structure - (24) | 5,218.09 | 5,218.09 | 5,210.61 | 0.00 | 1.31 | 5,211.31 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,211.31 | TYPE R INLET |
| 36 | Structure - (10) | 5,217.61 | 5,217.61 | 5,208.91 | 0.00 | 4.31 | 5,211.31 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,211.31 | CONCENTRIC MH |
| 37 | Structure - (7) | 5,217.52 | 5,217.52 | 5,209.96 | 56.22 | 2.51 | 5,212.37 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,212.37 | TYPE R INLET |
| 38 | Structure - (21) | 5,217.48 | 5,217.48 | 5,208.27 | 0.00 | 6.31 | 5,211.31 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,211.31 | TYPE R INLET |
| 39 | DP-1384 | 5,218.68 | 5,218.68 | 5,212.17 | 56.37 | 2.72 | 5,214.89 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,215.08 | TYPE R INLET |
| 40 | Structure - (15) | 5,216.09 | 5,216.09 | 5,204.49 | 62.63 | 2.69 | 5,206.98 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,206.98 | TYPE R INLET |
| 41 | Structure - (19) | 5,215.94 | 5,215.94 | 5,204.88 | 0.00 | 5,219.66 | 5,207.98 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,207.98 | CONCENTRIC MH |
| 42 | Structure - (16) | 5,215.65 | 5,215.65 | 5,202.55 | 68.55 | 2.85 | 5,205.29 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,205.65 | TYPE R INLET |
| 43 | Structure - (23) | 5,215.61 | 5,215.61 | 5,211.56 | 0.00 | 5,211.16 | 5,206.72 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,206.72 | CONCENTRIC MH |
| 44 | Structure - (20) | 5,215.28 | 5,215.28 | (N/A) | 0.00 | 5,219.82 | 5,207.98 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,207.98 | CDOT Type 13 |
| 45 | Structure - (22) | 5,215.21 | 5,215.21 | (N/A) | 0.00 | (N/A) | (N/A) | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | (N/A) | CDOT Type 13 |
| 46 | Structure - (11) | 5,213.28 | 5,213.28 | 5,209.97 | 0.00 | 1.71 | 5,211.31 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,211.31 | CDOT Type 13 |
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## Scenario: Existing-100 Year <br> Current Time Step: 0.000 h <br> FlexTable: Manhole Table

| ID | Label | Elevation (Ground) (ft) | Elevation (Rim) (ft) | Elevation (Invert in 1) (ft) | Flow (Total Out) <br> (cfs) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Depth } \\ & \text { (Out) (ft) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hydraulic } \\ & \text { Grade } \\ & \text { Line (Out) } \end{aligned}$ $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Headloss Method | Hydraulic Grade Line (In) (ft) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34 | Structure - (26) | 5,219.36 | 5,219.36 | ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ ) | 0.00 | 1.63 | 5,213.28 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,213.28 | TYPE R INLET |
| 35 | Structure - (24) | 5,218.09 | 5,218.09 | 5,210.61 | 0.00 | 3.28 | 5,213.28 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,213.28 | TYPE R INLET |
| 36 | Structure - (10) | 5,217.61 | 5,217.61 | 5,208.91 | 0.00 | 10.45 | 5,217.45 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,217.45 | CONCENTRIC MH |
| 37 | Structure - (7) | 5,217.52 | 5,217.52 | 5,209.96 | 191.15 | 7.66 | 5,217.52 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,217.52 | TYPE R INLET |
| 38 | Structure - (21) | 5,217.48 | 5,217.48 | 5,208.27 | 0.00 | 12.45 | 5,217.45 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,217.45 | TYPE R INLET |
| 39 | DP-1384 | 5,218.68 | 5,218.68 | 5,212.17 | 191.59 | 6.51 | 5,218.68 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,218.68 | TYPE R INLET |
| 40 | Structure - (15) | 5,216.09 | 5,216.09 | 5,204.49 | 212.83 | 11.80 | 5,216.09 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,216.09 | TYPE R INLET |
| 41 | Structure - (19) | 5,215.94 | 5,215.94 | 5,204.88 | 0.00 | 5,227.62 | 5,215.94 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,215.94 | CONCENTRIC MH |
| 42 | Structure - (16) | 5,215.65 | 5,215.65 | 5,202.55 | 225.33 | 11.18 | 5,213.62 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,214.15 | TYPE R INLET |
| 43 | Structure - (23) | 5,215.61 | 5,215.61 | 5,211.56 | 0.00 | 5,220.04 | 5,215.61 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,215.61 | CONCENTRIC MH |
| 44 | Structure - (20) | 5,215.28 | 5,215.28 | (N/A) | 0.00 | 5,227.12 | 5,215.28 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,215.28 | CDOT Type 13 |
| 45 | Structure - (22) | 5,215.21 | 5,215.21 | (N/A) | 0.00 | 5,219.38 | 5,215.21 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,215.21 | CDOT Type 13 |
| 46 | Structure - (11) | 5,213.28 | 5,213.28 | 5,209.97 | 0.00 | 3.68 | 5,213.28 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,213.28 | CDOT Type 13 |
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## Scenario: Proposed-100 Year

## Current Time Step: 0.000 h

FlexTable: Manhole Table

| ID | Label | Elevation (Ground) (ft) | Elevation (Rim) (ft) | Elevation (Invert in 1) (ft) | Flow (Total Out) <br> (cfs) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Depth } \\ & \text { (Out) (ft) } \end{aligned}$ | Hydraulic Grade Line (Out) (ft) | Headloss Method | Hydraulic Grade Line (In) (ft) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34 | Structure - (26) | 5,219.36 | 5,219.36 | (N/A) | 0.00 | 1.63 | 5,213.28 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,213.28 | TYPE R INLET |
| 35 | Structure - (24) | 5,218.09 | 5,218.09 | 5,210.61 | 0.00 | 3.28 | 5,213.28 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,213.28 | TYPE R INLET |
| 36 | Structure - (10) | 5,217.61 | 5,217.61 | 5,208.91 | 0.00 | 10.45 | 5,217.45 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,217.45 | CONCENTRIC MH |
| 37 | Structure - (7) | 5,217.52 | 5,217.52 | 5,209.96 | 191.15 | 7.66 | 5,217.52 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,217.52 | TYPE R INLET |
| 38 | Structure - (21) | 5,217.48 | 5,217.48 | 5,208.27 | 0.00 | 12.45 | 5,217.45 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,217.45 | TYPE R INLET |
| 39 | DP-1384 | 5,218.68 | 5,218.68 | 5,212.17 | 191.59 | 6.51 | 5,218.68 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,218.68 | TYPE R INLET |
| 40 | Structure - (15) | 5,216.09 | 5,216.09 | 5,204.49 | 219.90 | 11.80 | 5,216.09 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,216.09 | TYPE R INLET |
| 41 | Structure - (19) | 5,215.94 | 5,215.94 | 5,204.88 | 0.00 | 5,227.62 | 5,215.94 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,215.94 | CONCENTRIC MH |
| 42 | Structure - (16) | 5,215.65 | 5,215.65 | 5,202.55 | 232.42 | 11.41 | 5,213.85 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,214.41 | TYPE R INLET |
| 43 | Structure - (23) | 5,215.61 | 5,215.61 | 5,211.56 | 0.00 | 5,220.04 | 5,215.61 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,215.61 | CONCENTRIC MH |
| 44 | Structure - (20) | 5,215.28 | 5,215.28 | (N/A) | 0.00 | 5,227.12 | 5,215.28 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,215.28 | CDOT Type 13 |
| 45 | Structure - (22) | 5,215.21 | 5,215.21 | (N/A) | 0.00 | 5,219.38 | 5,215.21 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,215.21 | CDOT Type 13 |
| 46 | Structure - (11) | 5,213.28 | 5,213.28 | 5,209.97 | 0.00 | 3.68 | 5,213.28 | HEC-22 Energy (Third Edition) | 5,213.28 | CDOT Type 13 |
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## Scenario: Existing-5 Year Current Time Step: 0.000 h FlexTable: Outfall Table

| ID | Label | Elevation <br> (Ground) <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Elevation <br> (Invert) <br> (ft) | Elevation <br> (User <br> Defined <br> Tailwater) <br> (ft) | Hydraulic <br> Grade (ft) | Flow <br> (Total <br> Out) <br> (cfs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69 | OUTFALL TO CLEAR CREEK | $5,200.55$ | $5,200.55$ | $5,201.00$ | $5,202.31$ | 68.26 |
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## Scenario: Proposed-5 Year Current Time Step: 0.000 h FlexTable: Outfall Table

| ID | Label | Elevation <br> (Ground) <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Elevation <br> (Invert) <br> (ft) | Elevation <br> (User <br> Defined <br> Tailwater) <br> (ft) | Hydraulic <br> Grade (ft) | Flow <br> (Total <br> Out) <br> (cfs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69 | OUTFALL TO CLEAR CREEK | $5,200.55$ | $5,200.55$ | $5,201.00$ | $5,202.32$ | 68.47 |

## Scenario: Existing-100 Year <br> Current Time Step: 0.000 h <br> FlexTable: Outfall Table

| ID | Label | Elevation <br> (Ground) <br> (ft) | Elevation <br> (Invert) <br> (ft) | Elevation <br> (User <br> Defined | Hydraulic <br> Tailwater) <br> (ft) | Flow <br> (Total <br> Out) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69 | OUTFALL TO CLEAR CREEK | $5,200.55$ | $5,200.55$ | (ct) <br> (cfs) |  |  |
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## Scenario: Proposed-100 Year <br> Current Time Step: 0.000 h <br> FlexTable: Outfall Table

| ID | Label | Elevation <br> (Ground) <br> (ft) | Elevation <br> (Invert) <br> (ft) | Elevation <br> (User <br> Defined | Hydraulic <br> Tailwater) <br> (ft) | Flow <br> (Total <br> Out) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69 | OUTFALL TO CLEAR CREEK | $5,200.55$ | $5,200.55$ | (cts) <br> (cfs) |  |  |
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## STUDY AREA \& BASINS

| BASINID | BASIN NAME |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0058-01 | Prairie Cateway |
| 0059-01 | Clobeville - Utah Junction |
| 0060-01 | $1-70$ \& Colorado Boulevard |
| 0060-02 | $1-70$ \& York |
| 0061-01 | 27th \& Federal |
| 0061-02 | Highland |
| 0062-01 | Lower Platte Valley |
| 0063-01 | Central Platte Valley |
| 0064-01 | 1 st \& Federal |
| 0064 -02 | Valverde |
| 0065-01 | Ruby Hill |
| 0065-02 | Dartmouth |
| 0067-01 | College View |
| 0067-02 | West Belleview |
| 0067-03 | Marston Lake |
| 3501-01 | West Fork Second Creek |
| 3700-01 | First Creek \& Peña Corridor |
| 3700-02 | First Creek - Green Valley Ranch |
| 3700-03 | First Creek - Dogwood \& Blue Crama Tribs. |
| 3702-01 | First Creek - Tributary "T" |
| 3900-01 | Irondale Culch - Stapleton |
| 3900-02 | Irondale Culch - East Montbello |
| 3900-03 | Irondale Culch - Parkfield |
| 3900-04 | Irondale Culch - Majestic Commerce Center |
| 3901-01 | Irondale Culch - West Montbello |
| 3901-02 | Irondale Culch - Cateway |
| 4000-01 | Stapleton West Section 10 |
| 4300-03 | Clear Creek - North of 1-70 |
| 4309-01 | Berkeley Lake |
| $4400-01$ | North Stapleton |
| 4400-02 | Quebec Corridor |
| $4400-03$ | Stapleton |
| $4400-04$ | East Stapleton |
| $4401-01$ | Westerly Creek - South Stapleton |
| $4401-02$ | Westerly Creek - 71th Avenue to Montview |
| $4401-03$ | Westerly Creek - Lowry |
| $4401-04$ | Westerly Creek - South of Alameda |
| $4500-01$ | City Park |
| $4500-02$ | 36th \& Downing |
| 4500-03 | Park Hill - Colfax |
| $4500-04$ | Park Hill - 6th Avenue |
| $4600-01$ | Central Business District |
| 4600-02 | Cherry Creek Mall |
| 4600-03 | Upper Cherry Creek |
| 4600-04 | Cherry Creek Reservoir |
| 4601-01 | Lower Goldsmith Culch |
| $4601-02$ | Middle Coldsmith Gulch |
| $4700-01$ | Sloan's Lake |
| 4800-01 | 12th \& Federal |
| $4801-01$ | 12 th \& Sheridan |
| 4900-01 | Weir Sulch |
| 5000-01 | West Washington Park |
| 5000-02 | University \& Mexico North |
| 5000-03 | University \& Mexico South |
| $5100-01$ | Sanderson Culch |
| 5200-01 | Harvard Culch Lower Basin |
| 5200-02 | Harvard Culch Middle Basin |
| 5200-03 | Harvard Culch Upper Basin |
| 5300-01 | West Harvard Sulch |
| 5401-01 | Greenwood Culch |
| $5500-01$ | Bear Creek - Fort Logan |
| $5500-02$ | Upper Bear Creek |
| 5500-03 | Academy Park |
| 5500-04 | Bear Creek - Marston Lake North |
| 5500-05 | Pinehurst Tributary |
| $5501-01$ | Henry's Lake |
| $5901-01$ | Coon Creek |
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2019 STORM DRAINAGE MASTER PLAN TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM Basin 4300-03 (Clear Creek-North of 1 -7

March 16, 2018
Introduction
As part of the City and County of Denver's 2019 Storm Drainage Master Plan (SDMP), hydrologic and hydraulic modeling were updated for the existing and proposed conditions on a portion of City Basin $4300-03$ (Clear Creek-North of 1-70). Hydrologic updates include converting the existing modeling to the latest version of the Colorado Urban Hydrograph Procedure (CUHP 2.0.0, Release Date 9/9/16) and using the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Atlas 14, Volume 8, Version 2 rainfall depths. Hydraulic modeling was rebuilt in EPA-SWMM 5.1 based on the City's Storm GIS Flood Control District (UDFCD) as well as the User's Manuals for CUHP and EPA SWM. The overall goals and technical criteria behind the modeling update are documented in a separate technical memorandum: Project-Wide H\&H Modeling Criteria. Please see that memorandum for additional information.
Basin Description
Basin 4300-03 (Clear Creek-North of 1-70) describes an area which drains to Clear Creek and is generally bound by Regis Boulevard on the south, Clear Creek on the north and west, and Alcott Street on the east. A 60 -inch RCP drains into the basin from the south from Basin 4309-01 (Berkeley lake) and continues through Willis Case Golf Course and then along Sheridan Boulevard to Clear Creek. The majority of the basin is residential, but also includes Regis University and Willis Case Golf from the south to the noth with ow land corridors.

Design Storms
As stated in the Project-Wide H\&H Modeling Criteria memorandum, the design study uses the 2-, 5 and 100 -year storm recurrence intervals. 1 -hour rainfall depths of 0.795 -, 1.06 -, and 2.28 - inches were used for each storm event respectfully. The details of the street depth criteria is described in the Project-Wide H\&H Modeling Criteria memorandum.

Hydrology
No changes have been made to the 2014 SDMP drainage basins as part of this 2019 SDMP Update, All drainage basin delineations and their parameters, except the expansion of the naming conveneters for each sub-basin. The same СUHP model 1 sure the CUHP hydrolog proposed 2019 EPA SWMM model runs. To import the previous analysis CUHP basin parame UDFCD's CUHP SWMM Converter Program was used to update CUHP to the current version, CUHP 2.0.0. These basins and their parameters were originally generated using the City's 2004 two-foot topographic mapping, aerial photographs, and the City's GIS pipe data. The percent impervious for each basin was determined based on the Blueprint Denver: An Integrated Land Use and Transportation Plan and a impervious surface G.I.I. layer. The updated NOAA Atlas 14 Point
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Precipitation Frequency Estimates were used in conjunction with CUHP 2.0, as recommended by UDFCD.

| Peak Flow Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Catchment } \\ \text { Name/ID } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Area } \\ \text { (sq.mi.) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dist. to } \\ & \text { Centroid } \end{aligned}$ (miles) | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Length } \\ \text { (miles) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Slope } \\ & \text { (ft./tt.) } \end{aligned}$ | Percent Imperv. | $\begin{aligned} & 2-y r \\ & \text { cofs) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5-y r \\ & \text { (cfs) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100-\mathrm{yr} \\ \text { (cfs) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 100-yr } \\ \text { Runoff } \\ \text { per Unit } \\ \text { Area } \\ \text { (cfs/acre) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 4300-03-310 | 0.170 | 0.398 | 0.829 | 0.015 | 48.66 | 34.39 | 52.48 | 198.16 | 1.82 |
| 4300-03-320 | 0.183 | 0.331 | 0.597 | 0.041 | 8.83 | 5.35 | 14.98 | 161.13 | 1.38 |
| 4300-03-330 | 0.128 | 0.403 | 0.639 | 0.010 | 55.00 | 29.34 | 43.68 | 151.54 | 185 |
| 4300-03-340 | 0.058 | 0.303 | 0.483 | 0.055 | 46.35 | 12.53 | 19.25 | 75.17 | 2.01 |
| 4300-03-350 | 0.065 | 0.275 | 0.521 | 0.052 | 40.78 | 11.69 | 18.53 | 79.03 | 1.90 |
| 4300-03-360 | 0.139 | 0.256 | 0.530 | 0.023 | 69.98 | 67.83 | 96.39 | 277.57 | 3.11 |
| 4300-03-370 | 0.617 | 0.530 | 1.250 | 0.017 | 55.0 | 164.06 | 244.54 | 846.47 | 2.1 |
| 4300-03-380 | 0.041 | 0.194 | 0.440 | 0.011 | 68.18 | 13.37 | 18.99 | 57.46 | 2.18 |
| 4300-03-382 | 0.020 | 0.112 | 0.242 | 0.030 | 52.97 | 6.03 | 9.01 | 31.46 | 2.46 |
| 4300-03-384 | 0.097 | 0.312 | 0.628 | 0.043 | 55.00 | 27.62 | 41.25 | 141.97 | 2.29 |
| 4300-03-386 | 0.075 | 0.260 | 0.360 | 0.016 | 55.00 | 21.42 | 31.98 | 109.4 | 2.29 |
| 4300-03-390 | 0.032 | 0.161 | 0.313 | 0.016 | 55.00 | 8.52 | 12.70 | 43.42 | 2.15 |
| 4300-03-392 | 0.186 | 0.350 | 0.843 | 0.033 | 55.00 | 55.65 | 82.17 | 284.04 | 2.38 |

Hydraulics
The City's existing GIS and topographic data were used to build hydraulic flood routing models in EPA SWMM ver. 5.1. The previous 2014 SDMP models were developed in UDSWMM 2000. The 2019 Update effort did not convert the previous models, but instead developed new models based on the City's Storm GIS data. This rebuild effort allows for more detailed storm drain hydraulic analysis, full integration of results into the City's GIS database, and accurate geospatial referencing of the model. By incorporating the entire storm trunk line into the SWMM model, the 2019 update can more accurately model the system using the full dynamic wave solution (solves for the complete onedimensional Saint Venant equations) compared to the kinematic solutions (the maximum flow a dynamic wave allows for accounting for backwater effects, pipe entrance and exist losses, flow dynamic wave allors flow, mult profiles, and in general provides a more accurate representation of the system. Using the GIS data to construct the model directly has allowed for a more detailed pipe segments compared to the previous 2014 SDMP. In addition, the naming of nodes in the
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models corresponds to the matching manhole in the GIS database. The naming of the model link also corresponds to the City's GIS pipe data

Drainage Patterns
In Basin 4300-03 flows generally move north. Basin 4300-03-380 and 4300-03-382 move north along Federal Blvd before connecting with Adams County pipe network. Basin 4300-03-310 flows (Berkeley Lake) heading north in a 54 -inch pipe. Basin 4300-03-340 and 4300-03-350 head west in a 36 -inch pipe leading into Clear Creek.

Specific problem areas are largely the same as the 2014 SDMP identifies. This includes N. Federal Blvd. outfall as well as Clear Creek outfall running along Sheridan Blvd.
Trans-Basin Flow
Trans-Basin Flow is present from 4309-01 (Berkeley Lake) through a 60 -inch pipe through Willis Trans-Basin Fow is present from $4309-01$ (Berkeley Lake) through a
Case Golf Course which consists of 475 C.F.S. in the 100-year event.
Major Split Flows/Diversions
No Major Split Flows/Diversions are present in this portion of Basin 4300-03

Potential Proposed Improvements
As mentioned in the Project-Wide H\&H Modeling Criteria memorandum, the potential proposed improvements are the estimated improvements that should alleviate flooding such that there is 1 foot of flooding during a 100 -year storm event and contain the 5 -year storm event. Below is a summary of the comparison between the 2014 SDMP improvements and the 2019 SDMP potential proposed improvements.
Project A; N. Federal Blvd Outfall
norder to convey the 5 -year flow the 12 -inch pipe system is upsized to a 24 -inch pipe network within the City of Denver.

Project B: Clear Creek Outfall
A proposed upsize of a 60 -inch pipe network on the east side of Sheridan Blvd. running along W . $52^{\text {nd }}$ Ave. increases in size to a 66 -inch pipe where the Willis Case Golf Course connects. After the connection flows head north along Sheridan Blvd. with a proposed upsizing to a 96 -inch pipe.

Project C: W. $52^{\text {nd }}$ Ave. Outfall
An upsize from 36 -inch pipe to 60 -inch pipe on the West side of Sheridan Blvd running along W. $52^{\text {nd }}$ Ave. before entering Clear Creek.

Basin 4300-03 (Clear Creek-North of l-70)
$\underset{\text { pUBLIc works }}{\text { DENV }}$ Basin 4300-03 (Clear Creek-North of I-70)
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This Level III Traffic Impact Study (TIS) document has been prepared to supplement a proposed land development and building permit application in unincorporated Adams County, Colorado. The following is a summary of the traffic information and findings included in this report.

