Commenting Division: Planner Review

Name of Reviewer: David DeBoskey

Date: 10/13/2023

Email: jorge@egsfa.com

Response Resubmittal:

PLN1: How necessary to the restaurant vision is this subject space? Is it essential to restaurant operations?

R: Now that the set back is on the north side we need the variance approved in order to have a functional restaurant building.

PLN2: Current building is not impacted by proposed request. The existing building is built to an angle. However, the subject variance is a part of proposed building addition, not yet built.

R: This is correct.

PLN3: We received three public comments asking why this is proposed to be a Mexican Food Restaurant when the area already has so many. This is a private matter, between constituents, the county has no opinion on the type of restaurant and is solely passing along the public comments to you. County only is interested in development standards & regulations.

R: Noted.

PLN4: Please refer to CORE electric's comments. CORE electric does not support this request as it would be in conflict of their maintenance and access needs and abilities. At the RCC meeting, we can talk about this more and how it will impact your application.

R: Now that the set back is on the north side this comment from CORE does not apply.

PLN5: Staff determined the front of the building is facing Colfax Ave, not Adams St. This changes the setback in question. This change was determined because the address is based off of Colfax. So the request would be for the side setback, which in C-5 shall be fifteen (15) feet on one side and five (5) feet on the other side; zero (0) foot setbacks may be approved for fireproof structures.

R: Noted.

Because the minimum side setback is 5 feet, without being fireproof, this variance for this setback is not necessary as the building already has a 5 feet setback. However, because Colfax is the "front" the "back" is to the north of the lot. Therefore the variance

request should be changed to be for the rear (north) setback. According to the development standards: 3-24-07-03-04 MINIMUM REAR SETBACK

The minimum rear setback for a structure in a Commercial-5 District shall be fifteen (15) feet.

R: We have:

45'-5" West side set back (Adams Street)

5'-0" East side set back (Alley Side)

45'-0" South side front set back (W. Colfax Ave. Side)

3'-0" North-West rear set back and 1'-9" North-East rear set back. We will need to change the variance to the north side set back requirement. fire rated assembly will be provided.

PLN6: We can send out a separate, new request for comment letter at the time of the hearing.

R: Noted.

PLN7: How many people does the proposed restaurant plan on serving at a time, how many seats? Parking minimums for restaurants: 1 space for every 3 seats. It might be in your best interest to seek another variance for the parking standards at the same time as this variance. It will save you time.

R: We need 14 spaces and we are providing 8 spaces so we need a variance for 6 spaces.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you for all your help.

Jorge Eguiarte, PM EG Studio, LLC 863 Santa Fe Dr.

Denver CO, 8204

720-232-5975

Jorge@egsfa.com

