

---

## APPENDIX A. DETAILED METHODOLOGY

---

The survey was conducted in seven major steps, as follows.

1. Survey mode selection
2. Survey design
3. Sampling (telephone and door to door)
4. Implementation
5. Weighting
6. Analysis
7. Reporting

The general methodology for each step is described below.

### SURVEY MODE SELECTION

Two primary survey methods were considered: a telephone survey and a door-to-door survey. A telephone survey is more cost-effective and is capable of randomly targeting the entire population, while the door-to-door survey was considered desirable because of concerns that some low-income households may not have telephones.

Recognizing both tradeoffs, it was decided to use a combined approach, with approximately 1,000 surveys being conducted via telephone and approximately 200 being conducted via door-to-door methods. For sampling reasons, the final count ended up as 1,034 telephone surveys and 215 door to door surveys.

While the telephone surveys were conducted on a random basis throughout the county, door-to-door surveys were conducted in specific areas of the county that had large proportions of low-income populations. The 215 surveys were apportioned to each incorporated community in the county, as well as the unincorporated county, based on the size of the population living below the poverty line in the community. Corona then prepared maps showing small-area poverty rates in the county, and members of the survey subcommittee used those maps and their own personal experience to identify neighborhoods where door-to-door surveys would be conducted. While surveys were conducted in neighborhoods that statistically have high poverty rates, there were no screening questions about income during the survey, so door-to-door surveys likely gathered data from households with a wide range of income levels.

### SURVEY DESIGN

The survey design was accomplished via a voluntary subcommittee of the Project Advisory Committee that consisted of staff from numerous county agencies and partner organizations. Corona provided an overview of the survey process, and an initial “wish list” of information was compiled. Corona used this list to develop a draft survey instrument, which was (as expected) much longer than feasible for a survey. The subcommittee then met a second time and cut the list of questions down to a feasible size. Corona then finalized the survey instrument in terms of content, wording, and question order. The survey instrument was designed so that it could be used in both telephone and door-to-door formats.

During execution, the survey required approximately 13 minutes for English-language telephone surveys. Spanish-language surveys and door-to-door surveys took longer; door-to-door Spanish-language surveys averaged over 20 minutes.

## **SAMPLING**

Selection of an individual household for the telephone survey was accomplished via fully random selection. Telephone numbers were mathematically generated and dialed on a random basis, after numerous steps to maximize the likelihood that the phone number was located in the county and eliminate known business numbers and telephone numbers.

Selection of an individual household for the door-to-door survey was also accomplished via a fully random selection. For each neighborhood where door-to-door surveys were planned, a random number generator was used to select a city block. For the first survey in the neighborhood, the first housing unit on the block was selected for the study. For the second survey in the neighborhood, the second housing unit on the block was selected, and so forth. If there was no answer at a particular housing unit, the surveyors had instructions on how to move down the block seeking a cooperative household. This selection method was the most unbiased means of selecting households for the survey, but probably nonetheless gave single-family homes and homes on larger lots a larger chance of being selected than multi-family units and homes on smaller lots.

## **IMPLEMENTATION**

The telephone surveys were conducted during evenings, generally between the hours of 5:00 p.m. and 9:00 p.m. Calls were made by a professional telephone research partner that frequently works with Corona Research. As an incentive for participation, the study team offered (voluntary) entry into a drawing for one of two \$100 gift certificates to Wal-Mart.

Selection of an individual household for the door-to-door survey was also accomplished via a fully random selection. For each neighborhood where door-to-door surveys were planned, a random number generator was used to select a city block. For the first survey in the neighborhood, the first housing unit on the block was selected for the study. For the second survey in the neighborhood, the second housing unit on the block was selected for the study. If there was no answer at the door or if the respondent declined to participate, the surveyors had instructions on how to proceed down the block until a cooperative household was contacted.

Door to door surveyors included a variety of people, including Corona Research staff, county staff, selected volunteers, and paid members of the communities that were surveyed. Door to door survey participants were also offered the opportunity to be included in the two \$100 prize drawings.

In order to maintain confidentiality, improve response rates, and minimize labor and data entry errors, door to door surveys were conducted using electronic devices. These devices allowed respondents to read questions and answer by pressing buttons, with the data being recorded in the device. This approach maintained confidentiality on sensitive questions such as income and public assistance needs, and also allowed the participant to take the survey in either English or Spanish. It also saved time on data entry and eliminated an opportunity for data entry errors.

Upon completion of the two types of surveys, the results were combined into one database for analysis.

## **WEIGHTING THE SURVEY RESPONSES**

Some types of individuals are statistically more likely to respond to surveys than others, for a variety of reasons. Those reasons may include accessibility (e.g., more likely to be home and more likely to be the person who answers the phone), or cooperation (i.e., more likely to agree to participate in the survey when asked). For those reasons, a group of survey respondents may not necessarily reflect the makeup of their community.

In order to correct for this potential problem, Corona developed a unique weighting factor for each survey response. The weighting factor took into account the community, age, income, and gender of the respondent, with a limit that no weighting factor for an individual response would exceed 3.5 or fall below 0.25. In other words, if a

respondent represented a group that had an above-average response rate to the survey, taking into account their community, age, income, and gender, then their survey responses didn't count as one full "vote." Likewise, if a respondent represented groups that had a below-average response rate, his or her responses counted as more than one "vote." By taking these steps, the survey responses were mathematically adjusted to minimize response biases in the four weighted categories.

#### **ANALYSIS AND REPORTING OF RESPONSES**

Upon completion of the weighting process, tabulations and cross tabulations of the data were prepared and are documented in this report. Because the survey data can produce a very large number of cross tabulations, meetings were held with the survey subcommittee and the county's project manager to determine the types of analyses that were a priority for the county.

Responses are prepared for the county as a whole and also frequently for subsets of the county. In the case of subsets, the sample sizes vary, and in some cases sample sizes are not large enough to draw a firm conclusion. In general, it is preferred that at least 270 responses be collected to draw a reasonably confident conclusion about a subpopulation, though a general feel can be developed for subpopulations with as few as 30 responses.

The following table illustrates the confidence of responses when broken down by key factors. Each factor is followed in parentheses by the raw number of surveys gathered for that group. Note that characteristics may not add up to the total of 1,249 responses since some respondents will refuse (or be unable) to answer a question. Also note that these are raw responses prior to the demographic weighting process, so percentages calculated from these raw figures will not match the final weighted figures.

Number of Responses	Margin of Error	Community	Age	Income
1,249	2.8%	All communities (1,249)	All ages (1,249)	All incomes (1,249)
600 to 1,067	3% to 4%			
380 to 600	4% to 5%			
270 to 380	5% to 6%	Thornton (334)		
196 to 270	6% to 7%	Westminster (218) Unincorporated areas (198)	35-44 (263) 45-54 (258) 25-34 (229)	
95 to 195	7% to 10%	Brighton (119) Commerce City (113) Northglenn (100)	65 or older (168) 18-24 (124) 55-59 (114)	\$30,000 to \$39,999 (143) \$50,000 to \$59,999 (140) \$40,000 to \$49,999 (136) \$60,000 to \$74,999 (133) \$10,000 to \$19,999 (126) \$75,000 to \$99,999 (116) \$20,000 to \$29,999 (115)
60 to 95	10% to 13%	Aurora (94)	60-64 (76)	\$100,000 to \$199,999 (86) Under \$10,000 (79)
30 to 60	13% to 18%	Federal Heights (36)		
Less than 30	More than 18%	Arvada (21) Bennett (16)		\$200,000 or More (21)

Number of Responses	Margin of Error	Race/Ethnicity	Children in Household	Employment Status
1,249	2.8%	All races/ethnicities (1,249)	All situations (1,249)	All employment statuses (1,249)
600 to 1,067	3% to 4%			
380 to 600	4% to 5%	White (896)	Yes (484) No (747)	Employed Full Time (648)
270 to 380	5% to 6%			Not in Labor Force (292)
196 to 270	6% to 7%			
95 to 195	7% to 10%	Hispanic (190)		Employed Part Time (99)
60 to 95	10% to 13%			Unemployed, Looking (90) Self-Employed (83)
30 to 60	13% to 18%	Multi-racial (53)		
Less than 30	More than 18%	Asian American (18) African American (14) “Other” (12) Native American (11)		

Number of Responses	Margin of Error	Housing Situation	Place of Employment	Self-Reported Quality of Life
1,249	2.8%	All housing situations (1,249)	All places of employment (1,249)	
600 to 1,067	3% to 4%			Good (705)
380 to 600	4% to 5%	Own Home (911)	Work outside Adams County, total (428)	
270 to 380	5% to 6%		Work in Adams County (315)	Very Good (277)
196 to 270	6% to 7%		Work outside Adams County, would like to work in the county (265)	Fair (221)
95 to 195	7% to 10%	Rent Home (187)	Work outside Adams County, prefer to work outside the county (111)	
60 to 95	10% to 13%	Living with Someone Else, No Lease (77)		
30 to 60	13% to 18%	Own Mobile Home, Rent Lot (50)		Bad (32)
Less than 30	More than 18%	Other (21)		Very Bad (2)

Reporting includes the findings for the county in total, and findings for selected subpopulations as appropriate. At the client's request, findings by community are generally given precedence over findings for other subpopulations. It is important to note that findings are reported for all subpopulations whenever a subpopulation analysis is conducted, even if the sample size is quite small. Readers should refer to the sample strength tables on the previous page when examining subpopulations. The only results not reported during subpopulation analyses are responses where the subpopulation question wasn't definitively answered (e.g. subpopulation analyses by income exclude responses where the respondent refused to provide information on income).

---

## APPENDIX B. FINAL SURVEY INSTRUMENT

---

This appendix contains the telephone version of the English language survey instrument. Variations of this survey included:

1. English language telephone survey
2. Spanish language telephone survey (translated live as needed)
3. English language door to door survey (programmed into electronic survey device)
4. Spanish language door to door survey (programmed into electronic survey device)
5. Hmong door to door survey (provided on hard copy for door to door surveyors, as needed)

**ADAMS COUNTY COMMUNITY NEEDS ASSESSMENT  
DRAFT SURVEY INSTRUMENT**

Instructions: When a question states "Read all responses," do not read "Don't Know" as a potential response unless otherwise instructed.

**Filter Questions/Background**

Good evening. My name is \_\_\_\_\_ and I'm calling on behalf of Corona Research and Adams County. We're conducting a survey of community needs in Adams County that will take about 10 to 12 minutes. Your responses to the questions will be anonymous and if you'd like, we will enter your household in a random drawing for two \$100 Wal-Mart gift certificates. May we begin the survey?

1. As a first question, are you 18 years old or older? [IF THE RESPONDENT IS UNDER 18, ASK IF SOMEONE 18 OR OLDER IS AVAILABLE TO COMPLETE THE SURVEY. IF NO PERSON AGE 18 OR OLDER IS AVAILABLE, THANK THEM AND STATE THAT RESPONDENTS MUST BE 18 OR OLDER. ABORT THE SURVEY.]
  
2. What city do you live in? You can also let us know if you live in an unincorporated area. [DO NOT READ RESPONSES]
  - a. Aurora
  - b. Arvada
  - c. Bennett
  - d. Brighton
  - e. Commerce City
  - f. Federal Heights
  - g. Northglenn
  - h. Thornton
  - i. Westminster
  - j. Unincorporated Area
  - k. Other \_\_\_\_\_

I'd like to start with a general question.

**Overall Quality of Life**

3. How would you rate your quality of life in Adams County? [READ ALL RESPONSES.]
  - a. Very Good
  - b. Good
  - c. Fair
  - d. Bad
  - e. Very Bad
  - f. Don't know/No answer



## **Housing**

Now I'd like to ask a few questions about housing.

4. How would you rate the housing market in Adams County, in terms of the public's ability to find quality, affordable housing? [READ ALL RESPONSES, INCLUDING 'DON'T KNOW']
  - a. Very Good
  - b. Good
  - c. Fair
  - d. Bad
  - e. Very Bad
  - f. Don't know/No answer
  
5. Which of the following five situations best describes your housing situation?
  - a. You own your home.
  - b. You rent your home
  - c. You are living with someone else but you don't have a lease.
  - d. You own a mobile home, but are renting the lot.
  - e. Do you have some other situation? \_\_\_\_\_
  
6. In your opinion, how common is discrimination in the housing market based on class, race, disability or other factors? Would you say that it's: [READ ALL RESPONSES, INCLUDING 'DON'T KNOW']
  - a. Very common
  - b. Somewhat common
  - c. Uncommon
  - d. Rare
  - e. There isn't discrimination
  - f. Don't know/No answer
  
7. During the past 12 months, have you ever been at immediate risk of losing your home because you couldn't afford your rent or mortgage? [READ ALL RESPONSES. ANY ANSWERS THAT ANSWER 'MAYBE' OR 'A LITTLE' OR ANY OTHER INTERMEDIATE RESPONSE SHOULD BE COUNTED AS A 'YES.']
  - a. Yes
  - b. No
  - a. Don't know/No Answer

8. For each of the following types of housing, do you believe there is a large need, moderate need, small need, or no need in your community? [READ EACH CATEGORY, GET ONE RESPONSE PER CATEGORY.]
- a. Small, “starter” homes
  - b. Mid-priced homes
  - c. High-end luxury homes
  - d. Mobile homes or manufactured homes
  - e. One or two-bedroom rental units
  - f. Rental units with three or more bedrooms
  - g. Housing options for the homeless and those at risk of homelessness
  - h. Retirement housing

### **Economic Development**

Now I'd like to ask a few questions about your job and work preferences.