1. The proposed project is located along the east side of Federal Boulevard north of I-76 at $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue within the Adams County, Colorado. A vicinity map is included as Exhibit 1.
2. Opus Development Company, LLC is proposing to redevelop the site into a 159,000 square feet industrial building. The site will be accessed from Federal Boulevard at $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, which is an existing full-movement access for the site. A separate emergency-only access is also proposed to Federal between $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and I-76. The ultimate tenant is not yet identified, but could include warehousing, manufacturing or general light-industrial uses. Completion of the project is anticipated by the end of 2024 with occupancy phased over the next year (2025). A conceptual site plan is included as Exhibit 2 and a recent aerial of the study area has been provided and is shown on Exhibit 3, herein.
3. The proposed site is anticipated to generate 774 daily trips with approximately 118 during the AM peak hour and 103 during the PM peak hour. Of the daily trips, approximately 40 are anticipated to be truck trips, 74 are anticipated to be transit oriented and the remaining 660 are anticipated to be non-truck vehicular trips.
4. The study area was identified to include the following intersections.

- I-76 Eastbound Ramps \& Federal Boulevard
- I-76 Westbound Ramps \& Federal Boulevard
- $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard
- $60^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard
- $64^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard

These intersections were analyzed for the weekday AM and PM peak hour.
5. The analysis horizons considered and evaluated in this report include:

- Existing Condition (Year 2023)
- Short-term Condition (Year 2026) without the project
- Short-term Condition (Year 2026) with the project
- Long-range Condition (Year 2045) without the project
- Long-range Condition (Year 2045) with the project

Each of these analysis horizons included intersection capacity analysis. The shortterm build condition includes auxiliary lane evaluation. A gap study was conducted for the intersection of $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard.
6. Level of service (LOS) D should be used as a guideline to maintain overall operations of signalized intersections and unsignalized intersection approaches. Mitigation measures should be considered for overall signalized intersections or unsignalized approaches reported to be operating at LOS E or F.
7. The analysis results indicate all of the intersections are currently operating at acceptable levels of service except the westbound and eastbound approaches of $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.

The $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue approaches currently carry very little volume during peak hours. The intersection also lies between two adjacent signals ( $60^{\text {th }}$ and I-76 westbound ramps), which provide a significant number of gaps in traffic for vehicles from 58 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to make a maneuver. Synchro and the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) does not account for gaps from adjacent signalized intersections well. Therefore, a gap study was conducted to determine if there are sufficient gaps at $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to accommodate the existing approach volume and potentially more for the proposed project. Per the gap study, the existing northbound-southbound combined gaps would allow for at least 108 vehicles during the AM peak and 99 vehicles during the PM peak to make a turning maneuver from $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. Therefore, there are a sufficient number of adequate gaps to accommodate the left turning traffic from $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.

The eastbound right turn movement at $64^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard is also shown to operate at LOS F during the AM peak hour with over 200 vehicles making this maneuver. Through observations, this movement does not appear to be experiencing the delay shown in the HCM results. However, a right-turn overlap signal may be appropriate for this movement to allow it to operate with a protected phase during the northbound left phase.

## Future Background Conditions (without Project)

8. The study area intersections are anticipated to continue to operate at acceptable levels of service for the short-term and long-range conditions except the $58{ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard intersection. The $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue intersection is shown to operate at LOS F for both conditions, but will likely have sufficient gaps in the short-term for vehicles to make maneuvers from $58^{\text {th }}$. For the long-range condition, the higher volume of traffic projected for Federal Boulevard may result in fewer available gaps at the intersection of $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard, which may require the intersection to be restricted to $3 / 4$ movement or right-in / right-out.

## Future Build Conditions (with Project)

9. With the additional traffic generated by the proposed project, all of the study area intersections are anticipated to operate at acceptable levels of service with little change to the overall level of service shown in the no-project conditions. The gap study shows that there are adequate gaps to accommodate the egress traffic from the site for the short-term condition.

Higher volume of traffic projected for Federal Boulevard for the long-range condition may result in fewer available gaps at the intersection of $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard, which may require the intersection to be restricted to $3 / 4$ movement or right-in / right-out. The conversion of the $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue access to $3 / 4$ movement is not anticipated to result in significant impacts to the other study area intersections. Therefore, the site access should be monitored and converted to $3 / 4$ movement when appropriate. This conversion could either be completed with a raised median that permits left turns from Federal, but prohibits left turns and crossing maneuvers from $58^{\text {th }}$ OR installation of a "pork chop" island on the $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue approach from the site to force vehicle into a right turn.

If the site access at $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard is restricted to a $3 / 4$ movement intersection (left/through movements prohibited), then all of the egress trips from the site would be right turn and those destined for I-76 or other areas to the south would seek alternate routes. Northbound u-turn maneuvers are prohibited at $60^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard. However, there is a dedicated left turn lane approximately 1,000 ' north of $60^{\text {th }}$ Avenue (south of $62^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue) that can accommodate u-turns. A portion of the egress site traffic that has a destination to the south is likely to utilize this location to make a u-turn. Others are likely to utilize $64^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to access Pecos Street or Sheridan Boulevard to get to I-76, I-70 or travel southbound to their destination.
10. An evaluation was conducted to determine if auxiliary lanes are required at the site access ( $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue) as a result of the proposed project. The Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) State Highway Access Code (SHAC) (2002) was utilized to determine the need for auxiliary lanes. There is an existing southbound left turn lane on Federal Boulevard at $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. The existing lane does not meet current standards, but is unable to be elongated due to the proximity of the railroad bridge columns. The 100' storage provided should adequately accommodate the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue for this movement. There are three travel lanes along northbound Federal Boulevard and the third travel lane operates as an auxiliary lane for this roadway. Therefore, no additional right turn deceleration lane should be required.
11. The CDOT State Highway Access Code (2002) was consulted for determining the required sight distance for vehicles entering Federal Boulevard (US 287) from the site access driveway ( $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue). Table 4-2 provides required entering sight distances (in feet) based on the highway posted speed, number of lanes and design vehicle. Federal Boulevard has a posted speed of 45 mph through this segment and is a 6-lane arterial (highway) and relatively flat (< 3\% grade). Left turns from the site access will only include passenger cars. All trucks will be routed to the north and egress as right turns. Based on these variables, the required entering sight distance is 585 feet for passenger cars and 945 feet for multi-unit trucks. This sight distance should be provided for the proposed site access to Federal, which is measured 10' back from the edge of the roadway at a height of 3.5 feet. The oncoming vehicle height is assumed to be 4.25 feet. Since trucks will
only need line-of-sight to make a right turn, the 945 feet should be applied to the south of the intersection and 585 feet applied to the north of the intersection.

Based on observations during a site visit, there appears to be adequate sight distance for this access. However, the line-of-sight is obscured by the gradeseparated bridge columns in the median of Federal south of $60^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. Also, northbound Federal vehicles queued at a red light at $60^{\text {th }}$ Avenue can block the line-of-sight looking north. Furthermore, the westbound I-76 offramp south of the site access has a free right turn that is within the 945 feet required distance, which makes judging adequate gaps more difficult. When developing construction plans for this access, the line-of-sight (sight triangle) should be shown on the plans and any plantings or other visual obstructions within this triangle area should not exceed 3.5 feet in height.

Based on the analysis, findings and conclusions discussed in this report, this project is not anticipated to have significant impact on the surrounding transportation system and no mitigation should be required. The intersection of $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard is shown to currently have an adequate number of gaps to accommodate the egress traffic from the site. Egress truck trips should be routed to the north due to the additional time it takes trucks to make a left turn maneuver and the obstructed line of sight from the $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue intersection. As traffic volumes grow along Federal Boulevard over the next twenty years, the number of adequate gaps at $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue may diminish to a point where there are not enough to accommodate egress traffic from the site. At that point, the intersection may require movement restrictions in the form of a $3 / 4$ movement intersection or right-in / right-out. Sight distance at the $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard intersection appears to be adequate, but has several obstructions that can obscure line-of-sight looking to the north, which include the grade-separated rail bridge columns. A more detailed sight distance investigation should be conducted to confirm there is adequate sight distance.

What would this entail, what is the trigger, and who would typically provide a "more detailed site investigation" to confirm adequate sight distance? This seems too open ended. Clarify if this is only needed in the future for a $3 / 4$ movement conversion.

Please add discussion of the emergency only fire access at the southwest corner of the site and list any traffic related accomodations that are needed (or not needed) to accomodate that access point. CDOT mentioned that they wanted to see an appropriate sight triangle from the emergency only access drive looking backward up the off ramp from I-76. I'm not sure how to apply the sight distance criteria to that scenario, so we would appreciate some guidance in this report in that regard.

Any recommendations for the curb return radius at the new proposed driveway? Should the new driveway have only one lane in the east and west bound directions, or do you recommend a middle left turn lane? If so, what length?

## Project Overview

Opus Development Company, LLC is proposing to redevelop a site located along the east side of Federal Boulevard north of Interstate 76, 5800 Federal Boulevard. A 159,000 square foot industrial building is planned for the site with associated parking, truck court and loading docks. The site will be accessed from Federal Boulevard at $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, which is an existing full-movement access for the site. A separate emergency-only access is also proposed to Federal Boulevard between $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and I-76. The ultimate tenant is not yet identified, but could include warehousing, manufacturing or general lightindustrial uses. Completion of the project is anticipated by the end of 2024 with occupancy phased over the next year (2025).

The existing site consists of four parcels totaling approximately 12.2-acres that are currently occupied by Tendit Group, Johnny's Auto Body, 3 Boyz Trucking LLC, outdoor storage areas, a cell tower easement and several vacant residences. Tendit Group performs pressure washing, window cleaning and landscape services. Johnny's Auto Body is an auto body and collision repair shop. 3 Boyz is a trucking and logistics company specializing in asphalt, mill and construction materials. The existing uses will be removed from the site to accommodate the proposed development.

The site is bound by Federal Boulevard to the west, Interstate 76 (I-76) to the south/east and Union Pacific (heavy) and RTD (light) rail to the north. Because of the interstate and rail bordering the site, the only roadway access available is Federal Boulevard, which is a north-south principal arterial and US highway (US 287). Federal Boulevard provides connections to I-76, I-70 and US 6 south of the site and US 36 north of the site.

## Purpose of Report

The purpose of this study is to review, assess and identify potential traffic related impacts that the proposed project may have on the transportation network and recommend mitigation to minimize these impacts where necessary and possible. Exhibit 1 shows the general vicinity of the project in Adams County. A current site plan is shown in Exhibit 2 and a current aerial image of the study area is included as Exhibit 3.

As part of the Adams County engineering submittal requirements, a traffic impact study is required. Based on the anticipated daily trips that will be generated by the project, which is over 500 trips per day, and the Adams County Development Standards and Regulations, a level III traffic impact study is being completed. The assumptions utilized in conducting the traffic analysis are based on direction received from the Standards and Regulations, coordination with Adams County and CDOT staff and standard traffic engineering practices.

This report includes an evaluation and assessment of the study area for the existing and short-term conditions. The short-term condition considers the traffic in three years (2026)
when the project is completed and has been operational for approximately one year. The long-range (2045) conditions consider the potential growth in traffic within the study area and how the existing transportation will handle those volumes with and without the proposed project approximately 20 years into the future. Weekday AM and PM peak hours of traffic operation were used as the basis of this study.

## Resources

The key resources referenced in this TIS included the following:

1. Adams County's Development Standards and Regulations, 2014, which provides the criteria and requirements for a traffic impact study within chapter 8.
2. The Colorado Department of Transportation's (CDOT) State Highway Access Code (2002), which provides auxiliary turn lane criteria.
3. The Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition, which compiles and quantifies empirical trip generation rates for specific land uses within the US, UK and Canada.
4. The Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) published by the Transportation Research Board, which includes methodologies and procedures for analyzing intersection capacity based on geometry, traffic volumes, intersection control and various other parameters and variables.




## ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

The various analyses conducted and reported in this document include intersection capacity analysis auxiliary lane evaluation and sight distance analysis.

## Capacity Analysis

The analyses described in this report were performed in accordance with the procedures in the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) and as described below. The analyses and procedures conducted are based upon the worst-case conditions that occur during a typical weekday. Therefore, most of each weekday and the weekends will experience traffic conditions better than those described within this document, which represent the peak hours of operation only.

Level of Service (LOS) is an empirical premise developed by the transportation profession to quantify driver perception for such elements as travel time, number of stops, total amount of stopped delay, and impediments caused by other vehicles afforded to drivers who utilize the transportation network. LOS has been defined by the Transportation Research Board in the Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition. This document has quantified level of service into a range from "A" which indicates little, if any, vehicle delay, to "F" which indicates significant vehicle delay and traffic congestion that may lead to system breakdown due to volumes that may far exceed capacity.

The Highway Capacity Manual defines the level of service for a signalized intersection as the average delay per vehicle (amount of time a vehicle must spend at the intersection) for the overall intersection. For unsignalized intersections that include both stop-controlled and uncontrolled approaches (known as through/stop controlled), the Highway Capacity Manual defines the level of service as the average delay per vehicle for the worst approach, not the overall intersection.

The level of service letter grades as defined by the Transportation Research Board and the associated amount of delay in seconds per vehicle, as well as a brief description of the operating condition, for both signalized and unsignalized intersections are included for reference in Table 1 on the next page.

Adams County has established level of service $D$ as the minimum acceptable intersection operating condition. Analysis results indicating operations worse than the minimum acceptable level were considered for mitigation measures. In the cases where existing conditions currently operate at or future background conditions are projected to operate at states poorer than the minimum acceptable level, the future with project conditions will be evaluated to maintain the current or projected operating conditions.

## Table 1 - Intersection Analysis Criteria

Signalized Intersection Level of Service Criteria

| Level of <br> Service | Delay Range <br> (seconds/vehicle) | Expected Delay at Intersection |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| A | $\leq 10$ | Very low delay. Most vehicles do not stop. |
| B | $>10$ and $\leq 20$ | Generally good progression of vehicles. Slight delays. |
| C | $>20$ and $\leq 35$ | Fair progression. Increased number of stopped vehicles. |
| D | $>35$ and $\leq 55$ | Noticeable congestion. Large portion of vehicles stopped. |
| E | $>55$ and $\leq 80$ | Poor progression. High delays and frequent cycle failure. |
| F | $>80$ | Oversaturation. Forced flow. Extensive queuing. |

Unsignalized Intersection Level of Service Criteria

| Level of <br> Service | Delay Range <br> (seconds/vehicle) | Expected Delay to Minor Street Traffic |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| A | $\leq 10$ | Little or no conflicting traffic for minor street approach. |
| B | $>10$ and $\leq 15$ | Minor street approach begins to notice absence of available gaps. |
| C | $>15$ and $\leq 25$ | Minor street approach begins experiencing delays for available gaps. |
| D | $>25$ and $\leq 35$ | Minor street approach experiences queuing due to a reduction in available gaps. |
| E | $>35$ and $\leq 50$ | Extensive minor street queuing due to insufficient gaps. |
| F | $>50$ | Insufficient gaps of suitable size to allow minor street traffic demand to cross <br> safely through a major traffic stream. |

Source: Highway Capacity Manual (Transportation Research Board, 2000).

## Auxiliary Lane Evaluation

The Colorado Department of Transportation State Highway Access Code was used for determining the need for auxiliary lanes for the intersections within the study area. The roadway classification, design speed, and design hourly turning volume are all factors used to determine the need for acceleration, deceleration and turn lanes.

## Sight Distance Analysis

The CDOT State Highway Access Code (2002) was consulted for determining the required sight distance for vehicles entering Federal Boulevard (US 287) from the site access driveway ( $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue). Table 4-2 provides required entering sight distances (in feet) based on the highway posted speed, number of lanes and design vehicle. When developing construction plans for this access, the line of sight (sight triangle) should be shown on the plans and any plantings or other visual obstructions within this triangle area should not exceed 3.5 feet in height.

## Analysis Horizons

The following scenarios were analyzed as part of this study during both the AM and PM peak hours, with the corresponding volume and network configurations as indicated:

1. Existing Conditions

Analysis of the existing conditions at the study area intersections was based on the turning movement volumes collected in April 2023 and the intersection geometry as observed in the field. Existing traffic control and signal timing was provided by CDOT in a Synchro model for the AM and PM peak hours.
2. Short-term without Project Conditions

The short-term future year analysis includes the same roadway geometry as for the existing conditions. The forecast volumes were calculated by applying the anticipated ambient growth rate over the next three years.
3. Short-term with Project Conditions

The short-term "build" condition takes the short-term no-project traffic volumes and adds the trips associated with the proposed project. Any transportation improvements that might be needed for the no-project scenario are included in the build evaluation.
4. Long-range without Project Conditions

The long-range future year analysis includes the same roadway geometry as for the short-term no-project conditions. The forecast volumes were calculated taking the traffic counts and applying the anticipated ambient growth rate over the next 20+ years.
5. Long-range with Project Conditions

The long-range "build" analysis includes the same roadway geometry as for the existing conditions. The forecast volumes were calculated by adding the trips associated with the proposed project to the long-range no project volumes.

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

## Existing Conditions within the Study Area

The purpose of this section is to document the existing conditions within the study area for the proposed project.

## Land Use

The site for the proposed project is currently occupied by three businesses, outdoor storage areas, a cell tower easement and several vacant residences. The existing uses will be removed to accommodate the proposed project. The Federal Boulevard corridor to the north of I-76 is a mix of commercial and light-industrial uses until $64^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. North of $64^{\text {th }}$, Federal serves residential and commercial uses. South of I-76, uses along Federal Boulevard include commercial, residential, and education (Regis University). An RTD light-rail station is located at the southeast corner of $60^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard, which accesses the G-line. Federal Boulevard provides interchange access to I-76, I-70 and US 6 south of the site and US 36 north of the site.

## Existing Roadways

As shown on the site plan, the site currently provides direct public access to Federal Boulevard at $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. A Union Pacific rail and Interstate 76 create barriers for any other access to and from the site. The following is a list of the surrounding streets, their functional classification, and general geometry.

Interstate 76 is an east-west limited-access interstate freeway that travels from I-70 to I80 through the northeast portion of Colorado. It connects to l-80 in Nebraska and provides regional access for various communities along its length, including Brighton, Fort Morgan, Sterling and Julesburg. Within the study area, it provides a grade-separated diamond interchange with Federal Boulevard and runs in a southwest-northwest alignment.

Federal Boulevard (US 287) is a north-south, six-lane principal arterial and US Highway that extends from Bowles Avenue in Littleton to $120^{\text {th }}$ Avenue in Westminster. Beyond $120^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, the roadway continues as Federal Parkway and connects to Zuni Street at $128^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. Within the study area, it has a CDOT roadway classification of NR-A (Nonrural Principal Highway). Immediately south of the site, Federal Boulevard provides a fullmovement diamond interchange access to Interstate 76. Federal Boulevard is posted at 45 mph through the study area.
$58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue is an east-west, two-lane local access roadway that provides access for a few industrial parcels west of Federal and the site, which dead-end within a couple blocks of Federal. The roadway has no posted speed limit.
$60^{\text {th }}$ Avenue is an east-west, two-lane local access roadway that extends from Federal Boulevard less than a mile to the east where it dead ends. It provides access for the Clear Creek - Federal RTD light-rail station, a grade separated crossing of I-76, an at-grade crossing of the RTD G-line and access for a few industrial uses. The roadway is posted at 30 mph .

64 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue is an east-west, three-lane minor arterial that extends from Carr Street to Pecos Boulevard. It provides one travel lane in each direction with a center turn lane along most of its length and serves a mix of residential, industrial and commercial uses. $64^{\text {th }}$ Avenue is posted at 30 mph west and 35 mph east of Federal Boulevard in the study area.

## Study Area Intersections

The project study area intersections were identified through conversations with Adams County and Colorado Department of Transportation staff and as outline within the Adams County Development Standards and Regulations. The study area includes the following intersections:

- I-76 Eastbound Ramps \& Federal Boulevard
- I-76 Westbound Ramps \& Federal Boulevard
- $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard
- $60^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard
- $64^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard

These intersections have been analyzed for level of service (LOS) for the weekday AM \& PM peak hours and form the basis of this document.

## Traffic Control and Descriptions

I-76 Eastbound Ramps \& Federal Boulevard is a signalized intersection with the following lane configuration. Federal is oriented north-south. The ramp is one-way eastbound.

- Eastbound (ramp): One left turn lane, one shared left-through lane, one channelized right turn lane
- Northbound (Federal): Three through lanes, one channelized right-turn lane
- Southbound (Federal): Two left turn lanes, two through lanes

The traffic signal is actuated with vehicle detection present at the intersection and coordinated with other signalized intersections along Federal Boulevard from 54 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to $67^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. Very few through movements occur from the eastbound approach and the shared left-through lane operates like a de-facto left turn lane. Left turns from Federal Boulevard operate with a protected (arrow) phase. Right turns are channelized on the eastbound and northbound approaches, each of which discharge into short acceleration lanes. Pedestrian crossings with signals and pushbuttons are provided for the west, south and east legs. Pedestrian crossing is prohibited on the north leg.

I-76 Westbound Ramps \& Federal Boulevard is a signalized intersection with the following lane configuration. Federal is oriented north-south. The ramp is one-way westbound.

- Westbound (ramp): One left turn lane, one shared left-through-right lane, one channelized right turn lane
- Northbound (Federal): One left turn lane, two through lanes
- Southbound (Federal): Three left turn lanes, one channelized right turn lane The traffic signal is actuated-coordinated with vehicle detection present at the intersection. Very few through or right turn movements occur from the westbound shared left-through-right lane, which operates like a de-facto left turn lane. Left turns from Federal Boulevard operate with permissive (flashing yellow arrow) and protected (solid arrow) phases. Right turns are channelized on the westbound and southbound approaches, each of which discharge into add lanes and operate freely. Pedestrian crossings with signals and pushbuttons are provided for the west, north and east legs. Pedestrian crossing is prohibited on the south leg.
$58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard is an unsignalized, stop-controlled intersection with $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue stopping for free-flowing traffic on Federal. $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue forms the eastbound and westbound approaches with a single lane in each direction. The northbound and southbound approaches each have a left turn lane and three through lanes. Right turns are made from the curbside through lane.

