

TouchPoint: *CMP Annual Report 2023-24

Subject:

Response Date: 7/1/2024

Completed By: Hynes, McKenna

Administrative	
Who is completing the report?	McKenna Hynes
Provide the total number of children/youth who were served during this fiscal year. This number should be an unduplicated count.	1679
Provide the total number (unduplicated) of CMP children/youth served in Trails	0
Provide the total number (unduplicated) of CMP children/youth served in ETO	1679
Population	
POPULATION - Please answer the questions below for the CMP population served during this fiscal year.	
Provide an unduplicated total number of children/youth who were served by Individualized Service and Support Teams (ISSTs)	43
Provide the total number of ISST meetings performed during this fiscal year.	17
Provide the total number of children/youth served by CMP Prevention Programs.	1730
Crossover Youth	
Please provide your CMP site's definition of 'Crossover Youth'	Open D&N/Open JD/Open Truancy (combination of 2 or 3) Open JD with History of D&N or Truancy Open D&N with History of JD or Truancy Open Truancy with History of JD or D&N
Provide the number of children/youth served that meet the definition of a crossover youth	0
Does your site have a differential response for Crossover Youth?	No

Does your CMP site have data comparing the success of Crossover Youth to Justice-Involved Youth?	No. ACCMP prevention programs and ISST did not include crossover status in reporting structures.
--	--

CMP IOG Membership

CMP IOG (Interagency Oversight Group) Membership. Please indicate from the list below the agencies who are represented on your IOG during this fiscal year, and if the member agency attended at least 75% of the scheduled meetings.

Did the County Department of Human/Social Services attend 75% of the IOG meetings for this fiscal year?	Yes
Did the Courts/Judicial attend 75% of the IOG meetings for this fiscal year?	Yes
Did the Probation Department attend 75% of the IOG meetings for this fiscal year?	Yes
Did the Public Health Department attend 75% of the IOG meetings for this fiscal year?	Yes
Did the School District(s) attend 75% of the IOG meetings for this fiscal year?	No
Did the Division of Youth Services attend 75% of the IOG meetings for this fiscal year?	Yes
Did the Community Mental Health Center attend 75% of the IOG meetings for this fiscal year?	No
Did the Regional Accountable Entity (RAE) attend 75% of the IOG meetings for this fiscal year?	Yes
Is the representative from the RAE the same as the community mental health center representative?	No
Did the Managed Service Organization (MSO) attend 75% of the IOG meetings for this fiscal year?	No
Is the MSO representative the same as the community mental health center representative?	No
Did the Domestic Violence Service Provider attend 75% of	No

the IOG meetings for this fiscal year?	
Did the IOG have a family representative/Family Advocacy Organization?	No
Did the IOG have a youth representative?	No
Please enter all the remaining signing MOU partners in the following rows and indicate yes/no if they attended 75% of the IOG meetings	A Precious Child 67% NO ECPAC 100 YES CYDC 83% YES 17th Judicial District Attorney's Office position vacant October; 67% NO
Process Measures	
Did the IOG achieve the goal of 75% of the IOG members being present at 75% of the meeting during this fiscal year?	No
Did the CMP reach the goal of having a family advocacy organization or family representative in attendance at 50% of the IOG meeting during this fiscal year?	No
Did the CMP partners achieve the goal of having 75% of the CMP partners contribute resources at a service level, either in-kind or in actual money during this fiscal year?	Yes
How did you calculate contribution of resources at a service level?	In-kind contributions by every member agency
Did the CMP achieve the goal of the use of at least one evidence-based or evidence informed practice during this fiscal year?	Yes
Please list all of the evidence-based, evidence informed or well-supported practices that your IOG used during this fiscal year and if they are evidence-based, informed or well supported.	evidence based multi-disciplinary teams
Did the IOG participate in a continuous quality improvement (CQI) or strategic planning process at least one time during this fiscal year?	Yes

Did the CQI process or strategic planning process include a data driven decision making process?	Yes
If yes where did you get your data from?	Adams County total population data Data Source: Colorado Department of Local Affairs, State Demography Office. Kids Count, Colorado department of Education attendance data; Attendance Works research and data related to outcomes and risk factors for chronic absenteeism. Adams County Health Department, Trends in Key Health Indicators, 2022 Community Health Assessment data. Healthy Kids CO dashboard. PACK referral platform (findhelp.org) internal program analytics. Strategic Planning occurs annually in November to review goals, prioritize emphasis on programming, and decision-making. 2023-24 prioritized Infrastructure development as ACCMP's key need, and developed an Infrastructure Subcommittee who developed a process and policy for funding requests, an RFA and evaluation criteria for bi-annual funding proposal submissions, review, and selection as it pertains to Statute and Rules.
How many times did the IOG participate in a CQI process or strategic planning process during this fiscal year?	1
Did the CMP implement a new program or ISST model that specifically targeted service needs/gaps that were identified by the IOG during the CQI/strategic planning process?	Yes
What program or process was implemented due to the CQI/Strategic Planning process?	Infrastructure subcommittee developed funding evaluation structures and funding process/rubric/application process. PACK ISST was developed and launched a few months before the strategic planning annual meeting and is informed and amended on an ongoing basis to target specific services needs--additional partners, CASA, Griffith centers, and ECPAC have been added to the ACCMP as a result of this ongoing evaluation.
Does the IOG have evidence of cost sharing during this fiscal year?	Yes
Please describe how your IOG defines and documents cost sharing.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Client-level (Documentation: Client-level documentation such as ISST minutes and/or tracked in a spreadsheet) ✓ In-kind/Personnel (Documentation: Table of Resource Pooling, Interagency Oversight Group (IOG) Minutes, or client files including Individual Service and Support Team (ISST) plans or reports)
What was the total amount of costs shared?	\$ 6048.00
How many CMP process measures did your CMP achieve for this fiscal year?	4
CMP Functions	
How many times did the IOG meet during this fiscal year?	6