9. What is your current employment status? Are you: [READ ALL RESPONSES]
- a. Employed full-time
  - b. Employed part-time
  - c. Unemployed and looking for work
  - d. Not in the labor force
  - e. Self-employed, full or part time
  - f. Don't know/No answer
10. [IF PERSON IS NOT EMPLOYED FULL TIME] Which of the following is the MAIN reason that you do not work full time? Are you: [READ ALL RESPONSES]
- a. Retired
  - b. Disabled and unable to work
  - c. Homemaker
  - d. Student
  - e. Don't need to work
  - f. Unable to find work
  - g. Some other reason besides those mentioned
  - h. Don't Know/No Answer
11. Are you aware of at least one job assistance program in the county that you could use if you needed help getting a job? [READ ALL RESPONSES]
- a. Yes
  - b. No
  - c. Don't Know/No answer

12. How would you rate opportunities in your community to obtain job training or other vocational skills? [READ ALL RESPONSES, INCLUDING 'DON'T KNOW']
- Very Good
  - Good
  - Fair
  - Bad
  - Very Bad
  - Don't know/No answer
13. [IF RESPONDENT IS EMPLOYED FULL-TIME OR PART-TIME] Is your primary place of employment located in Adams County?
- Yes
  - No
  - Don't Know/No Answer
14. [IF RESPONDENT IS EMPLOYED FULL-TIME OR PART-TIME AND WORKS OUTSIDE THE COUNTY] If given the opportunity, would you prefer to work in Adams County?
- Yes
  - No
  - Don't know/No answer
15. [IF RESPONDENT WOULD PREFER TO WORK IN ADAMS COUNTY] I'm going to read a list of five possible obstacles that might prevent you from working in Adams County. Please tell me which one is the most important obstacle. The five statements are:
- The better paying jobs are found outside the county.
  - I have too much seniority in my current job to change jobs.
  - There are more jobs outside Adams County that relate to my skills and experience
  - I am unable to find work in Adams County
  - I like my current employer.

### **Government Communications**

I have a couple of questions about governmental communications now.

16. How would you rate the following two elements of communication with your local government? Please offer your rating of very good, good, fair, bad, or very bad for each one. You can also answer say that you don't know. The first one is: [READ EACH CATEGORY, GET ONE RESPONSE PER CATEGORY.]
- a. Communication on routine government functions. [IF ASKED, PROVIDE EXAMPLES SUCH AS PAYING YOUR TAXES, VOTING, AND ROAD MAINTENANCE.]
  - b. Communication on policy issues and major decisions that will have a new impact on the community. [IF ASKED, PROVIDE EXAMPLES SUCH AS MANAGING GROWTH OR CHANGING TAXES.]
17. Do you believe that there are sufficient opportunities for you to participate in public decision making that will affect the future of your neighborhood?
- a. yes
  - b. no
  - c. don't know
18. To the best of your knowledge, how well do local governments in Adams county work together to coordinate services? Would you rate their coordination:[READ ALL RESPONSES, INCLUDING 'DON'T KNOW'.]
- a. Very Good
  - b. Good
  - c. Fair
  - d. Bad
  - e. Very Bad
  - f. Don't know

### **Education**

I'd like to ask you a few questions about education and job training now.

19. How would you rate the overall public education system in your community in terms of preparing children for future academic or professional opportunities? [READ ALL RESPONSES, INCLUDING 'DON'T KNOW'.]
- a. Very Good
  - b. Good
  - c. Fair
  - d. Bad
  - e. Very Bad
  - f. Don't know

20. I'm going to make several statements and please tell me if you strongly agree, agree, disagree, strongly disagree, or have no opinion about each one. The first statement is: [READ EACH STATEMENT, GET ONE RESPONSE PER STATEMENT.]

- a. There are enough affordable child care programs in my community to meet families' needs.
- b. Child care centers in my community are generally of high quality.
- c. There are enough after-school programs for youth in my community
- d. There are good educational opportunities available to youth in the 16-20 age range who are no longer in school.
- e. There are good educational opportunities in my community for adults.

### **Infrastructure**

I now have a few questions about the basic infrastructure of the county.

21. How satisfied are you with each of the following in your community? As I read the list, please offer one of the following five answers: very good, good, fair, bad, or very bad. You can also answer say that you don't know. [READ EACH CATEGORY, GET ONE RESPONSE PER CATEGORY.]

- a. Maintenance of roadways
- b. Design and layout of roadways
- c. Traffic signals and signage
- d. Water quality
- e. Drainage, storm runoff
- f. Code enforcement [Zoning and neighborhood codes, if asked]
- g. Garbage collection
- h. Parks
- i. Public parking
- j. Animal control
- k. Street lighting
- l. Sidewalks
- m. High speed Internet access
- n. Telephone service

**Public Services**

We're asking some questions about public services now.

22. Which of the following best describes your usage of public transportation? Please select one. [READ ALL RESPONSES.]
- a. I regularly use public transportation.
  - b. I occasionally use public transportation.
  - c. I rarely use public transportation.
  - d. I don't use public transportation.
  - e. Don't know/no answer
23. [IF RESPONDENT DOESN'T USE PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION.] Do you have any interest in using public transportation?
- a. Yes
  - b. No
  - c. Don't Know/No Answer
24. [IF RESPONDENT DOESN'T USE PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION.] Which of the following best describes why you do not use public transportation? [READ LIST, SELECT ONE]
- a. Not familiar with the system
  - b. Not reliable
  - c. Doesn't have convenient routes
  - d. Doesn't have convenient times
  - e. Costs too much
  - f. Too crowded
  - g. Takes too long to travel
  - h. Not safe
  - i. Don't know/No Answer
  - j. Other\_\_\_\_\_

25. Please let us know what you think about the need for the following types of services in your community. As I read the list, please tell me if you think your community needs more, fewer, or the same amount of services in each area. [READ EACH CATEGORY, GET ONE RESPONSE PER CATEGORY.]

- a. mental health services
- b. substance abuse services
- c. youth programs for recreation and enrichment
- d. food assistance for the needy
- e. older adult services
- f. homeless services
- g. services for the disabled
- h. services for victims or domestic violence
- i. victim assistance
- j. subsidized child care services
- k. child care services that extend beyond the normal working day
- l. job training
- m. job training for youth
- n. programs to start or support small business
- o. prevention of child neglect or abuse

26. During the past year, have you or anyone in your household had a need for the following services? Please answer yes or no for each category. [READ EACH CATEGORY, GET ONE RESPONSE PER CATEGORY.]

- a. mental health services
- b. substance abuse services
- c. youth programs for recreation and enrichment
- d. food assistance
- e. older adult services
- f. homeless services
- g. services for the disabled
- h. services for victims of domestic violence
- i. victim assistance
- j. subsidized child care services
- k. child care services that extend beyond the working day
- l. job training
- m. job training for youth
- n. programs to start or support small business
- o. prevention of child neglect or abuse

### **Public Safety/ Law Enforcement**

I have a few questions about public safety for you now.

27. How would you rate the following public safety services provided in your community? As I read the following list, please rate whether you think the service is very good, good, fair, bad, or very bad. You can also answer say that you don't know. [READ EACH CATEGORY, GET ONE RESPONSE PER CATEGORY.]

- a. Law enforcement
- b. Fire response
- c. Emergency response such as paramedics or ambulance
- d. Disaster preparedness

28. How safe do you feel in your neighborhood? [READ ALL RESPONSES.]

- a. Very safe
- b. Somewhat safe
- c. Somewhat unsafe
- d. Very Unsafe
- e. Don't know/ No answer

### **Bilingual Communications**

I'd like to ask one question about language.

29. What is your opinion about local governments providing information in multiple languages? Is there: [READ ALL RESPONSES.]

- a. Too much emphasis on providing multi-lingual information.
- b. About the right amount of emphasis.
- c. Not enough emphasis.
- d. Don't know/ No answer

### **Recreation**

We getting close to the end now. I'd like to ask one question about community relations.

30. How would you rate the opportunities for recreation and cultural activities in your community? Would you say that they're: [READ ALL RESPONSES, INCLUDING 'DON'T KNOW'.]

- a. Very Good
- b. Good
- c. Fair
- d. Bad
- e. Very Bad
- f. Don't know/No answer



31. Based on your preferences, would you say that there are too many, not enough, or about the right amount of the following in Adams County? [READ EACH CATEGORY, GET ONE RESPONSE PER CATEGORY.]
- a. Cultural and arts opportunities
  - b. Free or low-cost recreation opportunities
  - c. Youth Centers
  - d. Senior Centers
  - e. Historical preservation

**Summary Priorities**

32. We've asked questions now about several issues that relate to quality of life in Adams County. I'm going to read an overview of those areas, and please tell me which ONE should be the highest priority for improvement? [READ ALL RESPONSES.]
- a. Housing
  - b. Economic development
  - c. Government communication
  - d. Education
  - e. Infrastructure
  - f. Public services
  - g. Public safety
  - h. Bilingual communications
  - i. Recreation

We're almost finished. I just have a few questions about you that we will use for analysis purposes.

**Demographic Information**

33. Which category includes your age? [READ ALL RESPONSES.]
- a. 18-24
  - b. 25-34
  - c. 35-44
  - d. 45-54
  - e. 55-59
  - f. 60-64
  - g. 65 or over
  - h. Don't know/No answer

34. Which category includes your household's income. Please include all sources of income in your answer. [READ ALL RESPONSES.]

- a. Less than \$10,000
- b. \$10,000 to \$19,999
- c. \$20,000 to \$29,999
- d. \$30,000 to \$39,999
- e. \$40,000 to \$ 49,999
- f. \$50,000 to \$59,999
- g. \$60,000 to 74,999
- h. \$75,000 to 99,999
- i. \$100,000 to \$199,999
- j. \$200,000 or more
- k. Don't know/No answer

35. Have you or anyone in your household earned more than \$200 during the past year from the following sources? Please answer yes or no for each source. [READ EACH CATEGORY, GET ONE RESPONSE PER CATEGORY.]

- a. Wages from a job or self-employment
- b. Interest, dividends, or rental income
- c. Social Security
- d. Supplemental Security Income, also called SSI
- e. Public Assistance Income
- f. Retirement Income

36. Which racial or ethnic group best describes you? You can offer multiple groups if you'd like. [READ RESPONSES ONLY IF REQUESTED.]

- a. African American or Black
- b. Asian American
- c. Hispanic or Latino
- d. American Indian or Alaska Native
- e. Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander
- f. White
- g. Other \_\_\_\_\_
- h. Don't know/No answer

37. Including yourself, how many people live in your household?

- a. \_\_\_\_\_
- b. Don't know/No Answer

38. Are there any children in your household under the age of 18?

- b. Yes
- c. No
- c. Don't know/No Answer

39. What is your zip code? \_\_\_\_\_

40. Gender                      Male \_\_\_\_\_                      Female \_\_\_\_\_

41. As a final question, Adams County may be interested in gathering more detailed opinions on certain topics. Would you be interested in participating in a paid focus group to discuss some of these topics in more detail at some point in the future?

- a. Yes
- b. No

42. [IF INTERESTED IN FOCUS GROUPS] Is this phone number the best way to reach you? [IF NOT, TAKE DOWN NEW PHONE NUMBER OR E-MAIL.] May I have your name to enter into the focus group list? It will be kept separate from your survey responses. [IF SOMEONE WANTS TO GIVE ONLY THEIR FIRST NAME, THAT'S FINE.]

43. Would you like for this phone number to be included in the prize drawing? [IF YES] Would you like to provide us with a first name, or can we contact anyone at this number if you should win? [GET NAME IF NECESSARY.]

Thank you very much for your time! This will be helpful in improving the quality of life in Adams County.

(If asked, the survey is being done as part of a community needs assessment being conducted by Adams County to help plan future priorities. A total of 1,200 people are being surveyed – 1,000 by telephone and 200 via door to door surveys.)

---

**Appendix C. Resident Survey Charts**


---

Housing.....2  
Economic Development.....24  
Government Communication.....45  
Education.....57  
Infrastructure.....73  
Public Services.....102  
Public Safety/Law Enforcement.....172  
Bilingual Communications.....184  
Recreation and Culture.....187  
Summary Priorities.....201


# Housing

## Housing Market


*("How would you rate the housing market in Adams County, in terms of the public's ability to find quality, affordable housing?")*




## Housing Market by Place




### Housing Market by Income



### Housing Market by Age




### Housing Market by Quality of Life




## Housing Situation

*(“Which of the following five situations best describes your housing situation?”)*




### Housing Situation by Place







### Housing Situation by Income



### Housing Situation by Age




## Housing Situation by Quality of Life




### Discrimination in Housing Market


*("In your opinion, how common is discrimination in the housing market based on class, race, disability or other factors?")*




### Discrimination in Housing Market by Place




### Discrimination in Housing Market by Income



### Discrimination in Housing Market by Age




### Discrimination in Housing Market by Quality of Life




**Risked Losing Home in the Last Year**


*(“During the past 12 months, have you ever been at immediate risk of losing your home because you couldn’t afford your rent or mortgage?”)*




**Risked Losing Home in the Last Year by Place**




### Risked Losing Home in the Last Year by Income



### Risked Losing Home in the Last Year by Age




### Risked Losing Home in the Last Year by Quality of Life





### Need for Different Type of Housing



### Need for Different Type of Housing by Place

City	Small, "starter" homes					Grand Total
	Large need	Moderate need	Small need	No need	Don't know	
Arvada	36%	24%	19%	8%	12%	100%
Aurora	45%	24%	10%	8%	13%	100%
Bennett	36%	46%	0%	14%	4%	100%
Brighton	32%	31%	11%	21%	5%	100%
Commerce City	21%	22%	22%	21%	13%	100%
Federal Heights	35%	26%	19%	18%	2%	100%
Northglenn	25%	34%	8%	23%	9%	100%
Thornton	28%	36%	12%	17%	7%	100%
Unincorporated area	26%	31%	14%	23%	6%	100%
Westminster	32%	33%	16%	9%	10%	100%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>100%</b>
City	Mid-priced homes					Grand Total
	Large need	Moderate need	Small need	No need	Don't know	
Arvada	18%	40%	17%	12%	12%	100%
Aurora	31%	41%	10%	8%	9%	100%
Bennett	20%	31%	24%	7%	18%	100%
Brighton	19%	35%	15%	23%	8%	100%
Commerce City	26%	33%	10%	16%	14%	100%
Federal Heights	36%	20%	26%	10%	7%	100%
Northglenn	12%	40%	23%	22%	3%	100%
Thornton	18%	41%	15%	19%	6%	100%
Unincorporated area	19%	39%	14%	19%	8%	100%
Westminster	21%	41%	12%	14%	11%	100%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>100%</b>