60 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard is a signalized intersection with the following lane configuration. Federal is oriented north-south. 60 th Avenue forms the westbound approach, which aligns with a private driveway for a used car dealership.

- Eastbound (driveway): single lane driveway
- Westbound $\left(60^{\text {th }}\right)$ : One left turn lane, one right turn lane
- Northbound (Federal): Two through lanes, one through-right lane
- Southbound (Federal): One left turn lane, two through lanes, one through-right lane The traffic signal is actuated-coordinated with vehicle detection present at the intersection. Northbound left turns are prohibited. Southbound left turns operate with permissive (flashing yellow arrow) and protected (solid arrow) phases. East-west left turns operate with permissive (yield to oncoming traffic) phasing. Pedestrian crossings are provided on all legs of the intersection.

64 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard is a signalized intersection with the following lane configuration. Federal is oriented north-south. $64^{\text {th }}$ Avenue is oriented east-west.

- Eastbound $\left(64^{\text {th }}\right)$ : One left turn lane, one through lane, one right turn lane
- Westbound $\left(64^{\text {th }}\right)$ : One left turn lane, one through lane, one right turn lane
- Northbound (Federal): One left turn lane, two through lanes, one through-right lane
- Southbound (Federal): One left turn lane, two through lanes, one through-right lane The traffic signal is actuated-coordinated with vehicle detection present at the intersection. All left turns operate with permissive (yield to oncoming traffic) and protected (arrow) phases. Pedestrian crossings are provided on all legs of the intersection.

The existing geometry at each of the study area intersections is depicted in Exhibit 4, which follows.

## Traffic Volumes and Peak Hours of Operation

Turning movement counts were collected under the direction of CivTrans Engineering by All Traffic Data at the study area intersection during the morning (7:00-9:00 AM) and afternoon (4:00-6:00 PM) peak periods on April 27, 2023, a Thursday. The peak hours of the study area occurred from 7:30-8:30 AM and 4:45-5:45 PM. The existing peak hour volumes from these counts are shown in Exhibit 5.

## Background Projects

Background projects are land development projects that have not yet been constructed but are anticipated to be completed in the near future. Therefore, their traffic would not have been included in the traffic counts collected, but should be included for future analyses. For this project, no background projects were identified by Adams County for inclusion in this study.

## Planned Transportation Improvements

There are no known planned transportation improvements in the vicinity of the site that will impact the study area.

## Ambient Traffic Growth

The Colorado Department of Transportation's (CDOT) Online Transportation Information System (OTIS) shows a 20-year growth rate along US Highway 287 (Federal Boulevard) through the study area of 1.16. This 20-year rate corresponds to a $0.75 \%$ annual growth rate. Therefore, an annual ambient traffic growth rate of $0.75 \%$ was utilized for projecting future traffic at the study area intersections. This results in a growth factor of 1.023 for year 2026 (short-term) and 1.179 for year 2045 (long-range).

## Peak Hour Factor

A peak hour factor (PHF) is used to convert the hourly traffic volume into a flow rate that represents the busiest 15 minutes of the peak hour. The intersection PHF derived from the traffic count was utilized for existing and future year evaluations.


## EXHIBIT 4

Existing Lane Geometry
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## EXISTING LEVEL OF SERVICE AND TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

## Level of Service

The existing levels of service at the subject intersections were calculated using the methods from the $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) as implemented in Synchro, Version 11. The existing levels of service (LOS) for the intersections within the study area are summarized on the following table. The existing traffic volumes used for this report are shown on Exhibit 5.

Table 2-2023 Existing Intersections Levels of Service

| INTERSECTION | (S)ignalized <br> (U)nsignalized | Approach <br> Or <br> Overall | AM Peak <br> (selay |  | LOS | Delay Peak <br> (sec) | LOS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I-76 EB Ramps \& Federal Blvd | S | Ovr | 9.4 | A | 20.7 | C |  |
| I-76 WB Ramps \& Federal Blvd | S | Ovr | 4.2 | A | 4.1 | A |  |
| $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Blvd | U | EB | 134.3 | F | 121.7 | F |  |
| $60^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Blvd | WB | 13.4 | B | 95.9 | F |  |  |
| $64^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Blvd | S | Ovr | 1.2 | A | 1.6 | A |  |

*one or more movement is operating at LOS F
Level of service (LOS) D should be used as a guideline to maintain overall operations of signalized intersections and unsignalized intersection approaches. Mitigation measures should be considered for overall signalized intersections or unsignalized approaches reported to be operating at LOS E or F.

As shown in the table above, all of the intersections are operating at acceptable levels of service except the westbound and eastbound approaches of $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. The eastbound right turn movement at $64^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard is also shown to operate at LOS F during the AM peak hour.

The $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue approaches currently carry very little volume during peak hours. The intersection also lies between two adjacent signals ( $60^{\text {th }}$ and I-76 westbound ramps), which provide a significant number of gaps in traffic for vehicles from 58 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to make a maneuver. Synchro and the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) does not account for gaps from adjacent signalized intersections well. Therefore, a gap study was conducted to determine if there are sufficient gaps at $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to accommodate the existing approach volume and potentially more for the proposed project. The results are shown in the following section and within the technical appendix.

The eastbound right turn at $64^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard is shown to be currently operating at LOS F with over 200 vehicles making this maneuver during the AM peak hour. Through observations, this movement does not appear to be experiencing the delay shown in the HCM results. However, a right-turn overlap signal may be appropriate for
this movement to allow it to operate with a protected phase during the northbound left phase.

The HCM level of service reports are included within the technical appendix. Also, table 10 at the end of this document (prior to the technical appendix) shows the intersection and approach delay and level of service for all of the scenarios evaluated.

## Gap Study

Intersection gap data was obtained hourly along Federal Boulevard at $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue during a 24 -hour period on April 27, 2023. The number of gaps for various interval durations were counted. Northbound-only and northbound-southbound combined were counted. The following table shows the gaps that occurred from 7:00 AM to 8:00 AM and at 4:00 PM to 5:00 PM.

## Table 3 -Gap Data for $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard

| Time | Gap (seconds) |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-4 | 4-8 | 8-16 | 16-32 | 32+ |  |
| Northbound-Southbound Combined |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:00-8:00a | 2,078 | 165 | 124 | 72 | 18 | 2,457 |
| 4:00-5:00p | 2,534 | 152 | 72 | 65 | 17 | 2,840 |
| Northbound-Only |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:00-8:00a | 663 | 81 | 66 | 52 | 7 | 869 |
| 4:00-5:00p | 1412 | 90 | 36 | 20 | 7 | 1565 |

Per the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials' (AASHTO) A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets (2018), the necessary gap for a passenger car to make a left turn from a stop-controlled approach is calculated as 7.5 seconds plus 0.5 seconds for each lane or median beyond the first lane that the vehicle must cross. For a vehicle to make a left from $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, it must cross three travel lanes on Federal, a 6' wide median and a left turn lane. This equates to requiring an 8.75 second gap. For combination trucks to make a left turn from $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, they would a require 13.25 second gap. With a follow up time of 3.5 seconds, two passenger cars could make a left turn maneuver sequentially with a gap greater than 21.0 seconds.

Based on the data shown above, the existing northbound-southbound combined gaps would allow for at least 108 vehicles during the AM peak and 99 vehicles during the PM peak. Therefore, there are a sufficient number of adequate gaps to accommodate the left turning traffic from $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.

Left turns from the major roadway (Federal) would also require gaps in traffic to make their maneuver. Per AASHTO, a passenger car making a southbound left at $58^{\text {th }} \&$ Federal would require a 7.75 second gap in northbound traffic. Per the data above, the existing northbound-only gaps would allow for at least 152 vehicles during the AM peak and 70 vehicles during the PM peak.


$$
\begin{aligned}
12 / 34 & - \text { AM Peak Hour/PM Peak Hour } \\
\text { PHF } & \text { - Peak Hour Factor }
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PHF } \\ & 0.94 / 0.96 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 247/569 分 81/92 ط <br> (1) |  |
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Source: Traffic counts collected by All Traffic Data Services April 27, 2023.

## EXHIBIT 5

Existing (2023) Traffic Volumes

## TRIP GENERATION AND DISTRIBUTION

## Trip Generation

The Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) is typically used to determine the number of trips generated by a proposed land use. The purpose of the Trip Generation Manual (TGM) is to compile and quantify empirical trip generation rates for specific land uses within the US, UK and Canada. The tenant(s) for the site have not yet been identified and could include manufacturing, warehousing, or another light-industrial use. The closest matching land use category within the Trip Generation Manual is 110 "General Light Industrial." Manufacturing and warehousing tend to generate fewer trips than a general light industrial use. Therefore, land use category 110 should be viewed as a conservative use for estimating trips for the site.

The table below summarizes the trip generation estimate for the site. It includes the total vehicle trips estimated to be generated per the Trip Generation Manual rates and the number of those vehicle trips that are anticipated to be truck trips.

## Table 4 - Project Trip Generation

| Land Use | ITE <br> Code | Size | Units | Daily <br> Trips | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| General Light Industrial | 110 | 159.0 | KSF* | 774 | 104 | 14 | 118 | 14 | 89 | 103 |
| - Trucks |  |  |  | 40 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| - Non-truck trips |  |  |  | 734 | 103 | 13 | 116 | 13 | 88 | 101 |

*KSF = 1,000 square feet
As shown above, the site is estimated to generate approximately 118 vehicular trips during the AM peak and 103 trips during the PM Peak with 774 trips daily. A vehicle entering and exiting the site creates two trips.

Trips for a similar sized manufacturing facility would be comparable to the general lightindustrial use. Should the tenant be a warehousing user, the estimated trips would be approximately $25 \%$ of the estimate shown in the table above.

## Trip Types

Nearly all developments are made up of the following six trip types: new (destination) trips, pass-by trips, diverted trips, shared (internal) trips, multi-modal (non-vehicular) trips, and transit-oriented trips. In order to better understand the trip types available for land access and how they relate to this project, a description of each specific type follows.

New (Destination) Trips - These types of trips occur to access a specific land use such as a new retail development or a new residential subdivision. These types of trips will
travel to and from the new site and a single other destination such as home or work. This is the only trip type that will result in a net increase in the total amount of traffic within the study area. The reason primarily is that these trips represent planned trips to a specific destination that never took trips to that part of the City prior to the development being constructed and occupied. This project will develop new trips.

Pass-by Trips - These trips represent vehicles which currently use adjacent roadways providing primary access to new land uses or projects. These trips, however, have an ultimate destination other than the project in question. They should be viewed as drop-in customers who stop in on their way home from work. A good example is a quick stop at the grocery store to pick up an ingredient for dinner on the way home from work or at a latte stand to grab a coffee on the way to work. This can make this trip pre-determined, but the stop is still on the way by. Another example would be on payday, where an individual generally drives by their bank every day without stopping, except on payday. On that day, this driver would drive into the bank, perform the prerequisite banking and then continue home. In this example, the trip started from work with a destination of home, however on the way, the driver stopped at the grocery store/latte stand and/or bank directly adjacent to their path. Pass-by trips are most always associated with commercial/retail types of developments. Therefore, no pass-by trips are anticipated for this project.

Diverted (Linked) Trips - Diverted trips are like pass-by trips, but diverted trips occur from roadways that do not provide direct access to the site. Instead, one or more streets must be utilized to get to and from the site. Similar to pass-by trips, diverted trips are most always associated with commercial/retail type developments. Due to the type of use diverted trips are not anticipated for this project.

Shared Trips - Internal trips are the portion of trips generated by a mixed-use development that both begin and end within the development. When estimating trip generation for a development with several uses, each use will generate its own trips. If those trips occur between two of the onsite uses without using the external roadway system, it is considered a shared or internal trip. This trip type reduces the number of new trips generated on the public road system and is most commonly used for commercial or mix-use developments. Determining these trip types is more difficult to quantify and without specific guidance are usually determined by engineering judgment on a project by project basis. For this project, the proposed use is the only use on the site. Therefore, no shared (internal) trip reduction was applied to this project.

Multi-Modal Trips - These are non-vehicular trips to and from the site, mostly comprised of pedestrian and bicycle trips. Generally, they are local trips from the surrounding neighborhood or adjacent businesses. If a development is in an area with a high amount of bicycle and pedestrian activity, such as a downtown setting or college campus, a reduction of vehicular trips would be anticipated. The type of use does not experience a significant portion of pedestrian or bicycle trips. Additionally, very few pedestrians or bicycles were counted at the study area intersections.

Transit Trip - The Denver Metro area is served by Regional Transportation District (RTD) with public bus and light rail. The nearest light rail station is approximately $1 / 4$ of a mile from the site to the north along the G-Line at the Clear Creek - Federal Station. The nearest bus route to the site is along Federal Boulevard with northbound and southbound bus stops at $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue immediately adjacent to the site. The distance from the site to a regular bus route and a light rail station is very convenient and employees of the facility could easily utilize transit to commute to and from work. There isn't substantial data on the modal split of trips for industrial facilities close to transit. The Trip Generation Manual does provide transit data for residential and office uses. For these uses, transit trips comprise $10 \%$ to $20 \%$ of the peak hour trips. It would be reasonable to assume this proposed light-industrial use, that will experience a significant portion of its peak hour trips as commuter trips like an office building, will have approximately $10 \%$ of those commuter trips be transit oriented and not vehicular. Therefore, a 10\% reduction of the non-truck trips shown in Table 4 could be applied to estimate the number of vehicular trips that will be generated by the project to account for the portion that will be transit oriented.

Based on the various trip types depicted above and the nature of the proposed project, a $10 \%$ reduction from the non-truck trips shown in table 3 was applied to account for the proximity of transit for the site. This is depicted in the table below.

## Table 5 - Project Trips by Type

| Trip Type | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Total (from Table 3) | 104 | 14 | 118 | 14 | 89 | 103 |
| Truck Trips | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Transit-Oriented Trips | 10 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 9 | 10 |
| Non-truck Vehicular Trips | 93 | 12 | 105 | 12 | 79 | 91 |

## Trip Distribution

As shown in the site plan, the site is proposed to be accessed by Federal Boulevard at $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. The traffic associated with the project is generally oriented to and from I-76, but can also travel north and south on Federal Boulevard to I-70, US 6 and US 36. Trucks are anticipated to primarily utilize I-76. Right-in/right-out may be applied at the $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue access to Federal Boulevard for the truck routing to improve safety and reduce delay for truck egress. Egress trucks would travel north on Federal to US 36 or to $64^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and travel eastbound to Pecos Street to access I-76. Considering many factors such as the surrounding transportation facilities, areas of residential housing, typical commuter patterns and the geography of the area, traffic for the proposed development is anticipated as follows.

- Federal Boulevard south of the site - 70\%
- Federal Boulevard south of I-76-30\%
- I-76 west of Federal Boulevard - $25 \%$
- I-76 east of Federal Boulevard - 15\%
- Federal Boulevard north of the site - 30\%
- Federal Boulevard north of $64^{\text {th }}$ Avenue - $24 \%$
- $64^{\text {th }}$ Avenue west of Federal Boulevard - 5\%
- $64^{\text {th }}$ Avenue east of Federal Boulevard $-1 \%$

These trip distribution percentages are illustrated in Exhibit 6. The site-generated peak hour vehicular trips are illustrated in Exhibit 7.

If the site access at $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard is restricted to a $3 / 4$ movement intersection (left/through out prohibited), then all of the egress trips from the site would be right turn and those destined for I-76 or other areas to the south would route differently than above. Northbound u-turn maneuvers are prohibited at $60^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard. However, there is a dedicated left turn lane approximately 1,000’ north of $60^{\text {th }}$ Avenue (south of $62^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue) that can accommodate u-turns. A portion of the $70 \%$ that has a destination to the south is likely to utilize this location to make a u-turn. Others are likely to utilize $64^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to access Pecos Street or Sheridan Boulevard to get to I-76, I-70 or travel southbound to their destination. The following is the anticipated egress trip distribution if the $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue intersection is restricted to $3 / 4$ movement. Ingress trip distribution is anticipated to be as shown above as it would not be restricted.

## Egress for $3 / 4$ Movement

- Federal Boulevard northbound from the site - 100\%
- U-Turn to head southbound south of $62^{\text {nd }}-35 \%$
- Federal Boulevard south of I-76 - 15\%
- I-76 west of Federal Boulevard - $5 \%$
- I-76 east of Federal Boulevard - 15\%
- Federal Boulevard north of the $62^{\text {nd }}-65 \%$
- Federal Boulevard north of $64^{\text {th }}$ Avenue - 25\%
- $64{ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue west of Federal Boulevard $-25 \%$
- $64^{\text {th }}$ Avenue east of Federal Boulevard $-15 \%$

The $3 / 4$ movement egress trip distribution is also shown in Exhibit 6 and site-generated trips for this distribution are shown in Exhibit 8.
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## EXHIBIT 7

S ite-Generated Trips


## FUTURE YEAR TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

Level of service calculations for the short-term (Year 2026) and long-range (Year 2045) conditions assumed that the existing traffic volumes as shown on Exhibit 5 experience a background increase above the 2023 volumes at $0.75 \%$ per year along the study area roadways. Two scenarios were examined for each of the future scenarios, one without the proposed project and one with the proposed project completed. A list of the future scenarios follows.

- Short-term Condition (Year 2026) without the project
- Short-term Condition (Year 2026) with the project
- Long-range Condition (Year 2045) without the project
- Long-range Condition (Year 2045) with project

These scenarios will allow a specific comparison of impacts to the study area intersections and allow a determination to be made as to the extent of the project's impact and if any mitigation measures will be required.

## Short-Term Condition (Year 2026) without the project

## Level of Service

The intersections were analyzed for capacity, delay and level of service using Highway Capacity Manual methodologies as implemented within the Synchro software, version 11. The traffic volumes for this scenario include the existing (Year 2023) traffic volumes as shown on Exhibit 5 with three years of ambient growth applied. The total traffic volumes anticipated under this condition are shown on Exhibit 9. A summary of the results is shown in Table 6, which follow.

Table 6 -Year 2026 Levels of Service without Project

| INTERSECTION | (S)ignalized <br> (U)nsignalized | Approach Or Overall | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Delay (sec) | LOS | Delay (sec) | LOS |
| I-76 EB Ramps \& Federal Blvd | S | Ovr | 9.5 | A | 20.8 | C |
| I-76 WB Ramps \& Federal Blvd | S | Ovr | 4.2 | A | 4.2 | A |
| $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Blvd | U | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { EB } \\ & \text { WB } \end{aligned}$ | $139.1$ | $\bar{F}$ | 142.9 - | $\bar{F}$ |
| $60^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Blvd | S | Ovr | 1.2 | A | 1.7 | A |
| $64^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Blvd | S | Ovr | 33.3* | C* | 25.6 | C |

*one or more movement is operating at LOS F
With the anticipated increase in traffic over the next three years, the intersections within the study area are anticipated to continue to operate at acceptable levels of service. The intersection of $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard, which is shown to operate at LOS F in the Highway Capacity Manual results is currently experiencing an adequate number of
gaps in traffic created by the adjacent signals at $60^{\text {th }}$ and the $\mathrm{I}-76$ ramps to accommodate 108 AM peak hour and 99 PM peak hour entering vehicles from $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.

id
12/34 - AM Peak Hour/PM Peak Hour
Note: Existing trips associated with the uses on the 5800 Federal site have been removed for analysis purposes.

## EXHIBIT 9

Short-term (2026) No Project Traffic Volumes
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## Short-term Condition (Year 2026) with the Project

The traffic volumes included in this scenario include the short-term (year 2026) no-project traffic volumes as shown on Exhibit 9 plus the additional traffic from the proposed project, as shown on Exhibit 7. The total traffic volumes anticipated under this condition are shown on Exhibit 10. A summary of the Highway Capacity Manual results is shown in Table 7, which follows.

Table 7 -Year 2026 Levels of Service with Project

| INTERSECTION | (S)ignalized <br> (U)nsignalized | Approach Or Overall | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Delay (sec) | LOS | Delay (sec) | LOS |
| I-76 EB Ramps \& Federal Blvd | S | Ovr | 10.0 | A | 20.8 | C |
| I-76 WB Ramps \& Federal Blvd | S | Ovr | 4.2 | A | 4.1 | A |
| $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Blvd | U | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB } \\ & \text { WB } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 169.6 \\ 79.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 153.6 \\ >300 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F \\ & F \end{aligned}$ |
| $60^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Blvd | S | Ovr | 1.2 | A | 1.7 | A |
| $64^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Blvd | S | Ovr | 34.1* | C* | 25.6 | C |

*one or more movement is operating at LOS F
With the additional traffic generated by the proposed project, all of the study area intersections are anticipated to operate at acceptable levels of service with little change to the overall level of service shown in the existing conditions. The intersection of $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard, which is shown to operate at LOS F in the Highway Capacity Manual results is currently experiencing an adequate number of gaps in traffic created by the adjacent signals at $60^{\text {th }}$ and the I-76 ramps to accommodate 108 AM peak hour and 99 PM peak hour entering vehicles from $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. The proposed project is anticipated to add 55 left turning vehicles from $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue during the PM peak hour, which should be able to be accommodated by the available gaps. The level of service reports for the short-term with the project condition are provided in the technical appendix.

## Auxiliary Lane Evaluation

An evaluation was conducted to determine if auxiliary lanes are required at the site access (58 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue) as a result of the proposed project. The Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) State Highway Access Code (SHAC) (2002) was utilized to determine the need for auxiliary lanes. Federal Boulevard (US 287) has a roadway classification of NR-A (non-rural arterial) from I-70 to $120^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. Federal Boulevard is posted at 45 mph through the $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue intersection.