<p>How many times was ISST data (barriers, outcome data or performance) distributed to the IOG during this fiscal year?</p>	<p>6</p>
<p>How often was information regarding CMP prevention programs (barriers /outcomes/ performance / evaluation) distributed to the IOG during this fiscal year?</p>	<p>6</p>
<p>Please describe challenges/barriers the CMP faced during this fiscal year. Please include barriers regarding IOG processes such as engagement of partners and barriers regarding ISST's and prevention programs such as lack of services available.</p>	<p>Significant improvement in the last year, but continued work to be done in developing infrastructure for ACCMP to increase clarity, goals, and purpose of ACCMP. Increasing stakeholder engagement, marketing ACCMP, submitting PACK referrals, sharing ACCMP information throughout the community, utilizing the collaboration in individual program work, ensuring the work we are doing is specific, and meaningful for our community (which involved removing programs that were no longer serving our greater goals of impact to families in Adams County). We did not meet our process measure of 75% attendance by the ACCMP Coordinator's error and misunderstanding of the measurement--we did achieve a 75% attendance overall, but did not achieve 75% attendance by 75% of ACCMP partner agencies. We have developed more processes to request funding, and have more opportunities to spend directly on families in Adams County. An additional challenge we face is incorporating more evidence-based tools/assessments into our direct work with families to help guide specific, appropriate, and relevant services.</p>

CMP Programs

<p>How many ISST structures/models did the CMP support or implement during the fiscal year?</p>	<p>1</p>
<p>Please list the name of ISST structure/model #1</p>	<p>Partnering for Adams County Kids (PACK)</p>
<p>Please provide the total number of individual participants served through ISST Structure/model #1</p>	<p>44</p>
<p>Please provide the total amount of CMP funds used for ISST structure/model #1</p>	<p>\$ 0.00</p>
<p>What is the average amount of time spent in a typical ISST meeting (on one family, in hours, excluding any preparation time)?</p>	<p>1</p>
<p>Did the IOG implement a CMP prevention program during this fiscal year?</p>	<p>No</p>

Family Voice

Please indicate if you have a family representative or family advocacy organization on your IOG	No
Are family representative/advocacy organizations utilized in service delivery? (Families who receive CMP services are partnered with family representative for service planning and delivery).	Yes
Does your CMP have a process in place to provide support to the family representative in their role?	No
Please indicate if you have a youth representative or youth advocacy organization on your IOG	No
Are youth representative or youth advocacy organization utilized in service delivery? (Families who receive CMP services are partnered with youth representative for service planning and delivery).	No
Expenditures/Funding/Budget	
Please report the amount of CMP incentive funds that were remaining in reserve from this fiscal year.	\$ 924095.00
Please report your CMPs total expenditures during the fiscal year.	\$ 140943.00
Please report the total CMP funds spent within each of the follow cost categories. If the category does not apply please enter 0.	
Total personnel costs (include staff/coordinator salary, benefits, family rep stipend):	\$ 126028.00
Were in-kind resources used for personnel costs?	No
Total funds for services provided to families (services funded by CMP including mentoring, tutoring, therapy, or other pro-social services)	\$ 0.00

Were in-kind resources from partners used for services to families?	Yes
What in-kind resources were used for services to families?	Resource navigation, ISST attendance, IOG attendance, Attendance Summit coordination/planning/execution (April 2024).
Total funds used on hard goods (car repairs, clothes, rent, school supplies etc.)	\$ 10327.00
Were in-kind resources used for hard goods?	No
Total funds used for administration of the program (site/facility fee, equipment, software, training, overhead, travel, etc.)	\$ 4588.00
Were in-kind resources from partners used for administration of the program	No
Total funds used for grant matching (if none use \$0):	\$ 0.00
Total funds used for indirect costs:	\$ 0.00
Did your CMP utilize or obtain external funding/grants (for example SOC, JAG, or private/local funders) to support CMP activities in SFY 2023-24?	No
Does your CMP have documented savings?	No
Does your IOG use a percentage based budget?	No
How is your budget determined each fiscal year?	Executive Committee reviews and proposes, IOG reviews and provides feedback, Executive committee approves. Fiscal Agent Finance Department confirms line item coding and develops budget spending/tracking. Reported monthly
How do you ensure that CMP funds are only spent on CMP served children, youth, and families or as a mechanism to increase collaboration among parties?	Funding request process requires submission of funds requested, rubric review of need and accounted for budget remaining determines funding for flexible funds and/or professional contracted services as it pertains to CMP Rule/Statute and CMP mission. Continued development of programming in process to spend directly on services to families; 2023-2024 spending was primarily on hard good requested and increasing collaboration and community education to increase school attendance for children, youth, and families in Adams County.
Does your IOG have a flex fund policy or program implemented?	Yes