<b>High-end luxury homes</b>						
<b>City</b>	<b>Large need</b>	<b>Moderate need</b>	<b>Small need</b>	<b>No need</b>	<b>Don't know</b>	<b>Grand Total</b>
Arvada	0%	13%	23%	52%	12%	100%
Aurora	9%	11%	23%	51%	6%	100%
Bennett	0%	0%	30%	51%	18%	100%
Brighton	4%	7%	30%	46%	12%	100%
Commerce City	4%	10%	18%	55%	13%	100%
Federal Heights	2%	9%	12%	64%	13%	100%
Northglenn	4%	10%	32%	46%	8%	100%
Thornton	4%	15%	21%	54%	6%	100%
Unincorporated area	3%	9%	24%	56%	9%	100%
Westminster	2%	4%	29%	54%	11%	100%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Mobile homes</b>						
<b>City</b>	<b>Large need</b>	<b>Moderate need</b>	<b>Small need</b>	<b>No need</b>	<b>Don't know</b>	<b>Grand Total</b>
Arvada	14%	24%	10%	48%	5%	100%
Aurora	27%	22%	20%	27%	4%	100%
Bennett	0%	31%	13%	38%	19%	100%
Brighton	8%	17%	20%	44%	12%	100%
Commerce City	6%	22%	14%	47%	12%	100%
Federal Heights	20%	14%	11%	40%	14%	100%
Northglenn	7%	13%	19%	53%	8%	100%
Thornton	6%	19%	20%	45%	10%	100%
Unincorporated area	7%	15%	19%	53%	6%	100%
Westminster	4%	16%	23%	41%	17%	100%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>1 - 2 bedroom rentals</b>						
<b>City</b>	<b>Large need</b>	<b>Moderate need</b>	<b>Small need</b>	<b>No need</b>	<b>Don't know</b>	<b>Grand Total</b>
Arvada	38%	24%	14%	19%	5%	100%
Aurora	33%	27%	18%	18%	4%	100%
Bennett	25%	25%	6%	13%	31%	100%
Brighton	14%	33%	18%	29%	6%	100%
Commerce City	19%	28%	15%	25%	13%	100%
Federal Heights	14%	29%	9%	31%	17%	100%
Northglenn	14%	28%	14%	33%	10%	100%
Thornton	14%	30%	15%	31%	11%	100%
Unincorporated area	12%	27%	21%	31%	10%	100%
Westminster	12%	29%	17%	27%	15%	100%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>3- 3&lt; bedroom rentals</b>						
<b>City</b>	<b>Large need</b>	<b>Moderate need</b>	<b>Small need</b>	<b>No need</b>	<b>Don't know</b>	<b>Grand Total</b>
Arvada	19%	38%	24%	14%	5%	100%
Aurora	35%	29%	14%	14%	8%	100%
Bennett	25%	13%	13%	19%	31%	100%
Brighton	15%	31%	20%	23%	11%	100%
Commerce City	20%	28%	14%	25%	13%	100%
Federal Heights	11%	31%	17%	23%	17%	100%
Northglenn	14%	26%	15%	29%	15%	100%
Thornton	14%	30%	15%	27%	14%	100%
Unincorporated area	18%	23%	17%	30%	11%	100%
Westminster	15%	26%	20%	22%	17%	100%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>100%</b>

	<b>Homeless housing</b>					
<b>City</b>	Large need	Moderate need	Small need	No need	Don't know	Grand Total
Arvada	48%	14%	14%	14%	10%	100%
Aurora	53%	16%	14%	10%	8%	100%
Bennett	44%	6%	13%	13%	25%	100%
Brighton	36%	21%	13%	16%	13%	100%
Commerce City	37%	17%	14%	14%	18%	100%
Federal Heights	29%	29%	9%	17%	17%	100%
Northglenn	24%	25%	13%	22%	15%	100%
Thornton	32%	23%	18%	12%	16%	100%
Unincorporated area	28%	21%	21%	18%	11%	100%
Westminster	31%	24%	13%	12%	20%	100%
Grand Total	33%	22%	16%	14%	15%	100%
	<b>Retirement housing</b>					
<b>City</b>	Large need	Moderate need	Small need	No need	Don't know	Grand Total
Arvada	24%	38%	19%	14%	5%	100%
Aurora	49%	27%	4%	14%	6%	100%
Bennett	31%	25%	19%	6%	19%	100%
Brighton	22%	37%	17%	13%	11%	100%
Commerce City	35%	20%	14%	18%	14%	100%
Federal Heights	37%	20%	11%	23%	9%	100%
Northglenn	19%	38%	15%	16%	11%	100%
Thornton	23%	34%	15%	13%	16%	100%
Unincorporated area	19%	30%	21%	20%	9%	100%
Westminster	21%	35%	12%	15%	18%	100%
Grand Total	24%	32%	15%	15%	13%	100%

## Need for Different Type of Housing by Income

<b>Small, "starter" homes</b>						
<b>Income</b>	<b>Don't know</b>	<b>Large need</b>	<b>Moderate need</b>	<b>No need</b>	<b>Small need</b>	<b>Grand Total</b>
Less than \$10,000	9%	31%	34%	8%	17%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	10%	35%	31%	11%	13%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	9%	31%	34%	13%	12%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	10%	30%	29%	19%	12%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	8%	37%	30%	13%	12%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	7%	30%	29%	18%	16%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	5%	31%	32%	22%	10%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	5%	20%	34%	23%	18%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	6%	29%	33%	17%	15%	100%
\$200,000 or more	5%	23%	52%	14%	6%	100%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>100%</b>

<b>Mid-priced homes</b>						
<b>Income</b>	<b>Don't know</b>	<b>Large need</b>	<b>Moderate need</b>	<b>No need</b>	<b>Small need</b>	<b>Grand Total</b>
Less than \$10,000	10%	15%	49%	11%	14%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	13%	25%	37%	7%	17%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	8%	28%	42%	10%	13%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	10%	15%	36%	22%	17%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	7%	22%	43%	12%	16%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	10%	17%	46%	11%	16%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	5%	30%	32%	21%	11%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	4%	16%	31%	31%	18%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	2%	12%	53%	19%	13%	100%
\$200,000 or more	6%	42%	34%	8%	10%	100%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>100%</b>

<b>High-end luxury homes</b>						
<b>Income</b>	<b>Don't know</b>	<b>Large need</b>	<b>Moderate need</b>	<b>No need</b>	<b>Small need</b>	<b>Grand Total</b>
Less than \$10,000	16%	2%	5%	49%	29%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	13%	2%	18%	42%	25%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	10%	6%	5%	55%	24%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	9%	3%	10%	61%	17%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	8%	2%	9%	47%	34%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	10%	5%	8%	58%	19%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	6%	2%	11%	57%	24%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	6%	2%	9%	59%	23%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	4%	7%	15%	46%	29%	100%
\$200,000 or more	6%	21%	5%	20%	47%	100%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>100%</b>

<b>Mobile homes</b>						
<b>Income</b>	<b>Don't know</b>	<b>Large need</b>	<b>Moderate need</b>	<b>No need</b>	<b>Small need</b>	<b>Grand Total</b>
Less than \$10,000	10%	16%	20%	37%	18%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	13%	24%	26%	24%	14%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	8%	5%	20%	42%	25%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	12%	6%	23%	46%	14%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	9%	8%	18%	46%	20%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	9%	5%	15%	52%	19%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	9%	4%	11%	56%	20%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	12%	2%	8%	59%	21%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	9%	3%	16%	50%	22%	100%
\$200,000 or more	11%	16%	8%	25%	40%	100%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>1 - 2 bedroom rentals</b>						
<b>Income</b>	<b>Don't know</b>	<b>Large need</b>	<b>Moderate need</b>	<b>No need</b>	<b>Small need</b>	<b>Grand Total</b>
Less than \$10,000	10%	27%	23%	25%	15%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	14%	24%	37%	13%	12%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	12%	16%	34%	25%	14%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	12%	13%	30%	32%	14%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	11%	16%	29%	23%	22%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	11%	15%	34%	24%	16%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	9%	15%	30%	36%	10%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	10%	6%	16%	38%	30%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	8%	9%	23%	37%	23%	100%
\$200,000 or more	6%	18%	26%	14%	35%	100%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>3- 3&lt; bedroom rentals</b>						
<b>Income</b>	<b>Don't know</b>	<b>Large need</b>	<b>Moderate need</b>	<b>No need</b>	<b>Small need</b>	<b>Grand Total</b>
Less than \$10,000	11%	18%	32%	25%	13%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	16%	23%	32%	11%	18%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	13%	16%	35%	24%	12%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	12%	19%	26%	26%	17%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	11%	22%	27%	18%	22%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	14%	23%	27%	18%	17%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	14%	13%	30%	27%	16%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	10%	9%	20%	35%	25%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	9%	7%	28%	33%	23%	100%
\$200,000 or more	6%	51%	23%	9%	11%	100%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>100%</b>

<b>Homeless housing</b>						
<b>Income</b>	Don't know	Large need	Moderate need	No need	Small need	Grand Total
Less than \$10,000	10%	58%	17%	5%	10%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	16%	42%	24%	8%	10%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	16%	31%	21%	12%	21%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	13%	36%	22%	19%	11%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	17%	29%	24%	13%	18%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	11%	38%	20%	13%	18%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	15%	28%	23%	14%	20%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	19%	23%	22%	13%	24%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	15%	23%	25%	22%	14%	100%
\$200,000 or more	8%	53%	23%	12%	4%	100%
Grand Total	16%	32%	22%	14%	16%	100%

<b>Retirement housing</b>						
<b>Income</b>	Don't know	Large need	Moderate need	No need	Small need	Grand Total
Less than \$10,000	20%	45%	18%	10%	7%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	12%	41%	30%	6%	11%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	19%	20%	37%	11%	12%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	15%	17%	32%	21%	14%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	13%	14%	36%	13%	24%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	13%	22%	37%	14%	14%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	12%	21%	32%	19%	15%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	11%	15%	37%	13%	25%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	12%	19%	34%	17%	18%	100%
\$200,000 or more	13%	52%	5%	8%	23%	100%
Grand Total	14%	22%	33%	15%	16%	100%

## Need for Different Type of Housing by Age

<b>Small, "starter" homes</b>						
<b>Age</b>	<b>Large need</b>	<b>Moderate need</b>	<b>No need</b>	<b>Small need</b>	<b>Don't know</b>	<b>Grand Total</b>
18-24	28%	36%	11%	18%	7%	100%
25-34	28%	30%	19%	14%	9%	100%
35-44	32%	36%	16%	11%	5%	100%
45-54	38%	27%	17%	12%	6%	100%
55-59	32%	37%	15%	10%	5%	100%
60-64	24%	37%	19%	7%	13%	100%
65 or over	20%	27%	18%	19%	15%	100%
Grand Total	30%	32%	17%	13%	8%	100%
<b>Mid-priced homes</b>						
<b>Age</b>	<b>Large need</b>	<b>Moderate need</b>	<b>No need</b>	<b>Small need</b>	<b>Don't know</b>	<b>Grand Total</b>
18-24	22%	48%	9%	17%	4%	100%
25-34	19%	41%	15%	16%	9%	100%
35-44	24%	36%	17%	16%	7%	100%
45-54	22%	41%	21%	12%	5%	100%
55-59	19%	43%	19%	14%	6%	100%
60-64	19%	34%	22%	11%	14%	100%
65 or over	19%	34%	17%	16%	14%	100%
Grand Total	21%	39%	17%	15%	8%	100%
<b>High-end luxury homes</b>						
<b>Age</b>	<b>Large need</b>	<b>Moderate need</b>	<b>No need</b>	<b>Small need</b>	<b>Don't know</b>	<b>Grand Total</b>
18-24	5%	12%	53%	26%	5%	100%
25-34	4%	15%	43%	27%	11%	100%
35-44	5%	7%	55%	28%	5%	100%
45-54	2%	10%	59%	24%	5%	100%
55-59	2%	11%	59%	23%	6%	100%
60-64	2%	5%	60%	19%	14%	100%
65 or over	4%	6%	57%	16%	17%	100%
Grand Total	4%	10%	54%	24%	9%	100%
<b>Mobile homes</b>						
<b>Age</b>	<b>Large need</b>	<b>Moderate need</b>	<b>No need</b>	<b>Small need</b>	<b>Don't know</b>	<b>Grand Total</b>
18-24	13%	17%	44%	18%	9%	100%
25-34	6%	17%	44%	24%	10%	100%
35-44	4%	19%	51%	15%	11%	100%
45-54	8%	14%	49%	23%	6%	100%
55-59	7%	15%	51%	19%	8%	100%
60-64	8%	14%	50%	8%	20%	100%
65 or over	9%	17%	40%	17%	17%	100%
Grand Total	7%	17%	47%	19%	11%	100%
<b>1 - 2 bedroom rentals</b>						
<b>Age</b>	<b>Large need</b>	<b>Moderate need</b>	<b>No need</b>	<b>Small need</b>	<b>Don't know</b>	<b>Grand Total</b>
18-24	20%	35%	23%	19%	4%	100%
25-34	16%	31%	22%	18%	12%	100%
35-44	12%	27%	31%	21%	10%	100%
45-54	17%	25%	35%	15%	9%	100%
55-59	16%	32%	29%	14%	8%	100%
60-64	10%	22%	38%	10%	19%	100%
65 or over	11%	29%	27%	15%	18%	100%
Grand Total	14%	28%	29%	17%	11%	100%

<b>3- 3&lt; bedroom rentals</b>						
<b>Age</b>	<b>Large need</b>	<b>Moderate need</b>	<b>No need</b>	<b>Small need</b>	<b>Don't know</b>	<b>Grand Total</b>
18-24	19%	35%	14%	25%	7%	100%
25-34	21%	30%	19%	19%	11%	100%
35-44	16%	26%	24%	21%	13%	100%
45-54	17%	25%	31%	15%	11%	100%
55-59	13%	38%	22%	11%	16%	100%
60-64	21%	15%	36%	9%	19%	100%
65 or over	10%	24%	29%	18%	19%	100%
Grand Total	16%	27%	25%	18%	13%	100%