Federal Boulevard already has left turn lanes in both directions at $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue The northbound left turn lane is approximately 175 ' with a 185 ' taper. The southbound left turn lane is approximately 100 ' with a 50' taper. Bridge columns for the Union Pacific and RTD rail are located in the median of Federal Boulevard immediately north of the southbound left turn lane.

The CDOT access code states the following for category NR-A.
"Auxiliary turn lanes shall be installed according to the criteria below.
a) A left turn deceleration lane and taper with storage length is required for any access with a projected peak hour ingress turning volume greater than 10 vph (vehicles per hour). The taper length will be included within the required deceleration length.
b) A right turn deceleration lane and taper is required for any access with a projected peak hour ingress turning volume greater than 25 vph . The taper length will be included within the required deceleration length.
c) Right turn acceleration lane and taper is required for any access with a projected peak hour right turning volume greater than 50 vph when the posted speed on the highway is greater than 40 mph . The taper length will be included within the required acceleration length. A right turn acceleration lane may also be required at signalized intersections if a free-right turn is needed to maintain an appropriate level of service.
d) Right turn deceleration and acceleration lanes are generally not required on roadways with three or more travel lanes in the direction of the right turn except as provided in subsection 3.5.
e) A left turn acceleration lane may be required if it would be a benefit to the safety and operation of the roadway or as determined by subsection 3.5. A left turn acceleration lane is generally not required where; the posted speed is less than 45 mph , or the intersection is signalized, or the acceleration lane would interfere with the left turn ingress movements to any other access.

Based on the CDOT auxiliary lane requirements listed above, the following applies for the intersection of 58th Avenue \& Federal Boulevard, the site access.

- Northbound left turn traffic volumes are anticipated to be less than 10 vehicles per hour, which indicates a left turn deceleration lane is not required. However, there is already an existing turn lane that should remain.
- Southbound left turn traffic volumes are anticipated to be 28 vehicles per hour during the AM peak hour. This exceeds the CDOT threshold of 10 vph to require a left turn deceleration lane. The existing lane does not meet current standards, but is unable to be elongated due to the proximity of the railroad bridge columns. The 100' storage provided should adequately accommodate the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue for this movement.
- Northbound right turn traffic volumes are anticipated to be 66 vph during the AM peak hour and 9 vph during the PM peak hour. The AM peak hour volume exceeds the 25 vph threshold, which indicates a right turn deceleration lane would be required. However, there are three travel lanes along northbound Federal Boulevard. The third travel lane operates as an auxiliary lane for this roadway. No additional right turn deceleration lane should be added.
- Westbound right turn traffic volumes are anticipated to be 4 vph during the AM peak and 24 vph during the PM peak, which falls below the 50 vph threshold for
requiring an acceleration lane. If the access is restricted to $3 / 4$ movement, all of the egress traffic for the site would be a right turn, which would correspond to 13 AM and 79 PM peak hour vehicles per hour making this maneuver. In this case, the acceleration lane threshold would be exceeded. However, there is not adequate space to construct an acceleration lane between the access and the gradeseparated rail crossing to the north. Furthermore, northbound Federal Boulevard has three travel lanes through the intersection and the third lane operates as an auxiliary lane, which includes acceleration lane usage. Therefore, no acceleration lane should be added.
- A left turn acceleration lane would be beneficial for this access to accommodate a two-stage movement for left turning vehicles from the site. However, it would interfere with the northbound left turn for the west $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue access.

Based on the evaluation presented above, no modifications to the existing auxiliary lanes at the intersection or addition of new auxiliary lanes should be required.

## Sight Distance Analysis

The CDOT State Highway Access Code (2002) was consulted for determining the required sight distance for vehicles entering Federal Boulevard (US 287) from the site access driveway ( $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue). Table 4-2 provides required entering sight distances (in feet) based on the highway posted speed, number of lanes and design vehicle. Federal Boulevard has a posted speed of 45 mph through this segment and is a 6-lane arterial (highway) and relatively flat (<3\% grade). Left turns from the site access will only include passenger cars. All trucks will be routed to the north and egress as right turns. Based on these variables, the required entering sight distance is 585 feet for passenger cars and 945 feet for multi-unit trucks. This sight distance should be provided for the proposed site access to Federal, which is measured 10' back from the edge of the roadway at a height of 3.5 feet. The oncoming vehicle height is assumed to be 4.25 feet. Since trucks will only need line-of-sight to make a right turn, the 945 feet should be applied to the south of the intersection and 585 feet applied to the north of the intersection.

Based on observations during a site visit, there appears to be adequate sight distance for this access. However, the line-of-sight is obscured by the grade-separated bridge columns in the median of Federal south of 60 th Avenue. Also, northbound Federal vehicles queued at a red light at $60^{\text {th }}$ Avenue can block the line-of-sight looking north. Furthermore, the westbound I-76 offramp south of the site access has a free right turn that is within the 945 feet required distance, which makes judging adequate gaps more difficult. When developing construction plans for this access, the line-of-sight (sight triangle) should be shown on the plans and any plantings or other visual obstructions within this triangle area should not exceed 3.5 feet in height.


N
$\mathbf{N}$
$\mathbf{N}$
12/34 - AM Peak Hour/PM Peak Hour
Note: Existing trips associated with the uses on the 5800 Federal site have been removed for analysis purposes.
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## Long-Range Condition (Year 2045) without the Project

This section focuses on the long-range scenario of the year 2045. This scenario assumes that the project has not been constructed and the site essentially remains undeveloped. This analysis will show how the future traffic volumes will be handled by the existing facilities and what new elements may be needed for the traffic system to continue functioning at an acceptable level of service. The traffic volumes for this condition include the existing traffic, as shown on Exhibit 5 with an ambient background growth applied over the next twenty-two years. Please see Exhibit 11 for the traffic volumes used for this scenario. A summary of the level of service results are shown in Table 7, which follows.

Table 7 -Year 2045 Levels of Service without Project

| INTERSECTION | (S)ignalized <br> (U)nsignalized | Approach Or Overall | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Delay (sec) | LOS | Delay (sec) | LOS |
| I-76 EB Ramps \& Federal Blvd | S | Ovr | 9.9 | A | 22.0 | C |
| I-76 WB Ramps \& Federal Blvd | S | Ovr | 4.5 | A | 4.3 | A |
| $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Blvd | U | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { EB } \\ & \text { WB } \end{aligned}$ | $>300$ | $F$ | $>300$ | $F$ |
| $60^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Blvd | S | Ovr | 1.2 | A | 1.9 | A |
| $64^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Blvd | S | Ovr | 44.5* | D* | 30.3 | C |

*one or more movement is operating at LOS F
For the long-range condition without the proposed project, the study area intersections are generally anticipated to operate at acceptable levels of service and within capacity. Gaps at the 58th Avenue \& Federal Boulevard intersection may diminish over the next $20+$ years and the $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue approaches may need to be restricted to $3 / 4$ movement or right-in / right-out.

The intersection of $64^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard is anticipated to have several movements operating at or near capacity. The eastbound right turn movement is shown to operate above capacity at a volume to capacity ratio (v/c) of 1.42 , which may require a modification to this movement's phasing, timing or geometry. The southbound approach is also anticipated to operate at capacity with a v/c of 1.00 .

The level of service reports for the long-range no project conditions are provided in the technical appendix.

í
12/34 - AM Peak Hour/PM Peak Hour
Note: Existing trips associated with the uses on the 5800 Federal site have been removed for analysis purposes.
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## Long-Range Conditions (Year 2045) with the Project

The traffic volumes included in this scenario include the long-range (Year 2045) traffic volumes as shown on Exhibit 11, and the additional traffic from the proposed project, as shown on Exhibit 8 or Exhibit 9. By the year 2045, the volume of traffic on Federal Boulevard may result in fewer gaps at $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and the intersection may need to operate as a $3 / 4$ movement intersection or right-in/right-out. The total traffic volumes anticipated under this condition, assuming $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue remains full-movement, are shown on Exhibit 12. If $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue is restricted to $3 / 4$ movement, those the corresponding traffic volumes are shown in Exhibit 13. A summary of the results is shown in Table 8, which follows.

Table 8 - Year 2045 Levels of Service with the Project

| INTERSECTION |  | Approach Or Overall | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Delay (sec) | LOS | Delay (sec) | LOS |
| I-76 EB Ramps \& Federal Blvd <br> - $3 / 4$ movement at $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue | S |  | Ovr | $\begin{gathered} 10.4 \\ (10.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{B} \\ (\mathrm{~B}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.0 \\ (22.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} \\ (\mathrm{C}) \end{gathered}$ |
| I-76 WB Ramps \& Federal Blvd <br> - $3 / 4$ movement at $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue | S | Ovr | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ (4.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | A (A) | $\begin{gathered} 4.3 \\ (4.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | A <br> (A) |
| $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Blvd <br> - $3 / 4$ movement at $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue | U | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{EB} \\ \mathrm{WB} \\ \text { (EB) } \\ \text { (WB) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline>300 \\ & 143.3 \\ & (41.1) \\ & (15.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{F} \\ \mathrm{~F} \\ (\mathrm{E}) \\ (\mathrm{C}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >300 \\ & >300 \\ & (21.6) \\ & (53.2) \end{aligned}$ | F F <br> F <br> (C) <br> (F) |
| $60^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Blvd <br> - $3 / 4$ movement at $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue | S | Ovr | $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \\ (1.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A} \\ (\mathrm{~A}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.9 \\ (1.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A} \\ (\mathrm{~A}) \end{gathered}$ |
| $64^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Blvd <br> - $3 / 4$ movement at $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue | S | Ovr | $\begin{aligned} & 45.9^{*} \\ & (46.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & D^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.3 \\ (30.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} \\ \text { (C) } \end{gathered}$ |

*one or more movement is operating at LOS E/F

- delay and level of service shown in parentheses is associated with $3 / 4$ movement at $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue

The long-range condition with the proposed project results in similar operations at the study area intersection as the no-project condition. The higher volume of traffic projected for Federal Boulevard may result in fewer available gaps at the intersection of $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard, which may require the intersection to be restricted to $3 / 4$ movement or right-in / right-out. The conversion of the $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue access to $3 / 4$ movement is not anticipated to result in significant impacts to the other study area intersections. Therefore, the site access should be monitored and converted to $3 / 4$ movement when appropriate. This conversion could either be completed with a raised median that permits left turns from Federal, but prohibits left turns and crossing maneuvers from $58^{\text {th }}$ OR installation of a "pork chop" island on the $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue approach from the site. The level of service reports for the long-range with the project condition (Year 2045) are provided in the technical appendix.
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12/34 - AM Peak Hour/PM Peak Hour
Note: Existing trips associated with the uses on the 5800 Federal site have been removed for analysis purposes.
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12/34 - AM Peak Hour/PM Peak Hour
Note: Existing trips associated with the uses on the 5800 Federal site have been removed for analysis purposes.

## EXHIBIT 13

Long-range (2045) with Project Traffic Volumes (3/4 Movement)

NOT TO SCALE
JUNE 19, 2023

## CONCLUSIONS \& RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the analysis, findings and conclusions discussed in this report, this project is not anticipated to have significant impact on the surrounding transportation system and no mitigation should be required.

The analysis results indicate that all of the intersections within the study are currently operating at acceptable levels of service except the minor street approaches of $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard. Future year conditions, short-term (2026) and long-range (2045), with and without the project, indicate that the study area intersections will continue to function at similar levels.

A gap study was conducted along Federal Boulevard at $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to determine if there are sufficient gaps in traffic to accommodate the existing minor street approach volume and potentially more for the proposed project. Per the gap study, the existing northboundsouthbound combined gaps would allow for at least 108 vehicles during the AM peak and 99 vehicles during the PM peak to make a turning maneuver from $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. Therefore, there are a sufficient number of adequate gaps to accommodate the existing and proposed left turning traffic from $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. There are also sufficient gaps in northbound Federal Boulevard traffic to accommodate the southbound left turn volume at $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. The increase in traffic projected for Federal Boulevard may reduce the number of adequate gaps for the long-range condition to a point where the $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue intersection requires movement restrictions in the form of a $3 / 4$ movement intersection or right-in / right-out. The intersection should be monitored to determine if this is necessary in the future.

An auxiliary lane evaluation was conducted for the site access to Federal Boulevard. Based on the CDOT State Highway Access Code, the current geometry of $58^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard and the volume of traffic anticipated for the intersection, no modifications to the existing auxiliary lanes at the intersection or addition of new auxiliary lanes should be required.

Per CDOT requirements, sight distance at the 58 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Federal Boulevard intersection should provide 585 feet looking to the north and 945 feet looking to the south to accommodate the design vehicles for the proposed project. Based on observations during a site visit, there appears to be adequate sight distance for this access. However, the line-of-sight is obscured by the grade-separated bridge columns in the median of Federal south of $60^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. Also, northbound Federal vehicles queued at a red light at $60^{\text {th }}$ Avenue can block the line-of-sight looking north. Furthermore, the westbound I-76 offramp south of the site access has a free right turn that is within the 945 feet required distance, which makes judging adequate gaps more difficult. A more detailed sight distance investigation should be conducted to confirm there is adequate sight distance.

Table 10 - Delay and Level of Service Summary (All Conditions)

| Intersection and Movments | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} \\ & \text { or } \\ & \mathrm{U} \end{aligned}$ | Existing |  |  |  | Short-term (2026) No Project |  |  |  | Short-term (2026) with Project |  |  |  | Long-range (2042) No Project |  |  |  | Long-range (2042) with Project |  |  |  | Long-range (2042) with Project |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  |
|  |  | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS |
| Federal Blvd \& I-76 EB | S | Unchanged |  |  |  | Unchanged |  |  |  | Unchanged |  |  |  | Unchanged |  |  |  | Unchanged |  |  |  | 3/4 Movement at 58th |  |  |  |
| Federal Blvd \& 1-76 EB | S | 9.4 | A | 20.7 | C | 9.5 | A | 20.8 | C | 10.0 | A | 20.8 | C | 9.9 | A | 22.0 | C | 10.4 | B | 22.0 | C | 10.4 | B | 22.0 | C |
| Eastbound |  | 56.2 | E | 54.2 | D | 56.1 | E | 54.4 | D | 55.7 | E | 54.4 | D | 55.4 | E | 56.1 | E | 55.9 | E | 56.1 | E | 55.9 | E | 56.1 | E |
| Northbound |  | 5.7 | A | 23.1 | C | 5.8 | A | 23.3 | C | 6.2 | A | 23.3 | C | 6.7 | A | 24.9 | C | 7.2 | A | 25.0 | C | 7.1 | A | 25.0 | c |
| Southbound |  | 4.4 | A | 2.3 | A | 4.4 | A | 2.4 | A | 4.5 | A | 2.7 | A | 4.8 | A | 2.9 | A | 4.9 | A | 3.1 | A | 4.9 | A | 3.0 | A |
| Federal Blvd \& I-76 WB |  | Unchanged |  |  |  | Unchanged |  |  |  | Unchanged |  |  |  | Unchanged |  |  |  | Unchanged |  |  |  | 3/4 Movement at 58th |  |  |  |
| Federal Blvd \& 1-76 WB | S | 4.2 | A | 4.1 | A | 4.2 | A | 4.2 | A | 4.2 | A | 4.1 | A | 4.5 | A | 4.4 | A | 4.5 | A | 4.3 | A | 4.5 | A | 4.4 | A |
| Westbound |  | 57.7 | E | 57.3 | E | 57.6 | E | 57.2 | E | 57.6 | E | 57.2 | E | 57.1 | E | 56.6 | E | 57.1 | E | 56.6 | E | 57.1 | E | 56.6 | E |
| Northbound |  | 0.4 | A | 0.9 | A | 0.4 | A | 0.9 | A | 0.4 | A | 0.9 | A | 0.5 | A | 1.4 | A | 0.5 | A | 1.3 | A | 0.5 | A | 1.3 | A |
| Southbound |  | 0.9 | A | 0.4 | A | 1.0 | A | 0.5 | A | 1.0 | A | 0.5 | A | 1.4 | A | 0.6 | A | 1.5 | A | 0.6 | A | 1.4 | A | 0.6 | A |
| Federal Blvd \& 58th Ave | U | Unchanged |  |  |  | Unchanged |  |  |  | Unchanged |  |  |  | Unchanged |  |  |  | Unchanged |  |  |  | 3/4 Movement at 58th |  |  |  |
|  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | d | - | - |  | d | - | , | - | - | - |
| Eastbound |  | 134.3 | F | 121.7 | F | 139.1 | F | 142.9 | F | 169.6 | F | 153.6 | F | 282.5 | F | >300 | F | >300 | F | >300 | F | 41.1 | E | 21.6 | c |
| Westbound |  | 13.4 | B | 95.9 | F | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 79.7 | F | >300 | F | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 143.3 | F | >300 | F | 15.6 | c | 53.2 | F |
| Northbound Left |  | 0.0 | - | 22.1 | C | 0.0 | - | 22.8 | c | 0.0 | - | 22.8 | C | 0.0 | - | 28.5 | D | 0.0 | - | 28.5 | D | 0.0 | - | 29.5 | D |
| Southbound Left |  | 0.0 | - | 34.3 | D | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 16.8 | c | 37.0 | E | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 19.4 | c | 52.0 | F | 19.4 | c | 52.0 | F |
| Federal Blvd \& 60th Ave | S | Unchanged |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unchanged |  |  |  | Unchanged |  |  |  | 3/4 Movement at 58th |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1.2 | A | 1.6 | A | 1.2 | 4.2 Unchanged |  | A | Unchanged    <br> 1.2 A 1.7  |  |  | A | 1.2 | A | 1.9 | A | 1.2 | A | 1.9 | A | 1.2 | A | 1.9 | A |
| Eastbound |  | 0.0 | - | 53.9 | D | 0.0 | - | 53.9 | D | 0.0 | - | 53.9 | D | 0.0 | - | 54.1 | D | 0.0 | - | 54.1 | D | 0.0 | - | 54.1 | D |
| Westbound |  | 63.9 | D | 53.2 | D | 53.9 | D | 53.2 | D | 53.9 | D | 53.2 | D | 54.0 | D | 53.3 | D | 54.0 | D | 53.3 | D | 54.0 | D | 53.3 | D |
| Northbound |  | 0.3 | A | 0.9 | A | 0.3 | A | 0.9 | A | 0.3 | A | 0.9 | A | 0.4 | A | 1.2 | A | 0.4 | A | 1.3 | A | 0.4 | A | 1.4 | A |
| Southbound |  | 0.3 | A | 0.2 | A | 0.3 | A | 0.2 | A | 0.3 | A | 0.2 | A | 0.2 | A | 0.3 | A | 0.2 | A | 0.3 | A | 0.2 | A | 0.3 | A |
| Federal Blvd \& 64th Ave | S | Unchanged |  |  |  | Unchanged |  |  |  | Unchanged |  |  |  | Unchanged |  |  |  | Unchanged |  |  |  | 3/4 Movement at 58th |  |  |  |
|  |  | 32.4 | C | 24.9 | C | 33.3 | C | 25.6 | C | 34.1 | C | 25.6 | C | 44.5 | D | 30.3 | C | 45.9 | D | 30.3 | D | 46.2 | D | 30.5 | D |
| Eastbound |  | 135.5 | F | 57.9 | E | 137.1 | F | 59.7 | E | 141.7 | F | 59.6 | E | 167.3 | F | 73.7 | E | 171.9 | F | 73.6 | E | 171.9 | F | 73.6 | E |
| Westbound |  | 56.7 | E | 59.7 | E | 56.9 | E | 60.1 | E | 57.1 | E | 60.1 | E | 66.3 | E | 62.1 | E | 66.6 | E | 62.1 | E | 66.6 | E | 62.1 | E |
| Northbound |  | 3.0 | A | 15.8 | B | 3.3 | A | 16.3 | B | 3.4 | A | 16.5 | B | 5.9 | A | 20.2 | c | 5.9 | A | 20.4 | C | 6.2 | A | 20.6 | C |
| Southbound |  | 20.5 | c | 16.8 | B | 21.6 | c | 17.3 | B | 21.9 | C | 17.4 | B | 32.7 | C | 20.9 | c | 33.9 | C | 21.0 | c | 34.5 | C | 21.5 | c |

# Traffic Impact Study Opus - 5800 Federal <br> TECHNICAL APPENDIX <br> June 19, 2023 

Civerans
ENGINEERING INC.