<p>If yes, upload your flex fund policy here</p>	<p>2024-2025-ACCMP-Flex-Prof-Contracted-Services-Fund-Policy-and-Process-APPROVED.pdf</p>
<p>Does the CMP have a process to ensure that they are the payer of last resort with regard to the use of funds for hard goods? Do they always access Medicaid, non-profits, food banks, community organizations prior to approving hard good funds for families?</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>If yes please explain the process</p>	<p>ACCMP diverted thousands of dollars of funding requests to more appropriate community partners whenever possible by following our policies and processes for last-resort funding. This savings is a significant marker of the increased collaboration and cost-savings, but were not specifically tracked during the year. Requestors are required to provide an explanation in request for funds and funding application on how/why this request is a last-resort option.</p>
<p>CMP Implementation</p>	
<p>How does your CMP embed Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion policy and principles in your IOG and programming?</p>	<p>Race Equity Coordinator of Community Safety and Well-Being reviewed Bylaws prior to admission. Consistently solicits feedback from participants on the inclusiveness of the meeting and any suggestions for improvement. Be aware of cultural differences and ensure that the meeting environment respects and acknowledges these differences in PACK meetings, and during ACCMP IOG meetings. Data reviews include demographic information and reporting. Regularly review and update DEI practices in meetings based on feedback and evolving best practices. ADA compliance and accessibility training for website and document uploading, ADA compliant and accessible marketing materials. Creation and Implementation of ACCMP Principles (ACCMP Principles As members and guests of the ACCMP, we agree to be 1. Accountable We will be timely, productive, and action-oriented 2. Welcoming We value inclusivity, transparency, and family voice 3. Authentically engaged We will be present and engage in discussion, brainstorming, and decision-making with our authentic selves)</p>
<p>Describe your efforts in providing education and access to CMP to your community? How do families get connected?</p>	<p>Education component is an agenda item for every IOG meeting. ISST referral is on county-wide resource navigation page, ongoing education and resource sharing with ACCMP partners to connect families.</p>
<p>Does your IOG have a CMP data sharing agreement or policy amongst partners?</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Does your IOG have an agreement or contract between the IOG and Employer of Record and/or FIsal Agent?</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Who is the CMP Employer of Record?</p>	<p>Adams County</p>
<p>Who is the CMP Fiscal Agent?</p>	<p>Adams County Human Services Department</p>

Describe your employment status (full time, part time, in-kind, contractor, etc.)	full-time
Does your IOG combine with other collaborative workgroups in an effort to reduce duplication?	No
CMP Systems Improvement	
What help do you need from CDHS to make your local CMP better?	A more functional, intuitive, and user friendly data reporting platform that includes daily case monitoring and accessibility to families beyond data uploads. This platform should also have smarter capabilities to determine client matching and merging with reduced duplicative upload processes.
Is there anything else you would like CDHS to know about your CMP for this fiscal year?	Program development stage includes reducing program inclusion that did not support ACCMP mission. ACCMP is focused on infrastructure development for the next 12-18 months to increase funding opportunities for community ventures and overall evaluation. ACCMP has a very committed Executive Committee that meets monthly, guides structural and spending decision-making, and facilitates IOG meetings.
What are the values of CMP?	ACCMP Principles 1. Accountable We will be timely, productive, & action-oriented 2. Welcoming We value inclusivity, transparency, & family voice 3. Authentically engaged be present and engage in discussion, brainstorming, & decision making
How do you know when your CMP is successful?	The right services were available at the right time for families in need, and our systems coordinated efficiently, shared costs, and made systems level changes to ensure this model of service delivery is replicated across Adams County.
1249 Additions	
Total number of children and families who were referred to a local collaborative management program and did not receive recommended services	5
Total number of children served by a local collaborative management program, who were referred by the juvenile justice system;	100
Total number of children who were served by a local collaborative management program, who were referred by a county department of human or social services, including referrals through a dependency and neglect case	287
Total number of children who were served by your local collaborative management program and who identified	1

themselves to the local collaborative management program as: (I) a named victim in a criminal protection order pursuant to section 18-1-1001 or in a juvenile delinquency or criminal case; (II) a recipient of victim compensation pursuant to part 4.1 of this title 24; or (III) a protected party in a protection order pursuant to part 14 of title 13, section 19-2-707 as it existed prior to its repeal in 2021, or section 18-1-1001;