<b>Homeless housing</b>						
<b>Age</b>	<b>Large need</b>	<b>Moderate need</b>	<b>No need</b>	<b>Small need</b>	<b>Don't know</b>	<b>Grand Total</b>
18-24	34%	33%	8%	14%	11%	100%
25-34	29%	22%	16%	16%	16%	100%
35-44	37%	17%	13%	19%	15%	100%
45-54	31%	25%	15%	17%	13%	100%
55-59	39%	24%	8%	15%	15%	100%
60-64	32%	20%	17%	16%	15%	100%
65 or over	25%	22%	18%	14%	21%	100%
Grand Total	32%	22%	14%	16%	16%	100%

<b>Retirement housing</b>						
<b>Age</b>	<b>Large need</b>	<b>Moderate need</b>	<b>No need</b>	<b>Small need</b>	<b>Don't know</b>	<b>Grand Total</b>
18-24	16%	32%	21%	22%	9%	100%
25-34	18%	31%	15%	19%	16%	100%
35-44	21%	34%	13%	16%	16%	100%
45-54	29%	32%	12%	18%	9%	100%
55-59	25%	36%	19%	8%	12%	100%
60-64	24%	33%	16%	14%	13%	100%
65 or over	23%	32%	14%	12%	18%	100%
Grand Total	22%	33%	15%	16%	14%	100%



## Need for Different Type of Housing by Quality of Life

<b>Small, "starter" homes</b>						
<b>QOL</b>	Large need	Moderate need	No need	Small need	Don't know	Grand Total
1. very good	25%	31%	17%	16%	11%	100%
2. good	29%	33%	17%	14%	7%	100%
3. fair	37%	28%	18%	9%	8%	100%
4. bad	65%	25%	6%	2%	1%	100%
5. very bad	77%	23%	0%	0%	0%	100%
6. NA	17%	33%	14%	16%	20%	100%
Grand Total	30%	32%	17%	13%	8%	100%

<b>Mid-priced homes</b>						
<b>QOL</b>	Large need	Moderate need	No need	Small need	Don't know	Grand Total
1. very good	21.6%	38.3%	17.3%	11.8%	11.0%	100.0%
2. good	18.5%	40.8%	17.0%	16.9%	6.8%	100.0%
3. fair	24.5%	37.8%	18.1%	10.8%	8.8%	100.0%
4. bad	45.8%	11.0%	10.6%	26.3%	6.2%	100.0%
5. very bad	77.4%	0.0%	22.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
6. NA	28.2%	30.5%	7.7%	6.3%	27.4%	100.0%
Grand Total	21.1%	38.9%	17.0%	14.7%	8.3%	100.0%

<b>High-end luxury homes</b>						
<b>QOL</b>	Large need	Moderate need	No need	Small need	Don't know	Grand Total
1. very good	3%	12%	46%	28%	11%	100%
2. good	3%	9%	56%	25%	8%	100%
3. fair	7%	10%	57%	17%	10%	100%
4. bad	4%	3%	63%	26%	4%	100%
5. very bad	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%
6. NA	6%	6%	37%	32%	18%	100%
Grand Total	4%	10%	54%	24%	9%	100%

<b>Mobile homes</b>						
<b>QOL</b>	Large need	Moderate need	No need	Small need	Don't know	Grand Total
1. very good	6%	16%	42%	22%	13%	100%
2. good	6%	18%	47%	19%	10%	100%
3. fair	8%	15%	52%	15%	10%	100%
4. bad	12%	23%	38%	23%	4%	100%
5. very bad	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%
6. NA	10%	6%	63%	6%	15%	100%
Grand Total	7%	17%	47%	19%	11%	100%

<b>1 - 2 bedroom rentals</b>						
<b>QOL</b>	Large need	Moderate need	No need	Small need	Don't know	Grand Total
1. very good	11%	27%	26%	20%	15%	100%
2. good	14%	30%	28%	18%	10%	100%
3. fair	17%	25%	34%	12%	11%	100%
4. bad	22%	27%	29%	14%	8%	100%
5. very bad	23%	0%	77%	0%	0%	100%
6. NA	7%	16%	44%	6%	26%	100%
Grand Total	14%	28%	29%	17%	11%	100%

<b>3- 3&lt; bedroom rentals</b>						
<b>QOL</b>	Large need	Moderate need	No need	Small need	Don't know	Grand Total
1. very good	11%	32%	25%	16%	16%	100%
2. good	16%	27%	24%	20%	13%	100%
3. fair	23%	25%	26%	15%	11%	100%
4. bad	27%	25%	30%	3%	13%	100%
5. very bad	23%	0%	77%	0%	0%	100%
6. NA	7%	22%	38%	6%	26%	100%
Grand Total	16%	27%	25%	18%	13%	100%


<b>Homeless housing</b>						
<b>QOL</b>	Large need	Moderate need	No need	Small need	Don't know	Grand Total
1. very good	30%	24%	15%	12%	19%	100%
2. good	30%	24%	13%	19%	15%	100%
3. fair	38%	16%	17%	16%	13%	100%
4. bad	46%	10%	8%	18%	19%	100%
5. very bad	77%	0%	0%	23%	0%	100%
6. NA	32%	6%	12%	6%	43%	100%
Grand Total	32%	22%	14%	16%	16%	100%


<b>Retirement housing</b>						
<b>QOL</b>	Large need	Moderate need	No need	Small need	Don't know	Grand Total
1. very good	19%	33%	15%	15%	18%	100%
2. good	21%	35%	14%	18%	12%	100%
3. fair	26%	30%	17%	14%	13%	100%
4. bad	39%	22%	6%	16%	16%	100%
5. very bad	77%	0%	0%	0%	23%	100%
6. NA	42%	6%	12%	6%	34%	100%
Grand Total	22%	33%	15%	16%	14%	100%

# Economic Development


## Employment Status




## Employment Status by Place




### Employment Status by Income




### Employment Status by Age




### Employment Status by Quality of Life



**Reason for Not Being Full-Time Employed**  
*("Which of the following is the MAIN reason that you do not work full time?")*





**Reason for Not Being Full-Time Employed by Place**




■ Disabled, unable to work 
 ■ Don't know/ No answer 
 ■ Don't need to work 
 ■ Homemaker 
 ■ Other reasons


### Reason for Not Being Full-Time Employed by Income



### Reason for Not Being Full-Time Employed by Age




### Reason for Not Being Full-Time Employed by Quality of Life







**Awareness of at Least One Job Assistance Program in the County**  
*("Are you aware of at least one job assistance program in the county that you could use if you needed help getting a job?")*




**Awareness of at Least One Job Assistance Program in the County by Place**




**Awareness of at Least One Job Assistance Program in the County by Income**




**Awareness of at Least One Job Assistance Program in the County by Age**




**Awareness of at Least One Job Assistance Program in the County by Quality of Life**




**Opportunities to Obtain Job Training or Other Vocational Skills**  
*("How would you rate opportunities in your community to obtain job training or other vocational skills?")*




**Opportunities to Obtain Job Training or Other Vocational Skills by Place**




### Opportunities to Obtain Job Training or Other Vocational Skills by Age




### Opportunities to Obtain Job Training or Other Vocational Skills by Income




## Opportunities to Obtain Job Training or Other Vocational Skills by Quality of Life




**Place of Employment**  
*("Is your primary place of employment located in Adams County?")*




**Place of Employment by Place**



### Place of Employment by Income




### Place of Employment by Age







### Place of Employment by Quality of Life




**Preference for Working in the County**  
*("If given the opportunity, would you prefer to work in Adams County?")*




**Preference for Working in the County by Place**




### Preference for Working in the County by Income




### Preference for Working in the County by Age




### Preference for Working in the County by Quality of Life




**Obstacles that Prevent Employed Residents from Working in the County**  
*("I'm going to read a list of five possible obstacles that might prevent you from working in Adams County. Please tell me which one is the most important obstacle.")*




**Obstacles that Prevent Employed Residents from Working in the County by Place**



## Obstacles that Prevent Employed Residents from Working in the County by Income



## Obstacles that Prevent Employed Residents from Working in the County by Age




### Obstacles that Prevent Employed Residents from Working in the County by Quality of Life




## Government Communication

**Communication with Local Government on Routine Functions**  
*("How would you rate the communication with your local government on routine government functions?")*




### Communication with Local Government on Routine Functions by Place







## Communication with Local Government on Routine Functions by Income




## Communication with Local Government on Routine Functions by Age




### Communication with Local Government on Routine Functions by Quality of Life




**Communication with Local Government on Policy Issues and Major Decisions**  
*("How would you rate the communication with your local government on policy issues and major decisions that will have a new impact on the community?")*




**Communication with Local Government on Policy Issues and Major Decisions by Place**




### Communication with Local Government on Policy Issues and Major Decisions by Income




### Communication with Local Government on Policy Issues and Major Decisions by Age




## Communication with Local Government on Policy Issues and Major Decisions by Quality of Life




**Sufficient Opportunities to Participate in Public Decision Making**  
*("Do you believe that there are sufficient opportunities for you to participate in public decision making that will affect the future of your neighborhood?")*




**Sufficient Opportunities to Participate in Public Decision Making by Place**




### Sufficient Opportunities to Participate in Public Decision Making by Income



### Sufficient Opportunities to Participate in Public Decision Making by Age




## Sufficient Opportunities to Participate in Public Decision Making by Quality of Life







**Local Government Coordination of Services**  
*("To the best of your knowledge, how well do local governments in Adams County work together to coordinate services?")*




**Local Government Coordination of Services by Place**




### Local Government Coordination of Services by Income



### Local Government Coordination of Services by Age




## Local Government Coordination of Services by Quality of Life




# Education

## Public Education System


*“How would you rate the overall public education system in your community in terms of preparing children for future academic or professional opportunities?”*




## Public Education System by Place




### Public Education System by Income



### Public Education System by Age




### Public Education System by Quality of Life




### Opinions on Childcare – Education


*("I'm going to make several statements and please tell me if you strongly agree, agree, disagree, strongly disagree, or have no opinion about each one.")*



**Opinions on the Availability of Affordable Child Care Programs by Place**  
*(There are enough affordable childcare programs in my community to meet families' needs)*




**Opinions on the Availability of Affordable Child Care Programs by Income**







### Opinions on the Availability of Affordable Child Care Programs by Age




### Opinions on the Availability of Affordable Child Care Programs by Quality of Life




**Opinions on the Quality of Child Care Centers by Place**  
*(Childcare centers in my community are generally of high quality)*




**Opinions on the Quality of Child Care Centers by Income**




### Opinions on the Quality of Child Care Centers by Age




### Opinions on the Quality of Child Care Centers by Quality of Life




**Opinions on the Availability of After-School Programs for Youth by Place**  
*(There are enough after-school programs for youth in my community)*




**Opinions on the Availability of After-School Programs for Youth by Income**




### Opinions on the Availability of After-School Programs for Youth by Age




### Opinions on the Availability of After-School Programs for Youth by Quality of Life




### Opinions on the Availability of After-School Programs for Youth by Existence of Children Under 18 in the Household




### Opinions on the Availability of Educational Opportunities to Youth by Place (There are good educational opportunities available to youth in the 16-20 age range who are no longer in school)




### Opinions on the Availability of Educational Opportunities to Youth by Income



### Opinions on the Availability of Educational Opportunities to Youth by Age




## Opinions on the Availability of Educational Opportunities to Youth by Quality of Life







## Opinions on the Availability of Educational Opportunities to Youth by Existence of Children Under 18 in the Household




## Opinions on the Availability of Educational Opportunities for Adults by Place (There are good educational opportunities in my community for adults)




## Opinions on the Availability of Educational Opportunities for Adults by Income



## Opinions on the Availability of Educational Opportunities for Adults by Age



## Opinions on the Availability of Educational Opportunities for Adults by Quality of Life




# Infrastructure

**Satisfaction with the Basic Infrastructure**  
*("How satisfied are you with each of the following in the community?")*



### Maintenance of the Roadways




Maintenance of the Roadways							
Income	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	Grand Total
Less than \$10,000	10%	32%	28%	18%	8%	4%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	11%	51%	24%	8%	5%	1%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	10%	42%	27%	10%	9%	3%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	7%	39%	35%	10%	8%	2%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	16%	48%	20%	8%	6%	2%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	12%	51%	20%	12%	4%	0%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	13%	37%	34%	12%	4%	0%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	7%	54%	27%	8%	5%	0%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	20%	43%	21%	11%	5%	0%	100%
\$200,000 or more	10%	63%	11%	10%	4%	2%	100%
Grand Total	12%	45%	27%	10%	6%	1%	100%

Maintenance of the Roadways							
Age	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	Grand Total
18-24	8%	47%	26%	9%	8%	3%	100%
25-34	9%	49%	27%	8%	5%	1%	100%
35-44	15%	40%	25%	11%	7%	2%	100%
45-54	14%	42%	25%	13%	6%	0%	100%
55-59	7%	37%	41%	11%	4%	0%	100%
60-64	10%	48%	32%	4%	5%	0%	100%
65 or over	12%	50%	23%	9%	4%	3%	100%
Grand Total	12%	45%	27%	10%	6%	1%	100%

QOL	Maintenance of the Roadways						Grand Total
	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	
1. very good	23%	45%	21%	8%	3%	0%	100%
2. good	9%	49%	27%	9%	4%	1%	100%
3. fair	5%	34%	31%	13%	12%	5%	100%
4. bad	3%	11%	40%	17%	24%	5%	100%
5. very bad	0%	0%	0%	77%	23%	0%	100%
6. NA	6%	58%	21%	6%	0%	9%	100%
Grand Total	12%	45%	27%	10%	6%	1%	100%

### Design and Layout of Roadways



Design and Layout of Roadways							
Income	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	Grand Total
Less than \$10,000	8%	44%	26%	11%	6%	6%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	11%	42%	25%	9%	8%	4%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	6%	47%	25%	14%	7%	2%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	5%	37%	33%	13%	8%	5%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	4%	60%	25%	7%	2%	2%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	11%	51%	24%	9%	4%	0%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	5%	47%	28%	14%	6%	0%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	3%	53%	36%	7%	1%	0%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	18%	43%	27%	9%	3%	1%	100%
\$200,000 or more	4%	70%	21%	3%	2%	2%	100%
Grand Total	7%	47%	29%	11%	5%	2%	100%