## RAW TRAFFIC COUNTS

(303) 216-2439 www.alltrafficdata.net

Location: 1 FEDERAL BLVD \& I-76 EB RAMPS AM
Date: Thursday, April 27, 2023
Peak Hour: 07:30 AM - 08:30 AM
Peak 15-Minutes: 07:45 AM - 08:00 AM


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.
Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval | I-76 EB RAMPS <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | I-76 EB RAMPS <br> Westbound |  |  |  | FEDERAL BLVD <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | FEDERAL BLVD <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Rolling Hour | Pedestrian Crossings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | eft | Thru R |  | U-Turn | Left |  | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  |  | West | East | South |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 47 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 149 | 40 | 0 | 17 | 330 | 0 |  | 598 | 2,750 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 65 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 144 | 45 | 0 | 23 | 328 | 0 |  | 617 | 2,826 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 60 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 192 | 38 | 0 | 30 | 416 | 0 |  | 758 | 2,928 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 65 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 184 | 44 | 0 | 33 | 433 | 0 |  | 777 | 2,788 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 41 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 159 | 33 | 0 | 30 | 391 | 0 |  | 674 | 2,596 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 81 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 157 | 35 | 0 | 23 | 402 | 0 |  | 719 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 54 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 188 | 42 | 0 | 22 | 290 | 0 |  | 618 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 68 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 167 | 30 | 0 | 22 | 282 | 0 |  | 585 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 481 | 2 | 144 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1,340 | 307 | 0 | 200 | 2,872 |  | 0 | 5,346 |  | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 247 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 692 | 150 | 0 | 116 | 1,642 |  |  | 2,928 |  | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net
Location: 2 FEDERAL BLVD \& I-76 WB RAMPS AM
Date: Thursday, April 27, 2023
Peak Hour: 07:30 AM - 08:30 AM
Peak 15-Minutes: 07:45 AM - 08:00 AM


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.
Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval | I-76 WB RAMPS Eastbound |  |  |  | I-76 WB RAMPS Westbound |  |  |  | FEDERAL BLVD <br> Northbound |  |  |  | FEDERAL BLVD <br> Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour | Pedestrian Crossings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru R | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  | West | East | South |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 15 | 173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 318 | 151 | 705 | 3,244 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 6 | 204 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 313 | 154 | 740 | 3,317 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 7 | 242 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 414 | 179 | 885 | 3,416 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 10 | 241 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 419 | 171 | 914 | 3,215 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 10 | 184 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 372 | 153 | 778 | 2,987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 10 | 224 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 395 | 152 | 839 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 13 | 215 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 276 | 128 | 684 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 13 | 232 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 252 | 129 | 686 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 314 | 1 | 138 | 3 | 84 | 1,715 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,759 | 1,217 | 6,231 |  | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 165 | 0 | 66 | 2 | 37 | 891 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 1,600 | 655 | 3,416 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net
Location: 3 FEDERAL BLVD \& 58TH AVE AM
Date: Thursday, April 27, 2023
Peak Hour: 07:30 AM - 08:30 AM
Peak 15-Minutes: 07:45 AM - 08:00 AM

Peak Hour - Bicycles


Peak Hour - Pedestrians


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.
Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval Start Time | 58TH AVE <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | 58TH AVE <br> Westbound |  |  |  | FEDERAL BLVD <br> Northbound |  |  |  | FEDERAL BLVD <br> Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour | Pedestrian Crossings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | eft | Thru R |  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  | West | East | South |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 188 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 451 | 0 | 643 | 3,067 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 212 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 497 | 0 | 710 | 3,123 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 265 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 567 | 0 | 832 | 3,198 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 256 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 625 | 0 | 882 | 3,002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 202 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 495 | 0 | 699 | 2,738 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 559 | 0 | 785 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 247 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 386 | 0 | 636 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 236 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 379 | 0 | 618 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1,831 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3,959 | 0 | 5,805 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 948 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2,246 |  | 3,198 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net
Location: 4 FEDERAL BVLD \& 60TH AVE AM
Date: Thursday, April 27, 2023
Peak Hour: 07:30 AM - 08:30 AM
Peak 15-Minutes: 07:45 AM - 08:00 AM


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.
Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval Start Time | 60TH AVE <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | 60TH AVE <br> Westbound |  |  |  | FEDERAL BVLD <br> Northbound |  |  |  | FEDERAL BLVD <br> Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour | Pedestrian Crossings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru R |  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  | West | East | South |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 173 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 441 | 0 | 643 | 3,087 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 204 | 11 | 1 | 7 | 496 | 0 | 729 | 3,137 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 242 | 11 | 0 | 6 | 548 | 0 | 819 | 3,194 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 248 | 15 | 1 | 9 | 605 | 0 | 896 | 3,029 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 176 | 13 | 0 | 9 | 485 | 0 | 693 | 2,763 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 226 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 529 | 0 | 786 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 240 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 383 | 1 | 654 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 226 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 369 | 0 | 630 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 1,735 | 91 | 3 | 50 | 3,856 | 1 | 5,850 |  | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | - 892 | 48 | 1 | 28 | 2,167 |  | 3,19 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net
Location: 5 FEDERAL BLVD \& 64TH AVE AM
Date: Thursday, April 27, 2023
Peak Hour: 07:30 AM - 08:30 AM
Peak 15-Minutes: 07:30 AM - 07:45 AM


Peak Hour - Bicycles


Peak Hour - Pedestrians


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.
Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval Start Time | 64TH AVE <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | 64TH AVE <br> Westbound |  |  |  | FEDERAL BLVD <br> Northbound |  |  |  | FEDERAL BLVD <br> Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour | Pedestrian Crossings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru R | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  | West | East | South |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 16 | 34 | 32 | 0 | 21 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 11 | 144 | 9 | 2 | 11 | 407 | 20 | 733 | 3,706 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 24 | 39 | 32 | 0 | 28 | 23 | 8 | 6 | 10 | 175 | 17 | 0 | 13 | 437 | 31 | 843 | 3,806 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 20 | 48 | 52 | 0 | 30 | 47 | 4 | 3 | 24 | 217 | 15 | 1 | 7 | 596 | 34 | 1,098 | 3,849 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 33 | 55 | 94 | 0 | 23 | 33 | 7 | 4 | 22 | 213 | 18 | 4 | 10 | 473 | 43 | 1,032 | 3,527 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 18 | 31 | 46 | 0 | 25 | 29 | 9 | 5 | 14 | 157 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 442 | 27 | 833 | 3,196 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 15 | 28 | 37 | 0 | 19 | 38 | 7 | 4 | 23 | 208 | 10 | 0 | 14 | 455 | 28 | 886 |  | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 19 | 40 | 36 | 0 | 19 | 49 | 6 | 5 | 16 | 222 | 17 | 4 | 5 | 318 | 20 | 776 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 19 | 22 | 32 | 0 | 27 | 22 | 13 | 7 | 15 | 195 | 16 | 3 | 9 | 299 | 22 | 701 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Count Total | 0 | 164 | 297 | 361 | 0 | 192 | 257 | 59 | 39 | 135 | 1,531 | 117 | 14 | 84 | 3,427 | 225 | 6,902 |  | 5 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 86 | 162 | 229 | 0 | 97 | 147 | 27 | 16 | 83 | 795 | 58 | 5 | 46 | 1,966 | 132 | 3,84 |  | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 |

(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net
Location: 1 FEDERAL BLVD \& I-76 EB RAMPS PM
Date: Thursday, April 27, 2023
Peak Hour: 04:45 PM - 05:45 PM
Peak 15-Minutes: 05:15 PM - 05:30 PM


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.
Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval Start Time | I-76 EB RAMPS <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | I-76 EB RAMPS <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | FEDERAL BLVD <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | FEDERAL BLVD <br> Southbound |  |  |  | Total |  | Rolling Hour | Pedestrian Crossings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn |  | Thru R |  |  | J-Turn | Left |  | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  | West | East | South |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 113 | 1 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 298 | 51 | 0 | 16 | 308 | 0 |  | 825 |  | 3,298 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 132 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 327 | 49 | 0 | 18 | 314 | 0 |  | 871 | 3,274 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 131 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 296 | 47 | 0 | 20 | 249 | 0 |  | 766 | 3,266 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 121 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 321 | 48 | 0 | 19 | 304 | 0 |  | 836 | 3,307 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 123 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 296 | 49 | 0 | 22 | 278 | 0 |  | 801 | 3,199 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 152 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 327 | 46 | 0 | 10 | 307 | 0 |  | 863 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 173 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 309 | 47 | 0 | 18 | 245 | 0 |  | 807 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 119 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 257 | 36 | 0 | 16 | 275 | 0 |  | 728 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 1,064 | 1 | 209 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 2,431 | 373 | 0 | 139 | 2,280 |  | 0 | 6,497 |  | 7 | 9 | 2 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 569 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,253 | 190 | 0 | 69 | 1,134 |  | 0 | 3,307 |  | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net
Location: 2 FEDERAL BLVD \& I-76 WB RAMPS PM
Date: Thursday, April 27, 2023
Peak Hour: 04:45 PM - 05:45 PM
Peak 15-Minutes: 05:15 PM - 05:30 PM


Peak Hour - Bicycles


Peak Hour - Pedestrians


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.
Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval <br> Start Time | I-76 WB RAMPS Eastbound |  |  |  | I-76 WB RAMPS <br> Westbound |  |  |  | FEDERAL BLVD <br> Northbound |  |  |  | FEDERAL BLVD <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour | Pedestrian Crossings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru R | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  | U-Turn | Left |  | Thru | Right |  |  | West | East | South |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 32 | 2 | 18 | 393 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 275 | 130 | 892 | 3,496 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 1 | 23 | 1 | 25 | 433 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 279 | 114 | 920 | 3,459 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 19 | 398 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 231 | 105 | 814 | 3,491 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 25 | 412 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 269 | 86 | 870 | 3,528 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 20 | 397 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 257 | 113 | 855 | 3,440 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 18 | 472 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 258 | 124 | 952 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 16 | 453 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 225 | 95 | 851 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 23 | 2 | 19 | 364 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 259 | 73 | 782 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 347 | 1 | 206 | 7 | 160 | 3,322 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 2,053 | 840 | 6,936 |  | 1 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 0 | 106 | 2 | 79 | 1,734 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1,009 | 418 | 3,528 |  | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 |

(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net
Location: 3 FEDERAL BLVD \& 58TH AVE PM
Date: Thursday, April 27, 2023
Peak Hour: 04:45 PM - 05:45 PM
Peak 15-Minutes: 05:15 PM - 05:30 PM


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.
Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval Start Time | 58TH AVE <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | 58TH AVE <br> Westbound |  |  |  | FEDERAL BLVD <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | FEDERAL BLVD <br> Southbound |  |  |  | Total |  | Rolling Hour | Pedestrian Crossings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru |  | U-Turn | Left |  | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  | West | East | South |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 419 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 417 | 0 |  | 842 |  | 3,259 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 464 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 385 | 0 |  | 857 | 3,222 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 |  | 417 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 328 | 0 |  | 763 | 3,258 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 440 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 353 | 0 |  | 797 | 3,289 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  | 421 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 374 | 0 |  | 805 | 3,215 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 509 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 379 | 0 |  | 893 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 471 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 317 | 0 |  | 794 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 394 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 329 | 0 |  | 723 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 3 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 0 |  | 3,535 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 2,882 | 0 |  | 6,474 |  | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1,841 | 2 | 0 |  | 1,423 |  | 0 | 3,289 |  | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |

(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net
Location: 4 FEDERAL BVLD \& 60TH AVE PM
Date: Thursday, April 27, 2023
Peak Hour: 04:45 PM - 05:45 PM
Peak 15-Minutes: 05:15 PM - 05:30 PM


Peak Hour - Bicycles


Peak Hour - Pedestrians


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.
Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval Start Time | 60TH AVE <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | 60TH AVE <br> Westbound |  |  |  | FEDERAL BVLD <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | FEDERAL BLVD <br> Southbound |  |  |  | Total |  | Rolling Hour | Pedestrian Crossings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru |  | U-Turn | Left |  | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  | West | East | South |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |  | 411 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 421 | 0 |  | 864 |  | 3,295 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |  | 445 | 5 | 1 | 11 | 377 | 0 |  | 852 | 3,231 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |  | 420 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 326 | 0 |  | 778 | 3,292 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |  | 430 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 344 | 0 |  | 801 | 3,323 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |  | 408 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 357 | 0 |  | 800 | 3,252 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |  | 513 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 382 | 0 |  | 913 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |  | 475 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 311 | 0 |  | 809 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 397 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 318 | 0 |  | 730 |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 |  | 3,499 | 39 | 1 | 44 | 2,836 | 0 |  | 6,547 |  | 3 | 9 | 1 | 2 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 |  | 1,826 | 19 | 0 | 13 | 1,394 |  | 0 | 3,323 |  | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 |

(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net
Location: 5 FEDERAL BLVD \& 64TH AVE PM
Date: Thursday, April 27, 2023
Peak Hour: 04:30 PM - 05:30 PM
Peak 15-Minutes: 05:00 PM - 05:15 PM

Peak Hour - Bicycles


Peak Hour - Pedestrians


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

## Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval Start Time | 64TH AVE <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | 64TH AVE Westbound |  |  |  | FEDERAL BLVD <br> Northbound |  |  |  | FEDERAL BLVD <br> Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour | Pedestrian Crossings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru R | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  | West | East | South |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 38 | 52 | 41 | 0 | 26 | 43 | 17 | 5 | 46 | 387 | 21 | 1 | 13 | 350 | 28 | 1,068 | 3,934 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 45 | 43 | 24 | 0 | 25 | 45 | 27 | 2 | 35 | 369 | 11 | 7 | 15 | 276 | 15 | 939 | 3,892 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 41 | 40 | 29 | 0 | 20 | 55 | 25 | 7 | 27 | 416 | 19 | 2 | 3 | 289 | 43 | 1,016 | 3,967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 51 | 44 | 28 | 0 | 24 | 48 | 17 | 4 | 41 | 353 | 19 | 3 | 10 | 248 | 21 | 911 | 3,944 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 41 | 35 | 40 | 0 | 22 | 49 | 21 | 5 | 38 | 395 | 16 | 5 | 9 | 317 | 33 | 1,026 | 3,851 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 42 | 40 | 22 | 0 | 28 | 57 | 12 | 4 | 43 | 431 | 19 | 1 | 9 | 276 | 30 | 1,014 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 38 | 31 | 21 | 0 | 11 | 43 | 10 | 7 | 35 | 451 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 290 | 30 | 993 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 43 | 29 | 22 | 0 | 15 | 33 | 14 | 3 | 29 | 333 | 14 | 7 | 5 | 247 | 24 | 818 |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Count Total | 0 | 339 | 314 | 227 | 0 | 171 | 373 | 143 | 37 | 294 | 3,135 | 132 | 28 | 75 | 2,293 | 224 | 7,785 |  | 4 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 175 | 159 | 119 | 0 | 94 | 209 | 75 | 20 | 149 | 1,595 | 73 | 11 | 31 | 1,130 | 127 | 3,967 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |

## TRIP GENERATION DATA

Trip Generation for Proposed 5800 Federal Industrial (Adams Co, Colorado)

Proposing 159,000 SF of industrial uses. As of 6/19/2023, the tenant has not been defined and could include manufacturing, warehousing, R\&D. For the purpose of being conservative, light-industrial land use, category 110, was used from ITE's Trip Generation Manual.

## Trip Generation

Per Trip Generation Manual, Land Use Category 110 "General Light Industrial"

| Weekday | Equation | $\underline{R^{2}}$ | Rate | \% in | \%out |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak: | $\mathrm{T}=0.68 \mathrm{X}+3.81$ | 0.66 | 0.74 | 88\% | 12\% |
| PM Peak: | $\mathrm{LN}(\mathrm{T})=0.72 * L N(X)+0.38$ | 0.55 | 0.65 | 14\% | 86\% |
| ADT: | $\mathrm{T}=3.76 \mathrm{X}+50.47$ | 0.61 | 4.87 |  |  |

For $X=159.0$

AM Peak= 118
PM Peak= 103
ADT= 774

Unadjusted
118 AM trips 104 enter 14 exit

TGM Land Use Categor 140, "Manufacturing"

| Weekday | Equation | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | Rate | \% in | \%out |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak: | T=0.61X+9.54 | 0.62 | 0.68 | 76\% | 24\% |
| PM Peak: | $\mathrm{T}=0.87 \mathrm{X}-17.50$ | 0.64 | 0.74 | 31\% | 69\% |
| ADT: | T=3.77X+201.98 | 0.68 | 4.75 |  |  |

For $X=159.0$
AM Peak= 108
PM Peak= 118
ADT= 755
Unadjusted

| 108 AM trips | 82 enter | 26 exit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 118 PM trips | 37 enter | 81 exit |

TGM Land Use Categor 150, "Warehousing"

| Weekday | Equation | $\underline{\mathrm{R}^{2}}$ | Rate | \% in | \%out |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak: | $\mathrm{T}=0.12 \mathrm{X}+23.62$ | 0.69 | 0.17 | 77\% | 23\% |
| PM Peak: | $\mathrm{T}=0.12+26.48$ | 0.65 | 0.18 | 28\% | 72\% |
| ADT: | $\mathrm{T}=1.58 \mathrm{X}+38.29$ | 0.92 | 1.71 |  |  |

For $\mathrm{X}=159.0 \quad$ AM Peak= 27

PM Peak= 29
ADT= 290

Unadjusted

| 27 AM trips | 21 enter | 6 exit |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 29 PM trips | 8 enter | 21 exit |

## GAP STUDY DATA

## MetroCount Traffic Executive <br> Separation Statistics by Hour

| SepStatHour-344 -- English (ENU) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Datasets: |  |
| Site: | 6. Federal Blvd (NB/SB) N/O W 58th Ave |
| Attribute: |  |
| Direction: | 1 - North bound, A trigger first. Lane: 0 |
| Survey Duration: | 8:01 Tuesday, April 25, 2023 => 13:17 Friday, April 28, 2023 |
| Zone: |  |
| File: | BASIC6 |
| Identifier: | WC815H6F MC5900-X13 (c)MetroCount 09Nov16 |
| Algorithm: | Factory default axle (v5.08) |
| Data type: | Axle sensors - Paired (Class/Speed/Count) |
| Profile: |  |
| Filter time: | 0:00 Thursday, April 27, 2023 => 0:00 Friday, April 28, 2023 |
| Included classes: | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 |
| Speed range: | 0-115 mph. |
| Direction: | North, East, South, West (bound), P = North, Lane = 0-16 |
| Separation: | Headway > 0 sec, Span 0-328 ft |
| Name: | Default Profile |
| Units: | Non metric (ft, mi, ft/s, mph, lb, ton) |
| In profile: | Vehicles = $35666 / 100417$ (35.52\%) |

## Separation Statistics by Hour

Site:
Description: MetroCount Factory Test Setup
Filter time: 0:00 Thursday, April 27, 2023 => 0:00 Friday, April 28, 2023
Filter: $\quad \operatorname{Cls}(1-13) \operatorname{Dir}(N E S W) \operatorname{Sp}(0,115)$ Headway(>0) Span(0-328) Lane(0-16)
Hour Bins

| Time |  | Bin |  | Mean | 'Sep | Sep |  | Sep |  | Sep |  | Sep |  | Sep |  | Sep |  | Sep |  | Sep |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | I |  |  | 0.0 | 0.5 |  | 1.0 |  | 2.0 |  | 4.0 |  | 8.0 |  | 16.0 |  | 32.0 |  | 64.0 | 128.0 |
|  |  | 1 | I |  | I | 0.5 | 1.0 |  | 2.0 |  | 4.0 |  | 8.0 |  | 16.0 |  | 32.0 |  | 64.0 |  | 128.0 | 1000.0 |
|  | 12:00 AMI |  | 241 | 29.91 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 14 |  | 18 |  | 26 |  | 34 |  | 52 |  | 53 |  | 32 | 2 |
|  | 1:00 AM |  | 146 | 49.21 |  | 6 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 5 |  | 21 |  | 34 |  | 31 |  | 23 | 15 |
|  | 2:00 AMI |  | 1381 | 51.2 |  | 3 | 2 |  | 6 |  | 9 |  | 12 |  | 16 |  | 21 |  | 30 |  | 23 | 16 |
|  | 3:00 AM |  | 142 | 51.6 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 |  | 7 |  | 11 |  | 13 |  | 22 |  | 38 |  | 30 | 13 |
|  | 4:00 AM1 |  | 266 | 27.2 |  | 10 | 7 |  | 13 |  | 27 |  | 42 |  | 38 |  | 56 |  | 44 |  | 22 | 7 |
|  | 5:00 AMI |  | 7761 | 9.21 |  | 83 | 40 |  | 157 |  | 160 |  | 91 |  | 102 |  | 90 |  | 46 |  | 7 | 0 |
|  | 6:00 AM |  | 1755 | 24.7 |  | 317 | 202 |  | 506 |  | 340 |  | 154 |  | 112 |  | 98 |  | 23 |  | 1 | 1 |
|  | 7:00 AMI |  | 24601 | 221 |  | 407 | 394 |  | 818 |  | 459 |  | 165 |  | 124 |  | 72 |  | 14 |  | 1 | 3 |
|  | 8:00 AM |  | 2249 | 4.1 |  | 303 | 356 |  | 777 |  | 420 |  | 156 |  | 132 |  | 96 |  | 5 |  | 0 | 3 |
|  | 9:00 AM1 |  | 1901 | 9.5 |  | 246 | 257 |  | 602 |  | 395 |  | 167 |  | 124 |  | 92 |  | 15 |  | 1 | 0 |
|  | 10:00 AMI |  | 18031 | 7.71 |  | 178 | 245 |  | 550 |  | 398 |  | 186 |  | 151 |  | 74 |  | 17 |  | 0 | 2 |
|  | 11:00 AM |  | 1888 | 6.71 |  | 209 | 251 |  | 574 |  | 420 |  | 212 |  | 112 |  | 100 |  | 7 |  | 0 | 1 |
|  | 12:00 PM |  | 1887 | 7.81 |  | 200 | 255 |  | 592 |  | 427 |  | 181 |  | 126 |  | 90 |  | 13 |  | 0 | 2 |
|  | 1:00 PM |  | 1995 | 6.21 |  | 221 | 249 |  | 670 |  | 444 |  | 189 |  | 125 |  | 83 |  | 10 |  | 0 | 2 |
|  | 2:00 PM1 |  | 2371 | 7.61 |  | 272 | 445 |  | 768 |  | 487 |  | 209 |  | 112 |  | 65 |  | 9 |  | 0 | 3 |
|  | 3:00 PMI |  | 2854 | 4.8 |  | 334 | 604 |  | 1073 |  | 528 |  | 143 |  | 97 |  | 64 |  | 7 |  | 0 | 1 |
|  | 4:00 PM |  | 2843 | 4.7 |  | 377 | 558 |  | 1064 |  | 535 |  | 152 |  | 72 |  | 65 |  | 16 |  | 1 | 0 |
|  | 5:00 PMI |  | 2815 | 4.1] |  | 362 | 571 |  | 1094 |  | 495 |  | 126 |  | 89 |  | 56 |  | 20 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6:00 PM |  | 2282 | 4.51 |  | 250 | 410 |  | 823 |  | 452 |  | 153 |  | 102 |  | 73 |  | 17 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7:00 PM1 |  | 1577 | 6.21 |  | 131 | 211 |  | 422 |  | 390 |  | 183 |  | 134 |  | 81 |  | 24 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8:00 PMI |  | 1202 I | 61 | I | 90 | 101 |  | 301 |  | 293 |  | 154 |  | 126 |  | 112 |  | 25 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9:00 PM ${ }^{1}$ |  | 1049 | 23.91 |  | 79 | 85 |  | 235 |  | 229 |  | 157 |  | 137 |  | 93 |  | 28 |  | 5 | 0 |
|  | 10:00 PMI |  | 6651 | 10.81 |  | 47 | 36 |  | 103 |  | 132 |  | 102 |  | 89 |  | 93 |  | 55 |  | 8 | 0 |
|  | 11:00 PM |  | 361 | 20 |  | 25 | 14 |  | 32 |  | 36 |  | 59 |  | 50 |  | 64 |  | 60 |  | 18 | 3 |
| Totals: |  | I | 35666\| |  | I | 4160 | 5302 |  | 11199 |  | 7106 |  | 3035 |  | 2238 |  | 1746 |  | 607 |  | 172 | 74 |