  

Design and Layout of Roadways							
Age	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	Grand Total
18-24	5%	54%	22%	4%	13%	2%	100%
25-34	6%	55%	24%	10%	3%	2%	100%
35-44	8%	46%	29%	12%	4%	2%	100%
45-54	10%	41%	33%	10%	5%	2%	100%
55-59	10%	35%	29%	18%	6%	2%	100%
60-64	6%	41%	30%	18%	3%	2%	100%
65 or over	5%	49%	32%	8%	5%	2%	100%
Grand Total	7%	47%	29%	11%	5%	2%	100%

QOL	Design and Layout of Roadways						Grand Total
	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	
1. very good	14%	51%	24%	8%	2%	2%	100%
2. good	6%	51%	29%	7%	4%	2%	100%
3. fair	3%	32%	33%	22%	8%	2%	100%
4. bad	0%	16%	35%	26%	15%	8%	100%
5. very bad	0%	0%	0%	77%	23%	0%	100%
6. NA	6%	68%	11%	6%	0%	9%	100%
Grand Total	7%	47%	29%	11%	5%	2%	100%



## Traffic Signals and Signage




Traffic Signals and Signage							
	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	Grand Total
<b>Income</b>	9%	37%	29%	11%	8%	6%	100%
Less than \$10,000	9%	57%	23%	7%	2%	2%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	5%	58%	22%	11%	5%	0%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	8%	43%	31%	15%	4%	0%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	3%	56%	23%	8%	6%	2%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	11%	57%	24%	5%	3%	1%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	4%	49%	31%	11%	6%	0%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	2%	48%	29%	17%	4%	0%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	13%	49%	24%	12%	2%	0%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	22%	47%	23%	7%	2%	0%	100%
\$200,000 or more	12%	42%	27%	9%	6%	5%	100%
Grand Total	8%	50%	26%	10%	4%	1%	100%

Traffic Signals and Signage							
	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	Grand Total
<b>Age</b>							
18-24	6%	51%	25%	13%	5%	0%	100%
25-34	7%	55%	25%	8%	5%	0%	100%
35-44	9%	44%	26%	18%	2%	1%	100%
45-54	7%	51%	28%	7%	5%	1%	100%
55-59	8%	43%	32%	9%	7%	1%	100%
60-64	7%	48%	26%	12%	4%	2%	100%
65 or over	7%	57%	23%	6%	4%	3%	100%
Grand Total	8%	50%	26%	10%	4%	1%	100%

QOL	Traffic Signals and Signage						Grand Total
	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	
1. very good	15%	52%	23%	6%	4%	1%	100%
2. good	6%	55%	26%	9%	3%	1%	100%
3. fair	4%	37%	29%	19%	9%	2%	100%
4. bad	0%	22%	30%	27%	12%	9%	100%
5. very bad	0%	0%	0%	77%	23%	0%	100%
6. NA	6%	31%	48%	6%	0%	9%	100%
Grand Total	8%	50%	26%	10%	4%	1%	100%

## Water Quality




Income	Water Quality						Grand Total
	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	
Less than \$10,000	10%	32%	28%	18%	8%	4%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	11%	51%	24%	8%	5%	1%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	10%	42%	27%	10%	9%	3%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	7%	39%	35%	10%	8%	2%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	16%	48%	20%	8%	6%	2%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	12%	51%	20%	12%	4%	0%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	13%	37%	34%	12%	4%	0%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	7%	54%	27%	8%	5%	0%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	20%	43%	21%	11%	5%	0%	100%
\$200,000 or more	10%	63%	11%	10%	4%	2%	100%
Grand Total	12%	45%	27%	10%	6%	1%	100%

Age	Water Quality						Grand Total
	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	
18-24	8%	47%	26%	9%	8%	3%	100%
25-34	9%	49%	27%	8%	5%	1%	100%
35-44	15%	40%	25%	11%	7%	2%	100%
45-54	14%	42%	25%	13%	6%	0%	100%
55-59	7%	37%	41%	11%	4%	0%	100%
60-64	10%	48%	32%	4%	5%	0%	100%
65 or over	12%	50%	23%	9%	4%	3%	100%
NA	13%	51%	16%	0%	0%	20%	100%
Grand Total	12%	45%	27%	10%	6%	1%	100%

QOL	Water Quality						Grand Total
	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	
1. very good	23%	45%	21%	8%	3%	0%	100%
2. good	9%	49%	27%	9%	4%	1%	100%
3. fair	5%	34%	31%	13%	12%	5%	100%
4. bad	3%	11%	40%	17%	24%	5%	100%
5. very bad	0%	0%	0%	77%	23%	0%	100%
6. NA	6%	58%	21%	6%	0%	9%	100%
Grand Total	12%	45%	27%	10%	6%	1%	100%

### Drainage, Storm Runoff




Drainage, Storm Runoff							
Income	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	Grand Total
Less than \$10,000	13%	49%	16%	6%	4%	13%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	8%	62%	17%	5%	3%	6%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	7%	47%	33%	5%	5%	3%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	6%	45%	25%	11%	5%	7%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	10%	56%	21%	9%	0%	4%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	6%	59%	17%	11%	3%	3%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	12%	57%	22%	4%	4%	1%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	6%	61%	22%	5%	3%	3%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	14%	62%	9%	5%	1%	8%	100%
\$200,000 or more	7%	70%	5%	16%	0%	2%	100%
Grand Total	9%	54%	22%	7%	3%	5%	100%

Drainage, Storm Runoff							
Age	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	Grand Total
18-24	11%	49%	22%	5%	5%	8%	100%
25-34	8%	59%	19%	6%	2%	6%	100%
35-44	10%	56%	19%	8%	3%	4%	100%
45-54	8%	52%	21%	8%	6%	5%	100%
55-59	8%	50%	28%	12%	1%	1%	100%
60-64	8%	51%	28%	7%	3%	3%	100%
65 or over	7%	54%	26%	4%	4%	5%	100%
Grand Total	9%	54%	22%	7%	3%	5%	100%

QOL	Drainage, Storm Runoff						Grand Total
	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	
1. very good	17%	53%	17%	4%	2%	5%	100%
2. good	7%	60%	22%	5%	2%	5%	100%
3. fair	5%	42%	28%	14%	6%	4%	100%
4. bad	0%	23%	18%	27%	26%	6%	100%
5. very bad	0%	23%	0%	77%	0%	0%	100%
Grand Total	9%	54%	22%	7%	3%	5%	100%

## Code Enforcement



Income	Code Enforcement						Grand Total	Grand Total
	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know		
Less than \$10,000	9%	39%	18%	3%	7%	25%	0%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	10%	51%	14%	10%	4%	11%	0%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	8%	48%	17%	9%	7%	11%	0%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	6%	42%	25%	10%	6%	11%	0%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	9%	45%	17%	7%	2%	19%	0%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	8%	39%	25%	11%	8%	10%	0%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	8%	42%	29%	5%	4%	12%	0%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	11%	48%	17%	12%	1%	12%	0%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	3%	46%	26%	6%	6%	12%	0%	100%
\$200,000 or more	5%	48%	11%	23%	4%	9%	0%	100%
Grand Total	8%	44%	21%	9%	5%	13%	1%	100%


  

Age	Code Enforcement						Grand Total	Grand Total
	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know		
18-24	5%	52%	16%	4%	12%	11%	0%	100%
25-34	11%	44%	20%	9%	4%	13%	0%	100%
35-44	10%	41%	21%	11%	4%	13%	0%	100%
45-54	6%	47%	23%	9%	6%	10%	0%	100%
55-59	10%	38%	20%	8%	5%	18%	0%	100%
60-64	5%	39%	32%	10%	6%	8%	0%	100%
65 or over	6%	44%	22%	8%	3%	17%	0%	100%
Grand Total	8%	44%	21%	9%	5%	13%	1%	100%

QOL	Code Enforcement						Grand Total	Grand Total
	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know		
1. very good	14%	45%	19%	4%	4%	14%	2%	100%
2. good	7%	49%	21%	7%	4%	13%	0%	100%
3. fair	5%	28%	28%	20%	8%	11%	0%	100%
4. bad	5%	30%	9%	30%	20%	7%	0%	100%
5. very bad	0%	0%	0%	77%	23%	0%	0%	100%
6. NA	12%	7%	16%	9%	6%	43%	7%	100%
Grand Total	8%	44%	21%	9%	5%	13%	1%	100%



## Garbage Collection




Garbage Collection							
Income	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	Grand Total
Less than \$10,000	24%	55%	9%	7%	1%	4%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	23%	60%	12%	0%	1%	4%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	19%	60%	13%	1%	3%	5%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	16%	62%	16%	1%	2%	3%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	23%	64%	6%	3%	2%	3%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	20%	60%	4%	3%	7%	5%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	20%	63%	7%	4%	1%	4%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	19%	64%	6%	1%	0%	11%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	27%	64%	5%	0%	1%	4%	100%
\$200,000 or more	22%	52%	11%	10%	5%	0%	100%
Grand Total	21%	61%	9%	2%	2%	5%	100%

Garbage Collection							
Age	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	Grand Total
18-24	26%	57%	10%	1%	5%	1%	100%
25-34	20%	64%	10%	2%	2%	4%	100%
35-44	22%	62%	7%	3%	2%	4%	100%
45-54	17%	66%	6%	3%	2%	5%	100%
55-59	17%	57%	14%	3%	2%	7%	100%
60-64	23%	54%	12%	0%	4%	7%	100%
65 or over	23%	58%	7%	1%	2%	9%	100%
NA	13%	51%	0%	0%	16%	20%	100%
Grand Total	21%	61%	9%	2%	2%	5%	100%

QOL	Garbage Collection						Grand Total
	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	
1. very good	35%	50%	5%	2%	2%	5%	100%
2. good	19%	66%	8%	1%	1%	5%	100%
3. fair	9%	61%	14%	6%	3%	6%	100%
4. bad	9%	47%	26%	0%	14%	4%	100%
5. very bad	0%	23%	0%	0%	77%	0%	100%
6. NA	6%	69%	0%	4%	6%	8%	100%
Grand Total	21%	61%	9%	2%	2%	5%	100%

### Parks




Income	Parks						Grand Total
	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	
Less than \$10,000	21%	44%	6%	1%	3%	24%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	19%	48%	19%	4%	2%	8%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	29%	48%	16%	3%	0%	4%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	14%	57%	19%	6%	1%	3%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	25%	45%	23%	5%	0%	2%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	27%	52%	13%	1%	2%	4%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	26%	57%	14%	0%	1%	0%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	27%	50%	12%	6%	1%	4%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	37%	47%	12%	1%	1%	2%	100%
\$200,000 or more	31%	42%	14%	13%	0%	0%	100%
Grand Total	24%	51%	15%	3%	1%	4%	100%

Age	Parks						Grand Total
	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	
18-24	23%	55%	16%	2%	3%	1%	100%
25-34	27%	48%	19%	3%	0%	3%	100%
35-44	26%	53%	15%	3%	1%	1%	100%
45-54	24%	50%	15%	5%	3%	3%	100%
55-59	24%	53%	16%	5%	1%	0%	100%
60-64	23%	48%	18%	5%	2%	4%	100%
65 or over	21%	53%	10%	2%	0%	14%	100%
Grand Total	24%	51%	15%	3%	1%	4%	100%

QOL	Parks							Grand Total
	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know		
1. very good	39%	45%	10%	1%	1%	3%	100%	
2. good	24%	52%	15%	3%	1%	4%	100%	
3. fair	8%	59%	20%	7%	4%	3%	100%	
4. bad	6%	44%	35%	6%	3%	7%	100%	
5. very bad	0%	23%	77%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
Grand Total	24%	51%	15%	3%	1%	4%	100%	

## Public Parking




Public Parking							
Income	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	Grand Total
Less than \$10,000	18%	40%	21%	5%	4%	13%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	9%	49%	22%	7%	4%	9%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	9%	43%	26%	7%	4%	11%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	6%	52%	28%	5%	2%	7%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	9%	45%	31%	3%	0%	12%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	8%	59%	21%	6%	1%	5%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	7%	54%	28%	3%	1%	7%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	10%	56%	21%	7%	0%	7%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	6%	63%	19%	1%	1%	10%	100%
\$200,000 or more	22%	51%	16%	8%	0%	2%	100%
Grand Total	9%	51%	24%	5%	2%	9%	100%

Public Parking							
Age	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	Grand Total
18-24	12%	48%	27%	8%	5%	1%	100%
25-34	10%	53%	27%	3%	1%	6%	100%
35-44	9%	52%	22%	6%	1%	10%	100%
45-54	8%	54%	25%	5%	3%	6%	100%
55-59	10%	45%	30%	4%	4%	7%	100%
60-64	8%	40%	28%	7%	1%	16%	100%
65 or over	7%	49%	19%	5%	1%	18%	100%
Grand Total	9%	51%	24%	5%	2%	9%	100%

QOL	Public Parking						Grand Total
	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	
1. very good	14%	47%	23%	3%	1%	10%	100%
2. good	9%	57%	21%	3%	1%	9%	100%
3. fair	4%	40%	33%	13%	4%	5%	100%
4. bad	0%	19%	41%	15%	13%	13%	100%
5. very bad	0%	23%	0%	0%	77%	0%	100%
Grand Total	9%	51%	24%	5%	2%	9%	100%

### Animal Control



Animal Control							
Income	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	Grand Total
Less than \$10,000	25%	47%	15%	5%	3%	6%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	13%	58%	15%	7%	4%	3%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	10%	53%	27%	6%	0%	4%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	14%	53%	21%	3%	2%	7%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	12%	55%	19%	7%	0%	7%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	19%	49%	15%	4%	3%	9%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	11%	55%	21%	4%	3%	6%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	15%	58%	17%	2%	0%	8%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	7%	64%	12%	4%	2%	11%	100%
\$200,000 or more	48%	30%	14%	2%	0%	6%	100%
Grand Total	14%	54%	19%	5%	2%	7%	100%


  

Animal Control							
Age	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	Grand Total
18-24	16%	46%	19%	4%	1%	14%	100%
25-34	12%	57%	18%	6%	1%	6%	100%
35-44	13%	54%	17%	5%	2%	9%	100%
45-54	15%	54%	19%	5%	2%	5%	100%
55-59	13%	53%	20%	2%	2%	10%	100%
60-64	5%	58%	20%	6%	1%	9%	100%
65 or over	17%	56%	19%	4%	1%	2%	100%
Grand Total	14%	54%	19%	5%	2%	7%	100%

QOL	Animal Control						Grand Total
	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	
1. very good	23%	52%	12%	2%	1%	9%	100%
2. good	12%	58%	18%	3%	2%	7%	100%
3. fair	8%	48%	27%	12%	1%	4%	100%
4. bad	2%	30%	35%	20%	6%	7%	100%
5. very bad	0%	0%	0%	0%	23%	77%	100%
Grand Total	14%	54%	19%	5%	2%	7%	100%



## Street Lighting




Street Lighting							
Income	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	Grand Total
Less than \$10,000	14%	37%	22%	18%	7%	2%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	19%	58%	11%	10%	3%	0%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	10%	51%	27%	8%	5%	0%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	6%	58%	23%	10%	1%	2%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	10%	59%	21%	10%	0%	0%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	9%	61%	23%	5%	1%	2%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	9%	58%	25%	5%	2%	2%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	8%	62%	23%	8%	0%	0%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	16%	59%	19%	1%	3%	1%	100%
\$200,000 or more	20%	43%	7%	30%	0%	0%	100%
Grand Total	11%	57%	21%	8%	2%	1%	100%

Street Lighting							
Age	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	Grand Total
18-24	14%	43%	24%	16%	2%	1%	100%
25-34	9%	54%	27%	10%	1%	0%	100%
35-44	11%	56%	24%	7%	2%	1%	100%
45-54	11%	57%	22%	6%	3%	1%	100%
55-59	8%	64%	14%	10%	3%	1%	100%
60-64	13%	57%	18%	11%	2%	0%	100%
65 or over	14%	66%	12%	4%	3%	3%	100%
Grand Total	11%	57%	21%	8%	2%	1%	100%

QOL	Street Lighting						Grand Total
	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	
1. very good	23%	55%	14%	3%	3%	1%	100%
2. good	8%	63%	22%	6%	1%	1%	100%
3. fair	4%	44%	30%	18%	4%	1%	100%
4. bad	3%	34%	25%	25%	9%	5%	100%
5. very bad	23%	77%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Grand Total	11%	57%	21%	8%	2%	1%	100%

## Sidewalks




Sidewalks							
Income	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	Grand Total
Less than \$10,000	13%	44%	21%	8%	8%	6%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	14%	48%	25%	7%	4%	3%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	6%	65%	17%	9%	1%	2%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	6%	63%	17%	8%	0%	5%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	7%	65%	18%	7%	1%	3%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	6%	70%	15%	4%	4%	1%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	8%	65%	20%	3%	2%	2%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	14%	66%	14%	6%	1%	0%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	14%	50%	26%	4%	3%	3%	100%
\$200,000 or more	25%	55%	17%	0%	2%	2%	100%
Grand Total	10%	60%	19%	6%	2%	2%	100%

Sidewalks							
Age	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	Grand Total
18-24	12%	52%	18%	13%	4%	1%	100%
25-34	10%	60%	20%	8%	1%	1%	100%
35-44	12%	62%	20%	3%	0%	3%	100%
45-54	9%	59%	19%	7%	4%	2%	100%
55-59	4%	61%	21%	10%	3%	1%	100%
60-64	9%	63%	18%	8%	1%	2%	100%
65 or over	10%	65%	15%	3%	3%	4%	100%
Grand Total	10%	60%	19%	6%	2%	2%	100%

QOL	Sidewalks						Grand Total
	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	
1. very good	19%	59%	15%	3%	2%	1%	100%
2. good	7%	66%	17%	6%	1%	3%	100%
3. fair	6%	50%	29%	9%	4%	2%	100%
4. bad	2%	26%	25%	30%	4%	13%	100%
5. very bad	0%	0%	77%	23%	0%	0%	100%
Grand Total	10%	60%	19%	6%	2%	2%	100%

## High Speed Internet Access




High Speed Internet Access							
Income	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	Grand Total
Less than \$10,000	2%	24%	12%	3%	6%	52%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	3%	28%	18%	5%	1%	45%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	14%	37%	13%	6%	4%	27%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	9%	41%	12%	5%	0%	33%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	8%	35%	10%	6%	3%	37%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	12%	36%	10%	5%	5%	32%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	7%	40%	22%	6%	4%	21%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	15%	48%	11%	5%	3%	19%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	16%	41%	12%	8%	3%	19%	100%
\$200,000 or more	7%	31%	31%	0%	4%	27%	100%
Grand Total	10%	36%	14%	5%	3%	31%	100%

High Speed Internet Access							
Age	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	Grand Total
18-24	12%	35%	15%	10%	6%	23%	100%
25-34	12%	35%	17%	6%	3%	26%	100%
35-44	9%	39%	12%	6%	4%	30%	100%
45-54	9%	40%	16%	5%	3%	27%	100%
55-59	7%	41%	11%	6%	6%	31%	100%
60-64	10%	28%	16%	4%	0%	41%	100%
65 or over	10%	29%	10%	2%	2%	47%	100%
Grand Total	10%	36%	14%	5%	3%	31%	100%

QOL	High Speed Internet Access						Grand Total
	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	
1. very good	15%	37%	12%	5%	4%	26%	100%
2. good	9%	38%	14%	4%	3%	32%	100%
3. fair	7%	30%	16%	9%	4%	33%	100%
4. bad	0%	37%	19%	16%	6%	21%	100%
5. very bad	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%
Grand Total	10%	36%	14%	5%	3%	31%	100%

## Telephone Services



Telephone Services							
Income	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	Grand Total
Less than \$10,000	18%	52%	25%	2%	2%	2%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	15%	64%	12%	5%	3%	1%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	10%	65%	19%	5%	1%	0%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	6%	68%	20%	5%	2%	1%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	10%	60%	22%	4%	1%	2%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	13%	68%	13%	4%	0%	2%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	8%	67%	18%	7%	0%	0%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	17%	63%	10%	8%	1%	0%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	20%	68%	8%	2%	1%	0%	100%
\$200,000 or more	43%	41%	14%	2%	0%	0%	100%
Grand Total	13%	64%	17%	4%	1%	1%	100%

Telephone Services							
Age	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	Grand Total
18-24	20%	60%	13%	5%	1%	1%	100%
25-34	13%	62%	18%	6%	0%	1%	100%
35-44	16%	62%	19%	4%	0%	1%	100%
45-54	11%	61%	18%	5%	3%	1%	100%
55-59	6%	73%	16%	5%	0%	0%	100%
60-64	12%	66%	11%	5%	2%	4%	100%
65 or over	11%	71%	14%	2%	1%	1%	100%
Grand Total	13%	64%	17%	4%	1%	1%	100%


QOL	Telephone Services						Grand Total
	Very good	Good	Fair	Bad	Very bad	Don't know	
1. very good	22%	62%	9%	3%	1%	1%	100%
2. good	11%	70%	14%	4%	1%	1%	100%
3. fair	7%	49%	32%	9%	1%	1%	100%
4. bad	1%	54%	36%	5%	3%	1%	100%
5. very bad	23%	0%	77%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Grand Total	13%	64%	17%	4%	1%	1%	100%




# Public Services

## Usage of Public Transportation


*("Which of the following best describes your usage of public transportation?")*




## Usage of Public Transportation by Place




### Usage of Public Transportation by Income




### Usage of Public Transportation by Age




### Usage of Public Transportation by Quality of Life




**Interest in Using Public Transportations**  
*("Do you have any interest in using public transportation?")*




**Interest in Using Public Transportations by Place**




**Interest in Using Public Transportations by Income**



### Interest in Using Public Transportsations by Age




### Interest in Using Public Transportations by Quality of Life




**Reasons for Not Using Public Transportation**  
*("Which of the following best describes why you do not use public transportation?")*




**"Other" Reason for Not Using Public Transportation**



### Reasons for Not Using Public Transportation by Place




### Reasons for Not Using Public Transportation by Income







### Reasons for Not Using Public Transportation by Age




### Reasons for Not Using Public Transportation by Quality of Life



**Opinion on the Need for Different Types of Services**  
*("Please let us know what you think about the need for the following types of services in your community.")*



## Mental Health




Mental Health				
Income	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
Less than \$10,000	46%	47%	6%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	58%	39%	3%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	39%	54%	7%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	39%	57%	4%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	33%	62%	5%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	41%	57%	2%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	47%	50%	3%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	36%	63%	1%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	35%	63%	2%	100%
\$200,000 or more	47%	37%	16%	100%
NA	38%	58%	4%	100%
Grand Total	41%	56%	4%	100%

Mental Health				
Age	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
18-24	46%	47%	8%	100%
25-34	35%	61%	5%	100%
35-44	42%	55%	3%	100%
45-54	43%	53%	4%	100%
55-59	36%	60%	3%	100%
60-64	43%	55%	2%	100%
65 or over	43%	55%	2%	100%
NA	13%	87%	0%	100%
Grand Total	41%	56%	4%	100%

QOL	Mental Health			Grand Total
	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	
1. very good	42%	57%	1%	100%
2. good	39%	57%	4%	100%
3. fair	45%	51%	4%	100%
4. bad	44%	40%	15%	100%
5. very bad	77%	23%	0%	100%
6. NA	11%	63%	26%	100%
Grand Total	41%	56%	4%	100%

### Substance Abuse Services




Substance Abuse Services				
Income	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
Less than \$10,000	55%	40%	5%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	69%	29%	3%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	42%	49%	10%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	60%	36%	4%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	47%	50%	3%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	47%	50%	2%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	46%	52%	2%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	40%	58%	2%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	39%	61%	0%	100%
\$200,000 or more	81%	17%	2%	100%
NA	39%	56%	5%	100%
Grand Total	48%	48%	4%	100%

Substance Abuse Services				
Age	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
18-24	65%	31%	4%	100%
25-34	41%	55%	3%	100%
35-44	50%	47%	3%	100%
45-54	49%	48%	3%	100%
55-59	43%	52%	5%	100%
60-64	46%	49%	5%	100%
65 or over	51%	45%	4%	100%
NA	13%	87%	0%	100%
Grand Total	48%	48%	4%	100%

<b>QOL</b>	<b>Substance Abuse Services</b>			<b>Grand Total</b>
	<b>Need more</b>	<b>Need same</b>	<b>Need fewer</b>	
1. very good	43%	56%	1%	100%
2. good	46%	50%	4%	100%
3. fair	62%	34%	4%	100%
4. bad	50%	27%	23%	100%
5. very bad	77%	0%	23%	100%
6. NA	43%	57%	0%	100%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>100%</b>

### Youth Program for Recreation and Enrichment



Youth Program for Recreation and Enrichment				
Income	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
Less than \$10,000	57%	37%	6%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	68%	30%	2%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	63%	35%	2%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	68%	30%	2%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	68%	32%	0%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	62%	36%	2%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	57%	39%	4%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	59%	41%	0%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	67%	33%	0%	100%
\$200,000 or more	74%	25%	2%	100%
NA	54%	45%	2%	100%
Grand Total	63%	36%	2%	100%


  

Youth Program for Recreation and Enrichment				
Age	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
18-24	76%	21%	3%	100%
25-34	64%	33%	3%	100%
35-44	68%	30%	2%	100%
45-54	64%	34%	2%	100%
55-59	57%	42%	1%	100%
60-64	54%	44%	2%	100%
65 or over	51%	49%	0%	100%
NA	28%	72%	0%	100%
Grand Total	63%	36%	2%	100%

<b>Youth Program for Recreation and Enrichment</b>				
<b>QOL</b>	<b>Need more</b>	<b>Need same</b>	<b>Need fewer</b>	<b>Grand Total</b>
1. very good	54%	45%	1%	100%
2. good	62%	36%	2%	100%
3. fair	74%	25%	1%	100%
4. bad	87%	11%	1%	100%
5. very bad	100%	0%	0%	100%
6. NA	27%	47%	26%	100%
Grand Total	63%	36%	2%	100%



### Food Assistance for the Needy




Food Assistance for the Needy				
Income	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
Less than \$10,000	66%	32%	1%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	75%	25%	0%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	63%	35%	2%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	65%	30%	5%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	58%	41%	1%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	60%	38%	2%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	54%	43%	3%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	57%	43%	0%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	57%	42%	0%	100%
\$200,000 or more	92%	8%	0%	100%
NA	55%	44%	1%	100%
Grand Total	61%	37%	2%	100%

Food Assistance for the Needy				
Age	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
18-24	73%	21%	6%	100%
25-34	57%	42%	1%	100%
35-44	62%	36%	2%	100%
45-54	65%	32%	2%	100%
55-59	56%	43%	1%	100%
60-64	61%	37%	2%	100%
65 or over	55%	45%	1%	100%
NA	28%	72%	0%	100%
Grand Total	61%	37%	2%	100%

QOL	Food Assistance for the Needy			Grand Total
	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	
1. very good	57%	42%	1%	100%
2. good	57%	41%	2%	100%
3. fair	73%	24%	3%	100%
4. bad	77%	21%	1%	100%
5. very bad	77%	23%	0%	100%
6. NA	64%	36%	0%	100%
Grand Total	61%	37%	2%	100%

### Older Adult Services




Older Adult Services				
Income	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
Less than \$10,000	58%	42%	1%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	67%	29%	4%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	53%	43%	4%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	58%	38%	4%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	52%	48%	0%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	56%	41%	3%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	54%	44%	2%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	50%	50%	0%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	44%	56%	0%	100%
\$200,000 or more	69%	29%	2%	100%
NA	49%	49%	2%	100%
Grand Total	54%	44%	2%	100%

Older Adult Services				
Age	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
18-24	55%	40%	5%	100%
25-34	44%	53%	2%	100%
35-44	58%	39%	3%	100%
45-54	60%	38%	2%	100%
55-59	59%	41%	0%	100%
60-64	52%	47%	1%	100%
65 or over	51%	48%	1%	100%
NA	28%	72%	0%	100%
Grand Total	54%	44%	2%	100%