## MetroCount Traffic Executive <br> Separation Statistics by Hour

| SepStatHour-350 -- English (ENU) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Datasets: |  |
| Site: | 7. Federal Blvd (NB) S/O W 58th Ave |
| Attribute: |  |
| Direction: | 1 - North bound, A trigger first. Lane: 0 |
| Survey Duration: | 8:04 Tuesday, April 25, 2023 => 13:19 Friday, April 28, 2023 |
| Zone: |  |
| File: | BASIC7 |
| Identifier: | VZ428PQW MC5900-X13 (c)MetroCount 09Nov16 |
| Algorithm: | Factory default axle (v5.08) |
| Data type: | Axle sensors - Paired (Class/Speed/Count) |
| Profile: |  |
| Filter time: | 0:00 Thursday, April 27, 2023 => 0:00 Friday, April 28, 2023 (1) |
| Included classes: | 1, $2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13$ |
| Speed range: | 0-115 mph. |
| Direction: | North, East, South, West (bound), P = North, Lane = 0-16 |
| Separation: | Headway > 0 sec, Span 0-328 ft |
| Name: | Default Profile |
| Units: | Non metric (ft, mi, ft/s, mph, lb, ton) |
| In profile: | Vehicles $=17570 / 49056$ (35.82\%) |

## Separation Statistics by Hour

Site:
Description: MetroCount Factory Test Setup
Filter time: $\quad 0: 00$ Thursday, April 27, 2023 => 0:00 Friday, April 28, 2023
Filter: $\quad \operatorname{Cls}(1-13) \operatorname{Dir}(N E S W) \operatorname{Sp}(0,115)$ Headway(>0) Span(0-328) Lane(0-16)
Hour Bins


## LEVEL OF SERVICE CALCULATIONS EXISTING CONDITIONS



## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.
Unsignalized Delay for [NBR, EBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

[^6]Synchro 11 Light Report


## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

Unsignalized Delay for [WBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.
1 Existing AM Peak Hour CDOT Region 1 Signal Timings (Synchro provided) 11:50 am 05/05/2023



| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | 个性 |  | 7 | 个4ヶ |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 892 | 48 | 29 | 2167 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 892 | 48 | 29 | 2167 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 1002 | 48 | 33 | 2435 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 0 | 137 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 3823 | 183 | 521 | 4265 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 0 | 1870 | 0 | 1418 | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 5161 | 239 | 1781 | 5274 | 0 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 683 | 367 | 33 | 2435 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 0 | 1870 | 0 | 1418 | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 1702 | 1827 | 1781 | 1702 | 0 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.13 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 0 | 137 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 2607 | 1399 | 521 | 4265 | 0 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.06 | 0.57 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 0 | 421 | 0 | 379 | 0 | 357 | 0 | 2607 | 1399 | 709 | 4265 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.4 | 0.0 | 52.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ $(50 \%$ ），veh／ln | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.3 | 0.0 | 52.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | D | A | D | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 0 |  |  | 63 |  |  | 1050 |  |  | 2468 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 0.0 |  |  | 53.9 |  |  | 0.3 |  |  | 0.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 8.3 | 97.9 | 13.8 | 106.2 | 13.8 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 16.0 | 61.0 | 27.0 | 82.0 | 27.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 2.4 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 5.9 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 42.3 | 0.2 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 1.2

HCM 6th LOS
A

| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 种 |  |  | 椎中 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） 86 | 162 | 229 | 97 | 147 | 27 | 99 | 795 | 58 | 51 | 1966 | 132 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） 86 | 162 | 229 | 97 | 147 | 27 | 99 | 795 | 58 | 51 | 1966 | 132 |
| Initial Q $(\mathrm{Qb})$ ，veh 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） 0.99 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h 98 | 184 | 234 | 110 | 167 | 28 | 112 | 903 | 60 | 58 | 2234 | 139 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h 198 | 216 | 180 | 182 | 216 | 180 | 172 | 3022 | 200 | 456 | 2962 | 183 |
| Arrive On Green 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.03 | 0.60 | 0.60 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h 1781 | 1870 | 1564 | 1781 | 1870 | 1564 | 1781 | 4890 | 324 | 1781 | 4915 | 303 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h 98 | 184 | 234 | 110 | 167 | 28 | 112 | 628 | 335 | 58 | 1542 | 831 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln1781 | 1870 | 1564 | 1781 | 1870 | 1564 | 1781 | 1702 | 1810 | 1781 | 1702 | 1814 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s 5.8 | 11.6 | 13.8 | 6.5 | 10.4 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 39.5 | 40.3 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s 5.8 | 11.6 | 13.8 | 6.5 | 10.4 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 39.5 | 40.3 |
| Prop In Lane 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.18 | 1.00 |  | 0.17 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h 198 | 216 | 180 | 182 | 216 | 180 | 172 | 2104 | 1119 | 456 | 2051 | 1093 |
| V／C Ratio（X） 0.50 | 0.85 | 1.30 | 0.60 | 0.77 | 0.16 | 0.65 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.13 | 0.75 | 0.76 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h 198 | 234 | 196 | 182 | 234 | 196 | 218 | 2104 | 1119 | 530 | 2051 | 1093 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh 43.9 | 52.1 | 53.1 | 44.3 | 51.6 | 47.8 | 23.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 17.3 | 17.5 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh 0.7 | 22.2 | 168.6 | 4.0 | 12.2 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 5.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／Ir2． 6 | 6.8 | 13.8 | 3.1 | 5.6 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 14.4 | 16.4 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh 44.6 | 74.2 | 221.7 | 48.3 | 63.7 | 48.0 | 25.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 8.6 | 19.9 | 22.5 |
| LnGrp LOS D | E | F | D | E | D | C | A | A | A | B | C |
| Approach Vol，veh／h | 516 |  |  | 305 |  |  | 1075 |  |  | 2431 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh | 135.5 |  |  | 56.7 |  |  | 3.0 |  |  | 20.5 |  |
| Approach LOS | F |  |  | E |  |  | A |  |  | C |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s8．0 | 80.2 | 12.0 | 19.8 | 9.9 | 78.3 | 12.0 | 19.8 |  |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s 5．0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax\＆．0 | 68.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 68.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋I13，5 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 13.6 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 12.4 |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Intersection Summary
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 32.4

HCM 6th LOS C

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％＊ |  | 「 |  |  |  |  | 个中4 | F | ${ }^{7 *}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 569 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1253 | 190 | 69 | 1134 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 569 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1253 | 190 | 69 | 1134 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 0 | 1870 |  |  |  | 0 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 593 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 1305 | 0 | 72 | 1181 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |  |  |  | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 676 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2425 |  | 649 | 2533 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 3456 | 0 | 1585 |  |  |  | 0 | 5274 | 1585 | 3456 | 3647 | 0 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 593 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 1305 | 0 | 72 | 1181 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1728 | 0 | 1585 |  |  |  | 0 | 1702 | 1585 | 1728 | 1777 | 0 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 21.6 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 21.6 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 676 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2425 |  | 649 | 2533 | 0 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.88 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.54 |  | 0.11 | 0.47 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 893 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2425 |  | 649 | 2533 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 46.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 30.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 7.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 9.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 54.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 23.1 | 0.0 | 31.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | A |  |  |  |  | A | C |  | C | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 593 |  |  |  |  |  | 1305 |  |  | 1253 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 54.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 23.1 |  |  | 2.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 28.5 | 63.0 | 28.5 | 91.5 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 6.0 | ${ }^{*} 6$ | 5.0 | 6.0 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 16.0 | ${ }^{*} 57$ | 31.0 | 78.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 3.6 | 0.0 | 22.0 | 0.0 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |

## Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 20.7 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | C |

## Notes

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［NBR，EBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．


## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

Unsignalized Delay for [WBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.
2 Existing PM Peak Hour CDOT Region 1 signal timing (synchro provided) 11:59 pm 05/05/2020 PM Existing
Synchro 11 Light Report
JDM (CDOT), CAM (CivTrans Engineering)



| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | \＄ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  | 个中朗 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 个种 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 1 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 1826 | 19 | 13 | 1394 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 1 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 1826 | 19 | 13 | 1394 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | ， | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 2007 | 19 | 14 | 1532 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 133 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 4043 | 38 | 250 | 4250 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.03 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 957 | 0 | 0 | 1533 | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 5385 | 49 | 1781 | 5274 | 0 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 1 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 1309 | 717 | 14 | 1532 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 957 | 0 | 0 | 1533 | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 1702 | 1861 | 1781 | 1702 | 0 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.03 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 133 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 2639 | 1443 | 250 | 4250 | 0 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.06 | 0.36 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 339 | 0 | 0 | 388 | 0 | 357 | 0 | 2639 | 1443 | 460 | 4250 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 53.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.5 | 0.0 | 52.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 53.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.3 | 0.0 | 53.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | A | A | D | A | D | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 1 |  |  | 72 |  |  | 2026 |  |  | 1546 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 53.9 |  |  | 53.2 |  |  | 0.9 |  |  | 0.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 6.9 | 99.0 | 14.1 | 105.9 | 14.1 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 16.0 | 61.0 | 27.0 | 82.0 | 27.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 2.2 | 2.0 | 4.9 | 2.0 | 4.8 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 23.8 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 0.2 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 1.6
HCM 6th LOS
A

| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | F | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 虾 |  |  | 虾 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） 175 | 159 | 119 | 94 | 209 | 75 | 169 | 1595 | 73 | 42 | 1130 | 127 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） 175 | 159 | 119 | 94 | 209 | 75 | 169 | 1595 | 73 | 42 | 1130 | 127 |
| Initial Q（Qb），veh 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h 180 | 164 | 98 | 97 | 215 | 67 | 174 | 1644 | 70 | 43 | 1165 | 121 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h 212 | 269 | 228 | 238 | 244 | 206 | 338 | 2966 | 126 | 208 | 2598 | 270 |
| Arrive On Green 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.02 | 0.55 | 0.55 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 5021 | 214 | 1781 | 4696 | 488 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h 180 | 164 | 98 | 97 | 215 | 67 | 174 | 1114 | 600 | 43 | 844 | 442 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1702 | 1831 | 1781 | 1702 | 1780 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s 9.0 | 9.9 | 6.8 | 5.6 | 13.6 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 23.9 | 23.9 | 1.3 | 17.7 | 17.7 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s 9.0 | 9.9 | 6.8 | 5.6 | 13.6 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 23.9 | 23.9 | 1.3 | 17.7 | 17.7 |
| Prop In Lane 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.12 | 1.00 |  | 0.27 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h 212 | 269 | 228 | 238 | 244 | 206 | 338 | 2011 | 1081 | 208 | 1883 | 985 |
| V／C Ratio（X） 0.85 | 0.61 | 0.43 | 0.41 | 0.88 | 0.32 | 0.51 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.21 | 0.45 | 0.45 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h 212 | 269 | 228 | 485 | 296 | 251 | 516 | 2011 | 1081 | 320 | 1883 | 985 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（I）$\quad 1.00$ | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh 45.0 | 48.2 | 46.9 | 41.8 | 51.3 | 47.4 | 12.0 | 14.9 | 14.9 | 13.0 | 15.9 | 15.9 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh 24.8 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 20.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 1.5 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／Ir2． 5 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 7.6 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 8.6 | 9.5 | 0.5 | 6.6 | 7.1 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh 69.7 | 51.1 | 47.4 | 42.2 | 71.3 | 47.7 | 12.4 | 15.9 | 16.7 | 13.2 | 16.7 | 17.4 |
| LnGrp LOS E | D | D | D | E | D | B | B | B | B | B | B |
| Approach Vol，veh／h | 442 |  |  | 379 |  |  | 1888 |  |  | 1329 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh | 57.9 |  |  | 59.7 |  |  | 15.8 |  |  | 16.8 |  |
| Approach LOS | E |  |  | E |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s7．5 | 76.9 | 12.4 | 23.3 | 12.0 | 72.4 | 14.0 | 21.6 |  |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s 5．0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Max Green Setting（GmaxQ．． | 60.0 | 24.0 | 4.0 | 19.0 | 51.0 | 9.0 | 19.0 |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋113，3 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 11.9 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 15.6 |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 24.9

HCM 6th LOS C

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．

LEVEL OF SERVICE CALCULATIONS
YEAR 2026 CONDITIONS WITHOUT PROJECT

|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1+1}$ |  | 「 |  |  |  |  | 个个中 | 「 | \％${ }^{1 / 1}$ | 州 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 253 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 707 | 153 | 119 | 1679 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 253 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 707 | 153 | 119 | 1679 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 0 | 1870 |  |  |  | 0 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 269 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 752 | 0 | 127 | 1786 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |  |  |  | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 339 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3657 |  | 182 | 2880 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.72 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 3456 | 0 | 1585 |  |  |  | 0 | 5274 | 1585 | 3456 | 3647 | 0 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 269 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 752 | 0 | 127 | 1786 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1728 | 0 | 1585 |  |  |  | 0 | 1702 | 1585 | 1728 | 1777 | 0 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 339 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3657 |  | 182 | 2880 | 0 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.79 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.21 |  | 0.70 | 0.62 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 634 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3657 |  | 634 | 2880 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 52.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 52.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 56.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 54.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | E | A |  |  |  |  | A | A |  | D | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 269 |  |  |  |  |  | 752 |  |  | 1913 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 56.1 |  |  |  |  |  | 5.8 |  |  | 4.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 11.3 | 91.9 |  | 16.8 |  | 103.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 5.0 | 6.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 22.0 | 60.0 |  | 22.0 |  | 87.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋1），s | 6.3 | 0.0 |  | 11.1 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.1 | 0.0 |  | 0.6 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 9.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．
Unsignalized Delay for［NBR，EBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．

| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  |  | ${ }^{4} 1$ |  | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 44 |  |  | 444 | F |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) 0 | 0 | 0 | 169 | 0 | 67 | 40 | 910 | 0 | 0 | 1636 | 670 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) 0 | 0 | 0 | 169 | 0 | 67 | 40 | 910 | 0 | 0 | 1636 | 670 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln |  |  | 1870 | 0 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 | 0 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h |  |  | 182 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 978 | 0 | 0 | 1759 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor |  |  | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% |  |  | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h |  |  | 249 | 0 |  | 601 | 2972 | 0 | 0 | 2893 |  |
| Arrive On Green |  |  | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h |  |  | 3456 | 0 | 1585 | 1781 | 3647 | 0 | 0 | 5274 | 1585 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h |  |  | 182 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 978 | 0 | 0 | 1759 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln |  |  | 1728 | 0 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 0 | 0 | 1702 | 1585 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s |  |  | 6.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s |  |  | 6.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h |  |  | 249 | 0 |  | 601 | 2972 | 0 | 0 | 2893 |  |
| V/C Ratio(X) |  |  | 0.73 | 0.00 |  | 0.07 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.61 |  |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h |  |  | 749 | 0 |  | 601 | 2972 | 0 | 0 | 2893 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) |  |  | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh |  |  | 54.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh |  |  | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/In |  |  | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh |  |  | 57.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS |  |  | E | A |  | A | A | A | A | A |  |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  |  |  | 182 |  |  | 1021 |  |  | 1759 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  |  |  | 57.6 |  |  | 0.4 |  |  | 1.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  | E |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs | 2 |  |  | 5 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 106.4 |  |  | 32.4 | 74.0 |  | 13.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | * 6 |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 83.0 |  |  | 10.0 | * 68 |  | 26.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_ctl1), s | 0.0 |  |  | 2.0 | 0.0 |  | 8.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 4.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

Unsignalized Delay for [WBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.



| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | 个性 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 帆 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 911 | 49 | 30 | 2216 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 911 | 49 | 30 | 2216 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 1024 | 49 | 34 | 2490 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 0 | 137 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 3819 | 183 | 513 | 4263 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 0 | 1870 | 0 | 1418 | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 5161 | 239 | 1781 | 5274 | 0 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 698 | 375 | 34 | 2490 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 0 | 1870 | 0 | 1418 | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 1702 | 1827 | 1781 | 1702 | 0 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.13 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 0 | 137 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 2604 | 1398 | 513 | 4263 | 0 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.07 | 0.58 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 0 | 421 | 0 | 379 | 0 | 357 | 0 | 2604 | 1398 | 700 | 4263 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.4 | 0.0 | 52.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ $(50 \%$ ），veh／ln | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.4 | 0.0 | 52.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | D | A | D | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 0 |  |  | 64 |  |  | 1073 |  |  | 2524 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 0.0 |  |  | 53.9 |  |  | 0.3 |  |  | 0.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 8.4 | 97.8 | 13.8 | 106.2 | 13.8 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 16.0 | 61.0 | 27.0 | 82.0 | 27.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 2.4 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 44.3 | 0.2 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 1.2

HCM 6th LOS

| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 虾 |  |  | 虾 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） 88 | 166 | 234 | 99 | 150 | 28 | 101 | 812 | 59 | 52 | 2011 | 135 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） 88 | 166 | 234 | 99 | 150 | 28 | 101 | 812 | 59 | 52 | 2011 | 135 |
| Initial Q（Qb），veh 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） 0.99 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 |
| Parking Bus，Adj 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h 100 | 189 | 240 | 112 | 170 | 29 | 115 | 923 | 61 | 59 | 2285 | 142 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h 198 | 220 | 184 | 181 | 220 | 184 | 168 | 3010 | 198 | 448 | 2946 | 181 |
| Arrive On Green 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.03 | 0.60 | 0.60 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h 1781 | 1870 | 1565 | 1781 | 1870 | 1565 | 1781 | 4892 | 323 | 1781 | 4915 | 303 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h 100 | 189 | 240 | 112 | 170 | 29 | 115 | 642 | 342 | 59 | 1576 | 851 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln1781 | 1870 | 1565 | 1781 | 1870 | 1565 | 1781 | 1702 | 1810 | 1781 | 1702 | 1814 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s 5.9 | 11.9 | 14.1 | 6.6 | 10.6 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 41.4 | 42.5 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s 5.9 | 11.9 | 14.1 | 6.6 | 10.6 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 41.4 | 42.5 |
| Prop In Lane 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.18 | 1.00 |  | 0.17 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h 198 | 220 | 184 | 181 | 220 | 184 | 168 | 2095 | 1114 | 448 | 2040 | 1087 |
| V／C Ratio（X） 0.50 | 0.86 | 1.31 | 0.62 | 0.77 | 0.16 | 0.68 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.13 | 0.77 | 0.78 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h 198 | 234 | 196 | 181 | 234 | 196 | 213 | 2095 | 1114 | 521 | 2040 | 1087 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（I）$\quad 1.00$ | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh 43.7 | 52.0 | 53.0 | 44.2 | 51.4 | 47.6 | 24.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 17.9 | 18.1 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh 0.8 | 23.7 | 171.1 | 4.6 | 12.4 | 0.1 | 3.3 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 5.6 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／IR2． 6 | 7.0 | 14.2 | 3.1 | 5.7 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 15.2 | 17.4 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh 44.5 | 75.7 | 224.1 | 48.8 | 63.8 | 47.8 | 27.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 8.8 | 20.8 | 23.8 |
| LnGrp LOS D | E | F | D | E | D | C | A | A | A | C | C |
| Approach Vol，veh／h | 529 |  |  | 311 |  |  | 1099 |  |  | 2486 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh | 137.1 |  |  | 56.9 |  |  | 3.3 |  |  | 21.6 |  |
| Approach LOS | F |  |  | E |  |  | A |  |  | C |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s8．1 | 79.8 | 12.0 | 20.1 | 10.0 | 77.9 | 12.0 | 20.1 |  |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s 5．0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax\＆．8 | 68.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 68.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋I13，5 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 13.9 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 12.6 |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Intersection Summary
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 33.3

HCM 6th LOS C

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | \% | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\cdots$ |  | F |  |  |  |  | 4坐 | 「 | ${ }^{7} 1$ | 44 |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 581 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1280 | 194 | 71 | 1158 | 0 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 581 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1280 | 194 | 71 | 1158 | 0 |
| Initial Q $(\mathrm{Qb})$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1870 | 0 | 1870 |  |  |  | 0 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 605 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 1333 | 0 | 74 | 1206 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |  |  |  | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 688 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2425 |  | 637 | 2521 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 3456 | 0 | 1585 |  |  |  | 0 | 5274 | 1585 | 3456 | 3647 | 0 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 605 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 1333 | 0 | 74 | 1206 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln | 1728 | 0 | 1585 |  |  |  | 0 | 1702 | 1585 | 1728 | 1777 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 20.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 22.3 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 20.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 22.3 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 688 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2425 |  | 637 | 2521 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.88 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.55 |  | 0.12 | 0.48 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 893 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2425 |  | 637 | 2521 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 46.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 22.4 | 0.0 | 31.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 9.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 54.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 23.3 | 0.0 | 31.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | A |  |  |  |  | A | C |  | C | A | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 605 |  |  |  |  |  | 1333 |  |  | 1280 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 54.4 |  |  |  |  |  | 23.3 |  |  | 2.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 28.1 | 63.0 |  | 28.9 |  | 91.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 6.0 | * 6 |  | 5.0 |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 16.0 | * 57 |  | 31.0 |  | 78.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+l1), s | 3.7 | 0.0 |  | 22.4 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.1 | 0.0 |  | 1.5 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 20.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

Unsignalized Delay for [NBR, EBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.


## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

Unsignalized Delay for [WBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay，s／veh | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | 个性 |  | \％ | 个蚔 |  |  |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 3 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1883 | 0 | 0 | 1455 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 3 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1883 | 0 | 0 | 1455 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | None |  |
| Storage Length | － | － | － | － | － | － | 160 | － | － | 100 | － | － |  |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | \＃ | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |  |
| Grade，\％ | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 3 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2047 | 0 | 0 | 1582 | 0 |  |



| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | \＄ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  | 个中的 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4中 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 1 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 1862 | 19 | 13 | 1424 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 1 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 1862 | 19 | 13 | 1424 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | ， | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 2046 | 19 | 14 | 1565 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 133 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 4041 | 38 | 244 | 4247 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.03 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 949 | 0 | 0 | 1535 | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 5386 | 48 | 1781 | 5274 | 0 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 1 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 1335 | 730 | 14 | 1565 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 949 | 0 | 0 | 1535 | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 1702 | 1862 | 1781 | 1702 | 0 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.03 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 133 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 2637 | 1442 | 244 | 4247 | 0 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.06 | 0.37 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 338 | 0 | 0 | 388 | 0 | 357 | 0 | 2637 | 1442 | 454 | 4247 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 53.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.5 | 0.0 | 52.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 53.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.2 | 0.0 | 53.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | A | A | D | A | D | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 1 |  |  | 74 |  |  | 2065 |  |  | 1579 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 53.9 |  |  | 53.2 |  |  | 0.9 |  |  | 0.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 6.9 | 99.0 | 14.2 | 105.8 | 14.2 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 16.0 | 61.0 | 27.0 | 82.0 | 27.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 2.2 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 2.0 | 4.9 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 24.7 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.2 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 1.7
HCM 6th LOS

| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 种 |  |  | 皐 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） 179 | 163 | 122 | 96 | 214 | 77 | 173 | 1626 | 75 | 43 | 1154 | 130 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） 179 | 163 | 122 | 96 | 214 | 77 | 173 | 1626 | 75 | 43 | 1154 | 130 |
| Initial Q $(Q b)$ ，veh 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h 185 | 168 | 101 | 99 | 221 | 69 | 178 | 1676 | 72 | 44 | 1190 | 124 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h 212 | 273 | 231 | 240 | 249 | 211 | 332 | 2946 | 127 | 202 | 2576 | 268 |
| Arrive On Green 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.02 | 0.55 | 0.55 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 5019 | 215 | 1781 | 4695 | 489 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h 185 | 168 | 101 | 99 | 221 | 69 | 178 | 1136 | 612 | 44 | 863 | 451 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1702 | 1830 | 1781 | 1702 | 1780 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s 9.0 | 10.1 | 7.0 | 5.7 | 13.9 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 24.8 | 24.9 | 1.3 | 18.4 | 18.4 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s 9.0 | 10.1 | 7.0 | 5.7 | 13.9 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 24.8 | 24.9 | 1.3 | 18.4 | 18.4 |
| Prop In Lane 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.12 | 1.00 |  | 0.27 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h 212 | 273 | 231 | 240 | 249 | 211 | 332 | 1998 | 1075 | 202 | 1868 | 976 |
| V／C Ratio（X） 0.87 | 0.62 | 0.44 | 0.41 | 0.89 | 0.33 | 0.54 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.22 | 0.46 | 0.46 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h 212 | 273 | 231 | 485 | 296 | 251 | 507 | 1998 | 1075 | 313 | 1868 | 976 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh 45.1 | 48.1 | 46.7 | 41.4 | 51.1 | 47.1 | 12.4 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 13.4 | 16.4 | 16.4 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh 29.1 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 21.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 1.6 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／Ir2． 9 | 4.9 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 7.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 9.0 | 9.9 | 0.5 | 6.8 | 7.4 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh 74.2 | 51.1 | 47.2 | 41.9 | 72.3 | 47.4 | 12.9 | 16.4 | 17.2 | 13.6 | 17.2 | 17.9 |
| LnGrp LOS E | D | D | D | E | D | B | B | B | B | B | B |
| Approach Vol，veh／h | 454 |  |  | 389 |  |  | 1926 |  |  | 1358 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh | 59.7 |  |  | 60.1 |  |  | 16.3 |  |  | 17.3 |  |
| Approach LOS | E |  |  | E |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s7．6 | 76.4 | 12.5 | 23.5 | 12.2 | 71.8 | 14.0 | 22.0 |  |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s 5．0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Max Green Setting（GmaxQ． | 60.0 | 24.0 | 4.0 | 19.0 | 51.0 | 9.0 | 19.0 |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋113，3 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 12.1 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 15.9 |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

Intersection Summary
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 25.6

HCM 6th LOS
C

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．

# LEVEL OF SERVICE CALCULATIONS YEAR 2026 CONDITIONS WITH PROJECT 

|  | $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％${ }^{1+1}$ |  | \％ |  |  |  |  | 个种 | 「 | \％${ }^{1 / 1}$ | 性 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 277 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 735 | 153 | 121 | 1683 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 277 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 735 | 153 | 121 | 1683 | 0 |
| Initial Q（Qb），veh | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 0 | 1870 |  |  |  | 0 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 295 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 782 | 0 | 129 | 1790 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |  |  |  | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 365 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3614 |  | 184 | 2852 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 3456 | 0 | 1585 |  |  |  | 0 | 5274 | 1585 | 3456 | 3647 | 0 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 295 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 782 | 0 | 129 | 1790 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1728 | 0 | 1585 |  |  |  | 0 | 1702 | 1585 | 1728 | 1777 | 0 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 365 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3614 |  | 184 | 2852 | 0 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.81 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.22 |  | 0.70 | 0.63 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 634 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3614 |  | 634 | 2852 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 52.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 52.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 55.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 54.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | E | A |  |  |  |  | A | A |  | D | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 295 |  |  |  |  |  | 782 |  |  | 1919 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 55.7 |  |  |  |  |  | 6.2 |  |  | 4.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），$s$ | 11.4 | 90.9 |  | 17.7 |  | 102.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $Y+R \mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 5.0 | 6.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 22.0 | 60.0 |  | 22.0 |  | 87.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿ct11），s | 6.3 | 0.0 |  | 12.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.1 | 0.0 |  | 0.7 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrr DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．
Unsignalized Delay for［NBR，EBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．


## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

Unsignalized Delay for [WBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.
5 Short-term BUILD AM Peak Hour 12:36 pm 05/05/2023



| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | 个性 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 个ヶ4 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 915 | 49 | 30 | 2244 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 915 | 49 | 30 | 2244 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 1028 | 49 | 34 | 2521 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 0 | 137 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 3820 | 182 | 511 | 4263 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 0 | 1870 | 0 | 1418 | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 5162 | 238 | 1781 | 5274 | 0 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 700 | 377 | 34 | 2521 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 0 | 1870 | 0 | 1418 | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 1702 | 1828 | 1781 | 1702 | 0 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.13 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 0 | 137 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 2604 | 1398 | 511 | 4263 | 0 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.07 | 0.59 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 0 | 421 | 0 | 379 | 0 | 357 | 0 | 2604 | 1398 | 698 | 4263 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.4 | 0.0 | 52.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ $(50 \%$ ），veh／ln | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.4 | 0.0 | 52.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | D | A | D | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 0 |  |  | 64 |  |  | 1077 |  |  | 2555 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 0.0 |  |  | 53.9 |  |  | 0.3 |  |  | 0.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 8.4 | 97.8 | 13.8 | 106.2 | 13.8 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 16.0 | 61.0 | 27.0 | 82.0 | 27.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 2.4 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 45.4 | 0.2 |

## Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 1.2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | A |


| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 虾 |  |  | 虾 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） 88 | 166 | 238 | 100 | 150 | 28 | 101 | 815 | 60 | 52 | 2034 | 135 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） 88 | 166 | 238 | 100 | 150 | 28 | 101 | 815 | 60 | 52 | 2034 | 135 |
| Initial Q（Qb），veh 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） 0.99 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 |
| Parking Bus，Adj 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h 100 | 189 | 244 | 114 | 170 | 29 | 115 | 926 | 62 | 59 | 2311 | 142 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h 198 | 220 | 184 | 181 | 220 | 184 | 167 | 3008 | 201 | 446 | 2949 | 179 |
| Arrive On Green 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.03 | 0.60 | 0.60 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h 1781 | 1870 | 1565 | 1781 | 1870 | 1565 | 1781 | 4887 | 326 | 1781 | 4919 | 299 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h 100 | 189 | 244 | 114 | 170 | 29 | 115 | 644 | 344 | 59 | 1592 | 861 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln1781 | 1870 | 1565 | 1781 | 1870 | 1565 | 1781 | 1702 | 1810 | 1781 | 1702 | 1815 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s 5.9 | 11.9 | 14.1 | 6.8 | 10.6 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 42.2 | 43.4 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s 5.9 | 11.9 | 14.1 | 6.8 | 10.6 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 42.2 | 43.4 |
| Prop In Lane 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.18 | 1.00 |  | 0.16 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h 198 | 220 | 184 | 181 | 220 | 184 | 167 | 2095 | 1114 | 446 | 2040 | 1088 |
| V／C Ratio（X） 0.50 | 0.86 | 1.33 | 0.63 | 0.77 | 0.16 | 0.69 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.13 | 0.78 | 0.79 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h 198 | 234 | 196 | 181 | 234 | 196 | 211 | 2095 | 1114 | 520 | 2040 | 1088 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（I）$\quad 1.00$ | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh 43.7 | 52.0 | 53.0 | 44.2 | 51.4 | 47.6 | 24.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 18.1 | 18.3 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh 0.8 | 23.7 | 179.8 | 5.2 | 12.4 | 0.1 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 5.9 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／IR2． 6 | 7.0 | 14.7 | 3.2 | 5.7 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 15.5 | 17.8 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh 44.5 | 75.7 | 232.8 | 49.4 | 63.8 | 47.8 | 28.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 8.8 | 21.1 | 24.2 |
| LnGrp LOS D | E | F | D | E | D | C | A | A | A | C | C |
| Approach Vol，veh／h | 533 |  |  | 313 |  |  | 1103 |  |  | 2512 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh | 141.7 |  |  | 57.1 |  |  | 3.4 |  |  | 21.9 |  |
| Approach LOS | F |  |  | E |  |  | A |  |  | C |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s8．1 | 79.8 | 12.0 | 20.1 | 10.0 | 77.9 | 12.0 | 20.1 |  |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s 5．0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax\＆．8 | 68.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 68.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋I13，5 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 13.9 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 12.6 |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 34.1 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | C |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．

|  | $\stackrel{*}{ }$ | － | $\geqslant$ | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | 7 | ＊ | $\ddagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 71 |  | 「 |  |  |  |  | 性4 | 「＇ | ${ }^{1 *}$ | 中4 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 584 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1284 | 194 | 83 | 1182 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 584 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1284 | 194 | 83 | 1182 | 0 |
| Initial Q $(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 0 | 1870 |  |  |  | 0 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 608 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 1338 | 0 | 86 | 1231 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |  |  |  | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 691 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2425 |  | 634 | 2518 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 3456 | 0 | 1585 |  |  |  | 0 | 5274 | 1585 | 3456 | 3647 | 0 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 608 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 1338 | 0 | 86 | 1231 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1728 | 0 | 1585 |  |  |  | 0 | 1702 | 1585 | 1728 | 1777 | 0 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 20.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 22.4 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 20.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 22.4 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 691 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2425 |  | 634 | 2518 | 0 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.88 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.55 |  | 0.14 | 0.49 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 893 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2425 |  | 634 | 2518 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 46.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 22.4 | 0.0 | 31.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 7.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 9.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 54.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 23.3 | 0.0 | 31.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | A |  |  |  |  | A | C |  | C | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 608 |  |  |  |  |  | 1338 |  |  | 1317 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 54.4 |  |  |  |  |  | 23.3 |  |  | 2.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 28.0 | 63.0 |  | 29.0 |  | 91.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ）， s | 6.0 | ＊ 6 |  | 5.0 |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 16.0 | ＊ 57 |  | 31.0 |  | 78.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 4.0 | 0.0 |  | 22.5 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.1 | 0.0 |  | 1.5 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 20.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［NBR，EBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．

| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  |  | ${ }^{*} 1$ |  | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 |  |  | 444 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) 0 | 0 | 0 | 184 | 0 | 110 | 83 | 1778 | 0 | 0 | 1066 | 445 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) 0 | 0 | 0 | 184 | 0 | 110 | 83 | 1778 | 0 | 0 | 1066 | 445 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln |  |  | 1870 | 0 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 | 0 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h |  |  | 198 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 1912 | 0 | 0 | 1146 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor |  |  | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% |  |  | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h |  |  | 267 | 0 |  | 755 | 2953 | 0 | 0 | 2723 |  |
| Arrive On Green |  |  | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h |  |  | 3456 | 0 | 1585 | 1781 | 3647 | 0 | 0 | 5274 | 1585 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h |  |  | 198 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 1912 | 0 | 0 | 1146 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln |  |  | 1728 | 0 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 0 | 0 | 1702 | 1585 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s |  |  | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s |  |  | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h |  |  | 267 | 0 |  | 755 | 2953 | 0 | 0 | 2723 |  |
| V/C Ratio(X) |  |  | 0.74 | 0.00 |  | 0.12 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 |  |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h |  |  | 864 | 0 |  | 755 | 2953 | 0 | 0 | 2723 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) |  |  | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh |  |  | 54.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh |  |  | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/In |  |  | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh |  |  | 57.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS |  |  | E | A |  | A | A | A | A | A |  |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  |  |  | 198 |  |  | 2001 |  |  | 1146 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  |  |  | 57.2 |  |  | 0.9 |  |  | 0.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  | E |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs | 2 |  |  | 5 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 105.7 |  |  | 35.7 | 70.0 |  | 14.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | * 6 |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 79.0 |  |  | 10.0 | * 64 |  | 30.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 0.0 |  |  | 2.0 | 0.0 |  | 8.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

Unsignalized Delay for [WBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.
6 Short-term BUILD PM Peak Hour 12:40 pm 05/05/2023
CAM (CivTrans Engineering)



| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 153.6 | $\$ 1419.8$ | 0 | 0.1 |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR EBLn1WBLn1 | SBL | SBT |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 203 | - | - | 36 | 25 | 117 |

## Notes

$\sim:$ Volume exceeds capacity $\$$ : Delay exceeds $300 \mathrm{~s} \quad+:$ Computation Not Defined $\quad$ : All major volume in platoon

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | \＄ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  | 个中的 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4中 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 1 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 1886 | 19 | 13 | 1428 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 1 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 1886 | 19 | 13 | 1428 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | ， | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 2073 | 19 | 14 | 1569 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 133 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 4042 | 37 | 240 | 4247 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.03 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 949 | 0 | 0 | 1535 | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 5386 | 48 | 1781 | 5274 | 0 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 1 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 1352 | 740 | 14 | 1569 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 949 | 0 | 0 | 1535 | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 1702 | 1862 | 1781 | 1702 | 0 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.03 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 133 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 2637 | 1442 | 240 | 4247 | 0 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.06 | 0.37 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 338 | 0 | 0 | 388 | 0 | 357 | 0 | 2637 | 1442 | 450 | 4247 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 53.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.5 | 0.0 | 52.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 53.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.2 | 0.0 | 53.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | A | A | D | A | D | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 1 |  |  | 74 |  |  | 2092 |  |  | 1583 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 53.9 |  |  | 53.2 |  |  | 0.9 |  |  | 0.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 6.9 | 99.0 | 14.2 | 105.8 | 14.2 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 16.0 | 61.0 | 27.0 | 82.0 | 27.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 2.2 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 2.0 | 4.9 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 25.3 | 0.0 | 16.8 | 0.2 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 1.7

HCM 6th LOS

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 虾 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 虾 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 179 | 163 | 123 | 96 | 214 | 77 | 177 | 1645 | 76 | 43 | 1157 | 130 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 179 | 163 | 123 | 96 | 214 | 77 | 177 | 1645 | 76 | 43 | 1157 | 130 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 185 | 168 | 102 | 99 | 221 | 69 | 182 | 1696 | 73 | 44 | 1193 | 124 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 212 | 273 | 231 | 239 | 249 | 211 | 332 | 2946 | 127 | 199 | 2572 | 267 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.02 | 0.55 | 0.55 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 5019 | 216 | 1781 | 4696 | 488 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 185 | 168 | 102 | 99 | 221 | 69 | 182 | 1150 | 619 | 44 | 865 | 452 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1702 | 1830 | 1781 | 1702 | 1780 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 9.0 | 10.1 | 7.0 | 5.7 | 13.9 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 25.3 | 25.3 | 1.3 | 18.5 | 18.5 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 9.0 | 10.1 | 7.0 | 5.7 | 13.9 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 25.3 | 25.3 | 1.3 | 18.5 | 18.5 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.12 | 1.00 |  | 0.27 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 212 | 273 | 231 | 239 | 249 | 211 | 332 | 1998 | 1075 | 199 | 1864 | 975 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.87 | 0.62 | 0.44 | 0.41 | 0.89 | 0.33 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.22 | 0.46 | 0.46 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 212 | 273 | 231 | 485 | 296 | 251 | 506 | 1998 | 1075 | 310 | 1864 | 975 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（I） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 45.1 | 48.1 | 46.8 | 41.4 | 51.1 | 47.1 | 12.5 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 13.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 29.1 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 21.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 1.6 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh | ／／12． 9 | 4.9 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 7.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 9.1 | 10.1 | 0.5 | 6.9 | 7.4 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 74.2 | 51.1 | 47.3 | 41.9 | 72.3 | 47.4 | 13.0 | 16.5 | 17.4 | 13.7 | 17.3 | 18.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | E | D | D | D | E | D | B | B | B | B | B | B |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 455 |  |  | 389 |  |  | 1951 |  |  | 1361 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 59.6 |  |  | 60.1 |  |  | 16.5 |  |  | 17.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s7．6 | 76.4 | 12.5 | 23.5 | 12.3 | 71.7 | 14.0 | 22.0 |  |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s 5．0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmaxl．． | 60.0 | 24.0 | 4.0 | 19.0 | 51.0 | 9.0 | 19.0 |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋113，3 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 12.1 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 15.9 |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

Intersection Summary
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 25.6

HCM 6th LOS
C

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．

LEVEL OF SERVICE CALCULATIONS
YEAR 2045 CONDITIONS WITHOUT PROJECT


## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.
Unsignalized Delay for [NBR, EBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.


## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

Unsignalized Delay for [WBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.



| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | \＄ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | 楽 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4乐4 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 1050 | 57 | 34 | 2554 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 1050 | 57 | 34 | 2554 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 1180 | 58 | 38 | 2870 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 0 | 143 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 3790 | 186 | 455 | 4247 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 0 | 1870 | 0 | 1418 | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 5154 | 245 | 1781 | 5274 | 0 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 806 | 432 | 38 | 2870 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 0 | 1870 | 0 | 1418 | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 1702 | 1826 | 1781 | 1702 | 0 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.13 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 0 | 143 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 2588 | 1388 | 455 | 4247 | 0 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.08 | 0.68 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 0 | 421 | 0 | 379 | 0 | 357 | 0 | 2588 | 1388 | 639 | 4247 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.3 | 0.0 | 51.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.5 | 0.0 | 52.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | D | A | D | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 0 |  |  | 75 |  |  | 1238 |  |  | 2908 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 0.0 |  |  | 54.0 |  |  | 0.4 |  |  | 0.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 8.6 | 97.2 | 14.2 | 105.8 | 14.2 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 16.0 | 61.0 | 27.0 | 82.0 | 27.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 2.5 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.6 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 57.4 | 0.3 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 1.2

HCM 6th LOS
A


## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

|  | $\Rightarrow$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $t$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％${ }^{1+1}$ |  | 「 |  |  |  |  | 个个4 | 「 | \％${ }^{1+1}$ | 个个 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 669 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1476 | 224 | 81 | 1334 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 669 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1476 | 224 | 81 | 1334 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 0 | 1870 |  |  |  | 0 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 697 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 1538 | 0 | 84 | 1390 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |  |  |  | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 773 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2425 |  | 551 | 2433 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 3456 | 0 | 1585 |  |  |  | 0 | 5274 | 1585 | 3456 | 3647 | 0 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 697 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 1538 | 0 | 84 | 1390 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1728 | 0 | 1585 |  |  |  | 0 | 1702 | 1585 | 1728 | 1777 | 0 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 23.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 27.2 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 23.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 27.2 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 773 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2425 |  | 551 | 2433 | 0 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.90 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.63 |  | 0.15 | 0.57 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 893 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2425 |  | 551 | 2433 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 45.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 23.7 | 0.0 | 35.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（ $50 \%$ ），veh／In | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 56.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 24.9 | 0.0 | 35.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | E | A |  |  |  |  | A | C |  | D | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 697 |  |  |  |  |  | 1538 |  |  | 1474 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 56.1 |  |  |  |  |  | 24.9 |  |  | 2.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 25.1 | 63.0 |  | 31.9 |  | 88.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $Y+R \mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 6.0 | ＊ 6 |  | 5.0 |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 16.0 | ＊ 57 |  | 31.0 |  | 78.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋1），s | 4.1 | 0.0 |  | 25.5 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.1 | 0.0 |  | 1.3 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 22.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［NBR，EBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．


## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

Unsignalized Delay for [WBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢4中 |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 率 |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 4 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2170 | 0 | 0 | 1677 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 4 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2170 | 0 | 0 | 1677 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | 160 | - | - | 100 | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 4 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2359 | 0 | 0 | 1823 | 0 |  |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |  | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 2769 | 4184 | 912 | 3091 | 4184 | 1180 | 1823 | 0 | - | 2359 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 1823 | 1823 | - | 2361 | 2361 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 946 | 2361 | - | 730 | 1823 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.44 | 6.54 | 7.14 | 6.44 | 6.54 | 7.14 | 5.34 | - |  | 5.34 | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 7.34 | 5.54 | - | 7.34 | 5.54 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.74 | 5.54 | - | 6.74 | 5.54 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.82 | 4.02 | 3.92 | 3.82 | 4.02 | 3.92 | 3.12 | - | - | 3.12 | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 21 | 2 | 237 | 13 | 2 | 157 | 154 | - | 0 | 82 | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 52 | 127 | - | 21 | 67 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Stage 2 | 254 | 67 | - | 345 | 127 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 21 | 2 | 237 | 7 | 2 | 157 | 154 | - | - | 82 | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 21 | 2 | - | 7 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 52 | 127 | - | 21 | 67 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 252 | 67 | - | 328 | 127 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s\$ | \$ 414.8 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | F |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvm |  | NBL | NBT | EBLn1 | VBLn1 | SBL | SBT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 154 | - | 19 | - | 82 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.007 |  | 0.801 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 28.5 |  | 414.8 | 0 | 0 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | D | - | F | A | A | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | 2.2 | - | 0 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sim:$ Volume exceeds capacity |  | \$: Delay exceeds 300s |  |  |  | +: Computation Not Defined |  |  |  | *: All major volume in platoon |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 4 | 9 | $p$ |  | $\frac{1}{\dagger}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | 虾 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 來中 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 1 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 2146 | 22 | 15 | 1641 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 1 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 2146 | 22 | 15 | 1641 | 0 |
| Initial Q $(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 1 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 2358 | 22 | 16 | 1803 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 130 | 0 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 4022 | 37 | 205 | 4237 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.03 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 891 | 0 | 0 | 1549 | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 5385 | 49 | 1781 | 5274 | 0 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 1 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 1538 | 842 | 16 | 1803 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 891 | 0 | 0 | 1549 | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 1702 | 1862 | 1781 | 1702 | 0 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.03 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 130 | 0 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 2624 | 1435 | 205 | 4237 | 0 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.08 | 0.43 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 331 | 0 | 0 | 389 | 0 | 357 | 0 | 2624 | 1435 | 412 | 4237 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 54.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.5 | 0.0 | 52.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／In | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 54.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.3 | 0.0 | 53.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | A | A | D | A | D | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 1 |  |  | 85 |  |  | 2380 |  |  | 1819 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 54.1 |  |  | 53.3 |  |  | 1.2 |  |  | 0.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ），s | 7.1 | 98.5 |  | 14.4 |  | 105.6 |  | 14.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 5.0 | 6.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 6.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 16.0 | 61.0 |  | 27.0 |  | 82.0 |  | 27.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 2.2 | 2.0 |  | 5.4 |  | 2.0 |  | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 32.2 |  | 0.0 |  | 22.2 |  | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 1.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations＊ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 虾 |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） 206 | 187 | 140 | 111 | 246 | 88 | 199 | 1874 | 86 | 50 | 1330 | 150 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） 206 | 187 | 140 | 111 | 246 | 88 | 199 | 1874 | 86 | 50 | 1330 | 150 |
| Initial Q（Qb），veh 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h 212 | 193 | 119 | 114 | 254 | 81 | 205 | 1932 | 84 | 52 | 1371 | 145 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h 211 | 292 | 248 | 246 | 281 | 238 | 295 | 2840 | 123 | 167 | 2448 | 259 |
| Arrive On Green 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.03 | 0.52 | 0.52 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 5016 | 218 | 1781 | 4687 | 496 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h 212 | 193 | 119 | 114 | 254 | 81 | 205 | 1310 | 706 | 52 | 996 | 520 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1702 | 1830 | 1781 | 1702 | 1778 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s 9.0 | 11.7 | 8.2 | 6.4 | 16.0 | 5.5 | 6.1 | 32.6 | 32.7 | 1.6 | 23.7 | 23.7 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s 9.0 | 11.7 | 8.2 | 6.4 | 16.0 | 5.5 | 6.1 | 32.6 | 32.7 | 1.6 | 23.7 | 23.7 |
| Prop In Lane 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.12 | 1.00 |  | 0.28 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h 211 | 292 | 248 | 246 | 281 | 238 | 295 | 1927 | 1036 | 167 | 1778 | 929 |
| V／C Ratio（X） 1.00 | 0.66 | 0.48 | 0.46 | 0.90 | 0.34 | 0.70 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.31 | 0.56 | 0.56 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h 211 | 292 | 248 | 479 | 296 | 251 | 454 | 1927 | 1036 | 271 | 1778 | 929 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh 45.7 | 47.6 | 46.2 | 39.6 | 50.1 | 45.6 | 17.2 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 16.8 | 19.4 | 19.4 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh 62.8 | 4.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 27.1 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 2.4 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／lr5．7 | 5.8 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 9.5 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 12.0 | 13.4 | 0.6 | 9.0 | 9.7 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh 108.4 | 52.0 | 46.7 | 40.1 | 77.2 | 45.9 | 18.1 | 19.9 | 21.3 | 17.2 | 20.6 | 21.8 |
| LnGrp LOS F | D | D | D | E | D | B | B | C | B | C | C |
| Approach Vol，veh／h | 524 |  |  | 449 |  |  | 2221 |  |  | 1568 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh | 73.7 |  |  | 62.1 |  |  | 20.2 |  |  | 20.9 |  |
| Approach LOS | E |  |  | E |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s8．0 | 73.9 | 13.3 | 24.7 | 13.3 | 68.7 | 14.0 | 24.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（GmaxQ． 8 | 60.0 | 24.0 | 4.0 | 19.0 | 51.0 | 9.0 | 19.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋113，© | 0.0 | 8.4 | 13.7 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 18.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 30.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．

## LEVEL OF SERVICE CALCULATIONS <br> YEAR 2045 CONDITIONS WITH PROJECT

|  | $\stackrel{ }{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％${ }^{1 / 1}$ |  | F |  |  |  |  | 个个中 | F | \％${ }^{1+1}$ | 个个 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 315 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 842 | 177 | 139 | 1939 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 315 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 842 | 177 | 139 | 1939 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 0 | 1870 |  |  |  | 0 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 335 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 896 | 0 | 148 | 2063 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |  |  |  | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 406 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3525 |  | 204 | 2811 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.69 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 3456 | 0 | 1585 |  |  |  | 0 | 5274 | 1585 | 3456 | 3647 | 0 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 335 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 896 | 0 | 148 | 2063 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1728 | 0 | 1585 |  |  |  | 0 | 1702 | 1585 | 1728 | 1777 | 0 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 11.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 11.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 406 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3525 |  | 204 | 2811 | 0 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.83 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.25 |  | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 634 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3525 |  | 634 | 2811 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 51.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 52.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 55.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 53.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | E | A |  |  |  |  | A | A |  | D | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 335 |  |  |  |  |  | 896 |  |  | 2211 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 55.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.2 |  |  | 4.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ），$s$ | 12.1 | 88.8 |  | 19.1 |  | 100.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $Y+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 5.0 | 6.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 22.0 | 60.0 |  | 22.0 |  | 87.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 7.0 | 0.0 |  | 13.4 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.2 | 0.0 |  | 0.7 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 10.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．
Unsignalized Delay for［NBR，EBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．


## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

Unsignalized Delay for [WBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.



| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | \＄ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | 个中解 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4乐4 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 1054 | 57 | 34 | 2582 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 1054 | 57 | 34 | 2582 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 1184 | 58 | 38 | 2901 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 0 | 143 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 3791 | 186 | 454 | 4247 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 0 | 1870 | 0 | 1418 | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 5155 | 244 | 1781 | 5274 | 0 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 808 | 434 | 38 | 2901 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 0 | 1870 | 0 | 1418 | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 1702 | 1826 | 1781 | 1702 | 0 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.13 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 0 | 143 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 2588 | 1389 | 454 | 4247 | 0 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.08 | 0.68 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 0 | 421 | 0 | 379 | 0 | 357 | 0 | 2588 | 1389 | 638 | 4247 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.3 | 0.0 | 51.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.5 | 0.0 | 52.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | D | A | D | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 0 |  |  | 75 |  |  | 1242 |  |  | 2939 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 0.0 |  |  | 54.0 |  |  | 0.4 |  |  | 0.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 8.6 | 97.2 | 14.2 | 105.8 | 14.2 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 16.0 | 61.0 | 27.0 | 82.0 | 27.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 2.5 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.6 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 58.4 | 0.3 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 1.2

HCM 6th LOS


## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1+1}$ |  | 「 |  |  |  |  | 个个中 | 「 | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}$ | 州 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 672 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1480 | 224 | 93 | 1358 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 672 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1480 | 224 | 93 | 1358 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 0 | 1870 |  |  |  | 0 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 700 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 1542 | 0 | 97 | 1415 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |  |  |  | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 776 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2425 |  | 549 | 2430 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 3456 | 0 | 1585 |  |  |  | 0 | 5274 | 1585 | 3456 | 3647 | 0 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 700 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 1542 | 0 | 97 | 1415 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1728 | 0 | 1585 |  |  |  | 0 | 1702 | 1585 | 1728 | 1777 | 0 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 23.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 27.3 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 23.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 27.3 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 776 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2425 |  | 549 | 2430 | 0 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.90 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.64 |  | 0.18 | 0.58 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 893 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2425 |  | 549 | 2430 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 45.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 23.7 | 0.0 | 35.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 10.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 11.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 56.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 35.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | E | A |  |  |  |  | A | C |  | D | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 700 |  |  |  |  |  | 1542 |  |  | 1512 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 56.1 |  |  |  |  |  | 25.0 |  |  | 3.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 25.0 | 63.0 |  | 32.0 |  | 88.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 6.0 | ＊ 6 |  | 5.0 |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 16.0 | ＊ 57 |  | 31.0 |  | 78.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋1），s | 4.4 | 0.0 |  | 25.6 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.1 | 0.0 |  | 1.3 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 22.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［NBR，EBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．


## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

Unsignalized Delay for [WBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.



## Notes

$\sim$ : Volume exceeds capacity $\$$ : Delay exceeds $300 s \quad+$ : Computation Not Defined $\quad$ : All major volume in platoon

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  |  |  | 7 | 4ヶ4 |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 2170 | 22 | 15 | 1645 | 0 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 2170 | 22 | 15 | 1645 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 1 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 2385 | 22 | 16 | 1808 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 130 | 0 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 4022 | 37 | 202 | 4237 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.03 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 891 | 0 | 0 | 1549 | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 5386 | 48 | 1781 | 5274 | 0 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 1 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 1555 | 852 | 16 | 1808 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/n | 891 | 0 | 0 | 1549 | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 1702 | 1862 | 1781 | 1702 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.03 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 130 | 0 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 2624 | 1435 | 202 | 4237 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.08 | 0.43 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 331 | 0 | 0 | 389 | 0 | 357 | 0 | 2624 | 1435 | 409 | 4237 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 54.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.5 | 0.0 | 52.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 54.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.3 | 0.0 | 53.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | A | A | D | A | D | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 1 |  |  | 85 |  |  | 2407 |  |  | 1824 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 54.1 |  |  | 53.3 |  |  | 1.3 |  |  | 0.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 7.1 | 98.5 | 14.4 | 105.6 | 14.4 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 16.0 | 61.0 | 27.0 | 82.0 | 27.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 2.2 | 2.0 | 5.4 | 2.0 | 5.3 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 32.9 | 0.0 | 22.3 | 0.3 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 1.9

HCM 6th LOS


## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％${ }^{1 / 1}$ |  | 「 |  |  |  |  | 个种 | F | \％${ }^{1+1}$ | 个个 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 315 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 842 | 177 | 138 | 1937 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 315 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 842 | 177 | 138 | 1937 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 0 | 1870 |  |  |  | 0 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 335 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 896 | 0 | 147 | 2061 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |  |  |  | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 406 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3526 |  | 203 | 2811 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.69 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 3456 | 0 | 1585 |  |  |  | 0 | 5274 | 1585 | 3456 | 3647 | 0 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 335 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 896 | 0 | 147 | 2061 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 1728 | 0 | 1585 |  |  |  | 0 | 1702 | 1585 | 1728 | 1777 | 0 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 11.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 11.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 406 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3526 |  | 203 | 2811 | 0 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.83 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.25 |  | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 634 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3526 |  | 634 | 2811 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 51.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 52.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 55.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 53.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | E | A |  |  |  |  | A | A |  | D | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 335 |  |  |  |  |  | 896 |  |  | 2208 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 55.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  |  | 4.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ）， s | 12.0 | 88.9 |  | 19.1 |  | 100.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $Y+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 5.0 | 6.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 22.0 | 60.0 |  | 22.0 |  | 87.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 6.9 | 0.0 |  | 13.4 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.2 | 0.0 |  | 0.7 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 10.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．
Unsignalized Delay for［NBR，EBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．


## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

Unsignalized Delay for [WBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay，s／veh | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  |  | F |  |  | 「 | 7 | 个个中 |  | 7 | 个蚔 |  |  |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1117 | 66 | 28 | 2652 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1117 | 66 | 28 | 2652 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | None |  |
| Storage Length | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | 160 | － | － | 100 | － | － |  |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | \＃ | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |  |
| Grade，\％ | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1227 | 73 | 31 | 2914 | 0 |  |



| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | \＄ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | 个中解 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4乐4 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 1063 | 57 | 34 | 2587 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 1063 | 57 | 34 | 2587 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 1194 | 58 | 38 | 2907 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | ， | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 0 | 143 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 3793 | 184 | 451 | 4247 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 0 | 1870 | 0 | 1418 | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 5157 | 242 | 1781 | 5274 | 0 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 815 | 437 | 38 | 2907 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 0 | 1870 | 0 | 1418 | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 1702 | 1827 | 1781 | 1702 | 0 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.13 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 0 | 143 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 2588 | 1389 | 451 | 4247 | 0 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.08 | 0.68 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 0 | 421 | 0 | 379 | 0 | 357 | 0 | 2588 | 1389 | 635 | 4247 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.3 | 0.0 | 51.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.5 | 0.0 | 52.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | D | A | D | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 0 |  |  | 75 |  |  | 1252 |  |  | 2945 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 0.0 |  |  | 54.0 |  |  | 0.4 |  |  | 0.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 8.6 | 97.2 | 14.2 | 105.8 | 14.2 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 16.0 | 61.0 | 27.0 | 82.0 | 27.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 2.5 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.6 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 10.2 | 0.0 | 58.6 | 0.3 |


| Intersection Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 1.2 |
| HCM 6th LOS | A |



## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1+1}$ |  | 「 |  |  |  |  | 个个中 | 「 | ${ }^{*}{ }^{1 / 1}$ | 坐 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 672 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1480 | 224 | 85 | 1346 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 672 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1480 | 224 | 85 | 1346 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 0 | 1870 |  |  |  | 0 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 700 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 1542 | 0 | 89 | 1402 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |  |  |  | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 776 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2425 |  | 549 | 2430 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 3456 | 0 | 1585 |  |  |  | 0 | 5274 | 1585 | 3456 | 3647 | 0 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 700 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 1542 | 0 | 89 | 1402 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1728 | 0 | 1585 |  |  |  | 0 | 1702 | 1585 | 1728 | 1777 | 0 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 23.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 27.3 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 23.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 27.3 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 776 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2425 |  | 549 | 2430 | 0 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.90 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.64 |  | 0.16 | 0.58 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 893 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2425 |  | 549 | 2430 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 45.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 23.7 | 0.0 | 35.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 10.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 11.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 56.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 35.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | E | A |  |  |  |  | A | C |  | D | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 700 |  |  |  |  |  | 1542 |  |  | 1491 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 56.1 |  |  |  |  |  | 25.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 25.0 | 63.0 |  | 32.0 |  | 88.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 6.0 | ＊ 6 |  | 5.0 |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 16.0 | ＊ 57 |  | 31.0 |  | 78.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋1），s | 4.2 | 0.0 |  | 25.6 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.1 | 0.0 |  | 1.3 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 22.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［NBR，EBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．


## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

Unsignalized Delay for [WBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.



| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | 个中郎 |  | 7 | 4ヶ4 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 1 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 2225 | 22 | 15 | 1673 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 1 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 2225 | 22 | 15 | 1673 | 0 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 1 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 2445 | 22 | 16 | 1838 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 130 | 0 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 4023 | 36 | 195 | 4237 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.03 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 891 | 0 | 0 | 1549 | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 5387 | 47 | 1781 | 5274 | 0 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 1 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 1594 | 873 | 16 | 1838 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 891 | 0 | 0 | 1549 | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 1702 | 1862 | 1781 | 1702 | 0 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.03 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 130 | 0 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 2624 | 1435 | 195 | 4237 | 0 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.08 | 0.43 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 331 | 0 | 0 | 389 | 0 | 357 | 0 | 2624 | 1435 | 402 | 4237 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 54.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.5 | 0.0 | 52.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 54.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.3 | 0.0 | 53.1 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | A | A | D | A | D | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 1 |  |  | 85 |  |  | 2467 |  |  | 1854 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 54.1 |  |  | 53.3 |  |  | 1.4 |  |  | 0.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 7.1 | 98.5 | 14.4 | 105.6 | 14.4 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 16.0 | 61.0 | 27.0 | 82.0 | 27.0 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 2.2 | 2.0 | 5.4 | 2.0 | 5.3 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 34.3 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 0.3 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 1.9

HCM 6th LOS

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary
5：Federal Blvd（SH 287）\＆64th Ave

| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 虾 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 虾 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） 206 | 187 | 141 | 111 | 246 | 88 | 219 | 1894 | 97 | 50 | 1333 | 150 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） 206 | 187 | 141 | 111 | 246 | 88 | 219 | 1894 | 97 | 50 | 1333 | 150 |
| Initial Q（Qb），veh 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h 212 | 193 | 120 | 114 | 254 | 81 | 226 | 1953 | 95 | 52 | 1374 | 145 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h 211 | 292 | 248 | 246 | 281 | 238 | 302 | 2823 | 137 | 164 | 2420 | 255 |
| Arrive On Green 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.03 | 0.52 | 0.52 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 4988 | 242 | 1781 | 4688 | 495 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h 212 | 193 | 120 | 114 | 254 | 81 | 226 | 1331 | 717 | 52 | 998 | 521 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1702 | 1826 | 1781 | 1702 | 1778 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s 9.0 | 11.7 | 8.3 | 6.4 | 16.0 | 5.5 | 6.8 | 33.4 | 33.7 | 1.7 | 24.1 | 24.1 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s 9.0 | 11.7 | 8.3 | 6.4 | 16.0 | 5.5 | 6.8 | 33.4 | 33.7 | 1.7 | 24.1 | 24.1 |
| Prop In Lane 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.13 | 1.00 |  | 0.28 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h 211 | 292 | 248 | 246 | 281 | 238 | 302 | 1927 | 1033 | 164 | 1757 | 918 |
| V／C Ratio（X） 1.00 | 0.66 | 0.48 | 0.46 | 0.90 | 0.34 | 0.75 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.32 | 0.57 | 0.57 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h 211 | 292 | 248 | 479 | 296 | 251 | 450 | 1927 | 1033 | 267 | 1757 | 918 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（I）$\quad 1.00$ | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh 45.7 | 47.6 | 46.2 | 39.6 | 50.1 | 45.6 | 18.5 | 18.6 | 18.6 | 17.3 | 19.9 | 19.9 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh 62.8 | 4.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 27.1 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 3.0 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 2.5 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ı5． 7 | 5.8 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 9.5 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 12.3 | 13.7 | 0.6 | 9.2 | 9.9 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh 108.4 | 52.0 | 46.8 | 40.1 | 77.2 | 45.9 | 19.7 | 20.2 | 21.6 | 17.7 | 21.2 | 22.4 |
| LnGrp LOS F | D | D | D | E | D | B | C | C | B | C | C |
| Approach Vol，veh／h | 525 |  |  | 449 |  |  | 2274 |  |  | 1571 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh | 73.6 |  |  | 62.1 |  |  | 20.6 |  |  | 21.5 |  |
| Approach LOS | E |  |  | E |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s8．0 | 73.9 | 13.3 | 24.7 | 14.0 | 67.9 | 14.0 | 24.1 |  |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s 5．0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Max Green Setting（GmaxQ． | 60.0 | 24.0 | 4.0 | 19.0 | 51.0 | 9.0 | 19.0 |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋113，．／ | 0.0 | 8.4 | 13.7 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 18.0 |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Intersection Summary
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 30.5
HCM 6th LOS C

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．

## AUTHORIZATION TO ACT AS REPRESENTATIVE

FED57, LLC, a Colorado limited liability company, and FED58, LLC, a Colorado limited liability company (collectively, the "Owners"), as legal owners of record of certain property located at 5690, 5790, and 5800 Federal Boulevard (the "Property") in the County of Adams, State of Colorado, hereby consent to and authorize OPUS DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company and OPUS AE GROUP, L.L.C., a Minnesota limited liability company (collectively, the "Applicant") to act as the Owners' agent and representative in all matters pertaining to the minor subdivision request for the Property (the "Application"). This authorization shall expire by its own terms upon the successful approval of the Application by the Adams County Board of County Commissions, or upon the written termination of this instrument by the Owners.

## OWNERS:



FED58, LDC,


Title: Member

STATE OF COLORADO )
) ss.
COUNTY OF

)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 321 day of fug , 2023, by Kain Preblud as member $\qquad$ of FED57, LLC, a Colorado limited liability company and FED58, LLC, a Colorado limited liability company.

Witness my hand and official seal.
My commission expires:
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