<b>Older Adult Services</b>				
<b>QOL</b>	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
1. very good	49%	50%	1%	100%
2. good	51%	47%	2%	100%
3. fair	67%	29%	4%	100%
4. bad	78%	19%	3%	100%
5. very bad	77%	23%	0%	100%
6. NA	53%	40%	8%	100%
Grand Total	54%	44%	2%	100%

## Homeless Services




Homeless Services				
	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
<b>Income</b>	62%	38%	1%	100%
Less than \$10,000	72%	26%	2%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	56%	38%	6%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	62%	35%	3%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	53%	45%	2%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	60%	39%	1%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	52%	43%	5%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	54%	46%	1%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	50%	49%	1%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	86%	12%	2%	100%
\$200,000 or more	48%	48%	3%	100%
Grand Total	57%	41%	3%	100%

Homeless Services				
	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
<b>Age</b>				
18-24	72%	23%	5%	100%
25-34	50%	47%	3%	100%
35-44	59%	40%	2%	100%
45-54	63%	33%	4%	100%
55-59	57%	40%	3%	100%
60-64	59%	41%	0%	100%
65 or over	48%	50%	3%	100%
NA	28%	72%	0%	100%
Grand Total	57%	41%	3%	100%

<b>Homeless Services</b>				
<b>QOL</b>	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
1. very good	56%	43%	1%	100%
2. good	55%	42%	2%	100%
3. fair	61%	35%	4%	100%
4. bad	67%	18%	15%	100%
5. very bad	77%	23%	0%	100%
6. NA	59%	41%	0%	100%
Grand Total	57%	41%	3%	100%

### Services for Disabled



Services for Disabled				
Income	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
Less than \$10,000	64%	35%	1%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	71%	28%	1%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	59%	36%	4%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	56%	41%	3%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	57%	42%	1%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	61%	38%	2%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	56%	42%	2%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	45%	55%	0%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	47%	51%	1%	100%
\$200,000 or more	96%	2%	2%	100%
NA	57%	41%	1%	100%
Grand Total	57%	41%	2%	100%


  

Services for Disabled				
Age	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
18-24	66%	31%	3%	100%
25-34	57%	42%	1%	100%
35-44	59%	40%	1%	100%
45-54	60%	37%	3%	100%
55-59	52%	47%	1%	100%
60-64	54%	44%	2%	100%
65 or over	54%	45%	0%	100%
NA	28%	72%	0%	100%
Grand Total	57%	41%	2%	100%

QOL	Services for Disabled			Grand Total
	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	
1. very good	52%	46%	1%	100%
2. good	55%	43%	2%	100%
3. fair	71%	28%	1%	100%
4. bad	62%	26%	12%	100%
5. very bad	77%	23%	0%	100%
6. NA	49%	51%	0%	100%
Grand Total	57%	41%	2%	100%



### Services for Victims or Domestic Violence




Services for Victims or Domestic Violence				
Income	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
Less than \$10,000	63%	34%	4%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	72%	27%	1%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	62%	35%	3%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	58%	40%	3%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	56%	43%	2%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	58%	40%	2%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	60%	40%	0%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	51%	48%	0%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	50%	50%	0%	100%
\$200,000 or more	93%	7%	0%	100%
k. NA	49%	50%	1%	100%
Grand Total	58%	41%	2%	100%

Services for Victims or Domestic Violence				
Age	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
18-24	70%	24%	6%	100%
25-34	58%	41%	1%	100%
35-44	57%	42%	2%	100%
45-54	61%	37%	2%	100%
55-59	46%	54%	1%	100%
60-64	65%	35%	0%	100%
65 or over	54%	46%	0%	100%
NA	28%	72%	0%	100%
Grand Total	58%	41%	2%	100%

<b>Services for Victims or Domestic Violence</b>				
<b>QOL</b>	<b>Need more</b>	<b>Need same</b>	<b>Need fewer</b>	<b>Grand Total</b>
1. very good	57%	43%	0%	100%
2. good	55%	43%	2%	100%
3. fair	65%	33%	2%	100%
4. bad	80%	19%	1%	100%
5. very bad	77%	23%	0%	100%
6. NA	70%	30%	0%	100%
Grand Total	58%	41%	2%	100%

## Victim Assistance




Victim Assistance				
Income	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
Less than \$10,000	61%	37%	2%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	65%	34%	2%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	58%	39%	3%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	56%	44%	1%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	55%	45%	0%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	54%	45%	0%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	49%	51%	0%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	51%	48%	0%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	40%	60%	0%	100%
\$200,000 or more	84%	16%	0%	100%
k. NA	50%	48%	3%	100%
Grand Total	54%	45%	1%	100%

Victim Assistance				
Age	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
18-24	66%	30%	5%	100%
25-34	52%	47%	2%	100%
35-44	54%	45%	1%	100%
45-54	58%	41%	0%	100%
55-59	47%	53%	1%	100%
60-64	65%	35%	0%	100%
65 or over	47%	53%	0%	100%
NA	28%	72%	0%	100%
Grand Total	54%	45%	1%	100%

QOL	Victim Assistance			Grand Total
	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	
1. very good	50%	50%	0%	100%
2. good	52%	47%	1%	100%
3. fair	64%	35%	1%	100%
4. bad	74%	24%	1%	100%
5. very bad	100%	0%	0%	100%
6. NA	54%	41%	5%	100%
Grand Total	54%	45%	1%	100%

### Subsidize Child Care Services




Subsidize Child Care Services				
Income	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
Less than \$10,000	51%	47%	1%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	64%	35%	1%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	58%	41%	1%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	53%	46%	2%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	58%	41%	1%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	53%	45%	2%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	53%	42%	5%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	49%	50%	1%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	46%	52%	2%	100%
\$200,000 or more	75%	23%	2%	100%
k. NA	41%	55%	4%	100%
Grand Total	53%	45%	2%	100%

Subsidize Child Care Services				
Age	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
18-24	64%	30%	5%	100%
25-34	56%	43%	1%	100%
35-44	55%	41%	4%	100%
45-54	54%	45%	1%	100%
55-59	55%	42%	2%	100%
60-64	49%	49%	2%	100%
65 or over	39%	60%	1%	100%
NA	28%	72%	0%	100%
Grand Total	53%	45%	2%	100%

<b>Subsidize Child Care Services</b>				
<b>QOL</b>	<b>Need more</b>	<b>Need same</b>	<b>Need fewer</b>	<b>Grand Total</b>
1. very good	51%	49%	0%	100%
2. good	51%	47%	2%	100%
3. fair	61%	35%	4%	100%
4. bad	63%	36%	1%	100%
5. very bad	77%	23%	0%	100%
6. NA	52%	48%	0%	100%
Grand Total	53%	45%	2%	100%

### Child Care Services that Extend Beyond the normal Working Day



Child Care Services that Extend Beyond the normal Working Day				
Income	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
Less than \$10,000	46%	53%	1%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	58%	41%	1%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	55%	40%	6%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	54%	45%	1%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	61%	38%	1%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	54%	43%	3%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	55%	41%	4%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	56%	44%	0%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	48%	52%	0%	100%
\$200,000 or more	63%	35%	2%	100%
NA	45%	52%	3%	100%
Grand Total	54%	44%	2%	100%


  

Child Care Services that Extend Beyond the normal Working Day				
Age	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
18-24	56%	42%	2%	100%
25-34	57%	39%	4%	100%
35-44	57%	41%	2%	100%
45-54	61%	38%	1%	100%
55-59	53%	43%	4%	100%
60-64	47%	50%	3%	100%
65 or over	37%	62%	1%	100%
NA	28%	72%	0%	100%
Grand Total	54%	44%	2%	100%

<b>Child Care Services that Extend Beyond the normal Working Day</b>				
<b>QOL</b>	<b>Need more</b>	<b>Need same</b>	<b>Need fewer</b>	<b>Grand Total</b>
1. very good	47%	52%	1%	100%
2. good	54%	44%	2%	100%
3. fair	59%	37%	5%	100%
4. bad	80%	18%	1%	100%
5. very bad	77%	23%	0%	100%
6. NA	52%	48%	0%	100%
Grand Total	54%	44%	2%	100%



## Job Training




Job Training				
	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
Less than \$10,000	75%	23%	3%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	68%	32%	0%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	64%	34%	2%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	68%	29%	2%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	60%	39%	1%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	53%	47%	1%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	54%	45%	1%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	50%	50%	0%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	51%	49%	0%	100%
\$200,000 or more	63%	23%	15%	100%
k. NA	54%	45%	1%	100%
Grand Total	59%	40%	1%	100%

Job Training				
Age	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
18-24	68%	26%	6%	100%
25-34	56%	43%	1%	100%
35-44	63%	36%	2%	100%
45-54	66%	34%	1%	100%
55-59	54%	46%	0%	100%
60-64	50%	48%	2%	100%
65 or over	49%	51%	0%	100%
NA	28%	72%	0%	100%
Grand Total	59%	40%	1%	100%

QOL	Job Training			Grand Total
	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	
1. very good	52%	47%	0%	100%
2. good	57%	41%	1%	100%
3. fair	68%	29%	2%	100%
4. bad	85%	13%	1%	100%
5. very bad	77%	23%	0%	100%
6. NA	52%	48%	0%	100%
Grand Total	59%	40%	1%	100%

## Job Training for Youth




<b>Job Training for Youth</b>				
<b>Income</b>	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
Less than \$10,000	68%	30%	2%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	71%	27%	1%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	68%	30%	2%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	80%	20%	0%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	65%	35%	0%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	62%	36%	1%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	64%	36%	1%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	58%	42%	0%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	68%	32%	0%	100%
\$200,000 or more	66%	19%	15%	100%
NA	57%	43%	1%	100%
Grand Total	66%	33%	1%	100%

<b>Job Training for Youth</b>				
<b>Age</b>	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
18-24	67%	30%	3%	100%
25-34	67%	32%	1%	100%
35-44	72%	26%	1%	100%
45-54	70%	30%	1%	100%
55-59	63%	37%	0%	100%
60-64	58%	42%	0%	100%
65 or over	55%	45%	0%	100%
NA	28%	72%	0%	100%
Grand Total	66%	33%	1%	100%

QOL	Job Training for Youth			Grand Total
	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	
1. very good	61%	39%	0%	100%
2. good	66%	33%	1%	100%
3. fair	76%	23%	1%	100%
4. bad	81%	18%	1%	100%
5. very bad	100%	0%	0%	100%
6. NA	22%	73%	5%	100%
Grand Total	66%	33%	1%	100%

### Programs to Start or Support Small Business




Programs to Start or Support Small Business				
Income	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
Less than \$10,000	68%	31%	1%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	60%	39%	1%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	56%	41%	3%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	64%	36%	1%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	55%	43%	2%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	56%	42%	2%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	56%	41%	3%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	54%	46%	0%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	54%	46%	0%	100%
\$200,000 or more	74%	24%	2%	100%
NA	44%	53%	3%	100%
Grand Total	56%	42%	2%	100%

Programs to Start or Support Small Business				
Age	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
18-24	60%	34%	5%	100%
25-34	58%	41%	1%	100%
35-44	64%	34%	2%	100%
45-54	57%	41%	1%	100%
55-59	45%	53%	2%	100%
60-64	56%	44%	0%	100%
65 or over	45%	54%	1%	100%
NA	28%	72%	0%	100%
Grand Total	56%	42%	2%	100%

<b>QOL</b>	<b>Programs to Start or Support Small Business</b>			<b>Grand Total</b>
	<b>Need more</b>	<b>Need same</b>	<b>Need fewer</b>	
1. very good	50%	47%	3%	100%
2. good	54%	44%	2%	100%
3. fair	68%	32%	0%	100%
4. bad	68%	30%	1%	100%
5. very bad	100%	0%	0%	100%
6. NA	64%	29%	8%	100%
Grand Total	56%	42%	2%	100%

### Prevention of Child Neglect or Abuse



Prevention of Child Neglect or Abuse				
Income	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
Less than \$10,000	63%	36%	1%	100%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	75%	22%	3%	100%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	69%	29%	2%	100%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	72%	24%	4%	100%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	69%	31%	0%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	65%	33%	2%	100%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	70%	30%	1%	100%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	58%	41%	1%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	58%	42%	0%	100%
\$200,000 or more	94%	4%	2%	100%
NA	59%	41%	0%	100%
Grand Total	67%	32%	1%	100%


  

Prevention of Child Neglect or Abuse				
Age	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	Grand Total
18-24	82%	17%	2%	100%
25-34	70%	27%	3%	100%
35-44	69%	30%	1%	100%
45-54	65%	34%	1%	100%
55-59	65%	34%	1%	100%
60-64	66%	33%	1%	100%
65 or over	55%	45%	0%	100%
NA	28%	72%	0%	100%
Grand Total	67%	32%	1%	100%


QOL	Prevention of Child Neglect or Abuse			Grand Total
	Need more	Need same	Need fewer	
1. very good	63%	37%	0%	100%
2. good	64%	34%	2%	100%
3. fair	78%	21%	1%	100%
4. bad	77%	22%	1%	100%
5. very bad	100%	0%	0%	100%
6. NA	64%	36%	0%	100%
Grand Total	67%	32%	1%	100%



**The Need for Different Types of Services During the Past Year**  
*(“During the past year, have you or anyone in your household had a need for the following services?”)*



### Mental Health Services




<b>Mental Health Services</b>		
<b>Income</b>	Yes	No
Less than \$10,000	20%	80%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	12%	88%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	12%	88%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	6%	94%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	9%	91%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	6%	94%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	8%	92%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	7%	93%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	6%	94%
\$200,000 or more	8%	92%
NA	4%	96%
Grand Total	8%	92%

<b>Mental Healt Services</b>		
<b>Age</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
18-24	12%	88%
25-34	5%	95%
35-44	13%	87%
45-54	9%	91%
55-59	7%	93%
60-64	6%	94%
65 or over	4%	96%
NA	0%	100%
Grand Total	8%	92%


<b>Mental Healt Services</b>		
<b>QOL</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
very good	5%	95%
good	7%	93%
fair	13%	87%
bad	19%	81%
very bad	100%	0%
NA	6%	94%
Grand Total	8%	92%

### Substance Abuse Services



Substance Abuse Services		
Income	Yes	No
Less than \$10,000	7%	93%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	4%	96%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	6%	94%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	6%	94%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	5%	95%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	2%	98%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	4%	96%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	2%	98%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	2%	98%
\$200,000 or more	7%	93%
NA	2%	98%
Grand Total	4%	96%

### Youth Program




<b>Youth Programs</b>		
<b>Income</b>	Yes	No
Less than \$10,000	13%	87%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	8%	92%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	16%	84%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	13%	87%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	16%	84%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	13%	87%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	14%	86%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	9%	91%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	15%	85%
\$200,000 or more	8%	92%
NA	13%	87%
Grand Total	13%	86%

<b>Youth Programs</b>		
<b>Age</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
18-24	14%	86%
25-34	17%	83%
35-44	20%	80%
45-54	11%	89%
55-59	8%	92%
60-64	8%	92%
65 or over	2%	98%
NA	0%	100%
Grand Total	13%	86%

<b>Youth Programs</b>		
<b>QOL</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
very good	13%	85%
good	10%	89%
fair	19%	80%
bad	26%	74%
very bad	77%	23%
NA	6%	87%
Grand Total	13%	86%

### Food Assistance for the Needy




Income	Food Assistance	
	Yes	No
Less than \$10,000	45%	55%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	18%	82%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	10%	90%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	7%	93%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	4%	96%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	4%	96%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	5%	95%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	0%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	0%	100%
\$200,000 or more	6%	94%
NA	5%	95%
Grand Total	7%	92%

<b>Food Assistance</b>		
<b>Age</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
18-24	16%	84%
25-34	8%	92%
35-44	8%	92%
45-54	6%	94%
55-59	6%	94%
60-64	4%	96%
65 or over	7%	93%
NA	0%	100%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>92%</b>

<b>Food Assistance</b>		
<b>QOL</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
very good	3%	95%
good	5%	95%
fair	15%	85%
bad	22%	78%
very bad	100%	0%
NA	49%	44%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>92%</b>



### Older Adult Services




<b>Older Adult Services</b>		
<b>Income</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
Less than \$10,000	20%	80%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	15%	85%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	6%	94%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	9%	91%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	7%	93%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	6%	94%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	3%	97%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	7%	93%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	6%	94%
\$200,000 or more	5%	95%
NA	13%	87%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>91%</b>

<b>Older Adult Services</b>		
<b>Age</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
18-24	10%	90%
25-34	4%	96%
35-44	5%	95%
45-54	11%	89%
55-59	11%	89%
60-64	14%	86%
65 or over	15%	85%
NA	0%	100%
(blank)	0%	18%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>91%</b>

<b>Older Adult Services</b>		
<b>QOL</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
very good	9%	90%
good	7%	92%
fair	10%	90%
bad	14%	86%
very bad	77%	23%
NA	15%	78%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>91%</b>

### Homeless Services




<b>Homeless Services</b>		
<b>Income</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
Less than \$10,000	12%	88%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	4%	96%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	4%	96%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	3%	97%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	1%	99%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	2%	98%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	1%	99%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	0%	100%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	0%	100%
\$200,000 or more	8%	92%
NA	2%	98%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>97%</b>

<b>Homeless Services</b>		
<b>Age</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
18-24	9%	91%
25-34	2%	98%
35-44	3%	97%
45-54	2%	98%
55-59	1%	99%
60-64	3%	97%
65 or over	1%	99%
NA	0%	100%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>97%</b>

<b>Homeless Services</b>		
<b>QOL</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
very good	1%	98%
good	2%	98%
fair	4%	95%
bad	7%	93%
very bad	100%	0%
NA	15%	78%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>97%</b>

### Services for Disabled




Services for Disabled		
Income	Yes	No
Less than \$10,000	27%	73%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	7%	93%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	10%	90%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	9%	91%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	6%	94%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	5%	95%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	4%	96%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	4%	96%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	2%	98%
\$200,000 or more	2%	98%
NA	10%	90%
Grand Total	7%	92%

<b>Services for Disabled</b>		
<b>Age</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
18-24	10%	90%
25-34	5%	95%
35-44	8%	92%
45-54	9%	91%
55-59	7%	93%
60-64	8%	92%
65 or over	6%	94%
NA	0%	100%
Grand Total	7%	92%

<b>Services for Disabled</b>		
<b>QOL</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
very good	6%	92%
good	6%	94%
fair	13%	87%
bad	11%	89%
very bad	100%	0%
NA	6%	87%
Grand Total	7%	92%

### Services for Victims of Domestic Violence




Services for Victims of Domestic Violence		
Income	Yes	No
Less than \$10,000	12%	88%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	7%	93%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	7%	93%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	7%	93%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	3%	97%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	2%	98%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	3%	97%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	2%	98%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	0%	100%
\$200,000 or more	2%	98%
NA	3%	97%
Grand Total	4%	95%

<b>Services for Victims of Domestic Violence</b>		
Age	Yes	No
18-24	13%	87%
25-34	3%	97%
35-44	6%	94%
45-54	4%	96%
55-59	2%	98%
60-64	0%	100%
65 or over	1%	99%
NA	0%	100%
Grand Total	4%	95%

<b>Services for Victims of Domestic Violence</b>		
QOL	Yes	No
very good	1%	97%
good	3%	97%
fair	9%	91%
bad	19%	81%
very bad	77%	23%
NA	6%	87%
Grand Total	4%	95%



### Victim Assistance




Victim Assistance		
Income	Yes	No
Less than \$10,000	12%	88%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	6%	94%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	8%	92%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	6%	94%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	4%	96%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	4%	96%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	3%	97%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	2%	98%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	4%	96%
\$200,000 or more	2%	98%
Grand Total	5%	94%

<b>Victim Assistance</b>		
<b>Age</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
18-24	9%	91%
25-34	4%	96%
35-44	7%	93%
45-54	5%	95%
55-59	2%	98%
60-64	2%	98%
65 or over	3%	97%
NA	0%	100%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>94%</b>

<b>Victim Assistance</b>		
<b>QOL</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
very good	3%	95%
good	3%	97%
fair	10%	89%
bad	17%	83%
very bad	77%	23%
NA	6%	87%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>94%</b>

### Subsidize Child Care Services




Subsidized Child Care Services		
Income	Yes	No
Less than \$10,000	11%	89%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	9%	91%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	7%	93%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	8%	92%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	9%	91%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	4%	96%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	6%	94%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	2%	98%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	1%	99%
\$200,000 or more	16%	84%
Grand Total	6%	93%

<b>Subsidized Child Care Services</b>		
<b>Age</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
18-24	18%	82%
25-34	10%	90%
35-44	7%	93%
45-54	2%	98%
55-59	4%	96%
60-64	0%	100%
65 or over	2%	98%
NA	0%	100%
Grand Total	6%	93%

<b>Subsidized Child Care Services</b>		
<b>QOL</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
very good	3%	96%
good	6%	94%
fair	11%	89%
bad	13%	87%
very bad	77%	23%
NA	6%	87%
Grand Total	6%	93%

### Child Care Services that Extend Beyond the normal Working Day




<b>Child Care Services-Beyond the Working Day</b>		
<b>Income</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
Less than \$10,000	9%	91%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	11%	89%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	8%	92%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	8%	92%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	13%	87%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	6%	94%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	3%	97%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	11%	89%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	6%	94%
\$200,000 or more	5%	95%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>91%</b>

<b>Child Care Services-Beyond the Working Day</b>		
<b>Age</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
18-24	9%	91%
25-34	14%	86%
35-44	12%	88%
45-54	4%	96%
55-59	10%	90%
60-64	3%	97%
65 or over	1%	99%
NA	0%	100%
Grand Total	8%	91%

<b>Child Care Services-Beyond the Working Day</b>		
<b>QOL</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
very good	3%	95%
good	8%	91%
fair	14%	85%
bad	10%	90%
very bad	77%	23%
NA	11%	81%
Grand Total	8%	91%

## Job Training




<b>Job Training</b>		
<b>Income</b>	Yes	No
Less than \$10,000	18%	82%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	15%	85%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	14%	86%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	16%	84%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	15%	85%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	12%	88%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	6%	94%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	6%	94%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	2%	98%
\$200,000 or more	26%	74%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>87%</b>

<b>Age</b>	<b>Job Training</b>	
	Yes	No
18-24	28%	72%
25-34	15%	85%
35-44	14%	86%
45-54	12%	88%
55-59	11%	89%
60-64	1%	99%
65 or over	3%	97%
NA	0%	100%
Grand Total	12%	87%

<b>QOL</b>	<b>Job Training</b>	
	Yes	No
very good	7%	91%
good	11%	89%
fair	20%	79%
bad	26%	74%
very bad	77%	23%
NA	23%	70%
Grand Total	12%	87%



### Job Training for Youth




Job Training for Youth		
Income	Yes	No
Less than \$10,000	11%	89%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	6%	94%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	7%	93%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	7%	93%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	10%	90%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	9%	91%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	3%	97%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	5%	95%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	2%	98%
\$200,000 or more	2%	98%
Grand Total	7%	93%

<b>Job Training for Youth</b>		
<b>Age</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
18-24	10%	90%
25-34	6%	94%
35-44	8%	92%
45-54	10%	90%
55-59	9%	91%
60-64	0%	100%
65 or over	2%	98%
NA	0%	100%
Grand Total	7%	93%

<b>Job Training for Youth</b>		
<b>QOL</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
very good	6%	92%
good	5%	95%
fair	10%	89%
bad	28%	72%
very bad	77%	23%
NA	17%	76%
Grand Total	7%	93%

### Program to Start or Support Small Business




Program to Start or Support Small Business		
Income	Yes	No
Less than \$10,000	9%	91%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	10%	90%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	10%	90%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	11%	89%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	5%	95%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	12%	88%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	9%	91%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	10%	90%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	8%	92%
\$200,000 or more	2%	98%
Grand Total	9%	90%

<b>Program to Start or Support Small Business</b>		
<b>Age</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
18-24	6%	94%
25-34	14%	86%
35-44	10%	90%
45-54	12%	88%
55-59	6%	94%
60-64	0%	100%
65 or over	2%	98%
NA	0%	100%
Grand Total	9%	90%

<b>Program to Start or Support Small Business</b>		
<b>QOL</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
very good	5%	93%
good	9%	91%
fair	13%	86%
bad	20%	80%
very bad	77%	23%
NA	23%	70%
Grand Total	9%	90%

### Prevention of Child Neglect or Abuse



Prevention of Child Neglect or Abuse		
Income	Yes	No
Less than \$10,000	1%	99%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	3%	97%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	5%	95%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	3%	97%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	0%	100%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	2%	98%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	2%	98%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	1%	99%
\$100,000 to \$199,999	0%	100%
\$200,000 or more	12%	88%
Grand Total	2%	97%


<b>Prevention of Child Neglect or Abuse</b>		
<b>Age</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
18-24	3%	97%
25-34	2%	98%
35-44	2%	98%
45-54	3%	97%
55-59	2%	98%
60-64	2%	98%
65 or over	2%	98%
NA	0%	100%
Grand Total	2%	97%

<b>Prevention of Child Neglect or Abuse</b>		
<b>QOL</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
very good	2%	97%
good	1%	99%
fair	3%	96%
bad	16%	84%
very bad	77%	23%
NA	13%	80%
Grand Total	2%	97%

# Public Safety/Law Enforcement


## Public Safety Services

*(“How would you rate the following public safety services provided in your community?”)*




## Law Enforcement by Place


L



### Law Enforcement by Income




### Law Enforcement by Age







### Law Enforcement by Quality of Life




### Fire Response by Place




### Fire Response by Income




### Fire Response by Age




### Fire Response by Quality of Life




### Emergency Response by Place




### Emergency Response by Income




### Emergency Response by Age




### Emergency Response by Quality of Life




### Disaster Preparedness by Place




### Disaster Preparedness by Income




### Disaster Preparedness by Age




### Disaster Preparedness by Quality of Life



**Perceived Safety**  
*("How safe do you feel in your neighborhood?")*




**Perceived Safety by Place**







### Perceived Safety by Income



### Perceived Safety by Age Group




### Perceived Safety by Quality of Life




# Bilingual Communications

## Information in Multiple Languages


*("What is your opinion about local governments providing information in multiple languages?")*




## Information in Multiple Languages by Place




### Information in Multiple Languages by Income



### Information in Multiple Languages by Age




### Information in Multiple Languages by Quality of Life




# Recreation and Culture

## Recreational Opportunities


*("How would you rate the opportunities for recreation and cultural activities in your community?")*




## Recreational Opportunities by Place




### Recreational Opportunities by Income



### Recreational Opportunities by Age




## Recreational Opportunities by Quality of Life







**Need for Additional Recreation/Culture**  
*("Are there too many, not enough, or about the right amount of the following in Adams County?")*




**Need for Additional Cultural/Arts Opportunities by Place**




### Need for Additional Cultural/Arts Opportunities by Income




### Need for Additional Cultural/Arts Opportunities by Age




### Need for Additional Cultural/Arts Opportunities by Quality of Life




### Need for Additional Free/Low Cost Recreation by Place




### Need for Additional Free/Low Cost Recreation by Income




### Need for Additional Free/Low Cost Recreation by Age




### Need for Additional Free/Low Cost Recreation by Quality of Life




### Need for Additional Youth Centers by Place




### Need for Additional Youth Centers by Income




### Need for Additional Youth Centers by Age




### Need for Additional Youth Centers by Quality of Life



### Need for Additional Senior Centers by Place




### Need for Additional Senior Centers by Income







### Need for Additional Senior Centers by Age




### Need for Additional Senior Centers by Quality of Life




### Need for Additional Historic Preservation by Place




### Need for Additional Historic Preservation by Income



### Need for Additional Historic Preservation by Age




### Need for Additional Historic Preservation by Quality of Life




## Summary Priorities

### Highest Priority


*“We’ve asked questions now about several issues that relate to quality of life in Adams County. I’m going to read an overview of those areas, and please tell me which ONE should be the highest priority for improvement?”*




### Highest Priority by Place



### Highest Priority by Income



### Highest Priority by Age



### Highest Priority by Quality of Life

